

Global Environment Facility

GEF/C.31/3 May 11, 2007

GEF Council June 12-15, 2007

Agenda Item 5

RELATIONS WITH THE CONVENTIONS AND OTHER INSTITUTIONS

Recommended Council decision for Relations with Conventions

The Council considered document GEF/C.31/3, *Relations with Conventions and Other Institutions*, and welcomes the proposed activities to implement the guidance and decisions that has been approved by the Conferences of the Parties to the global environmental conventions. The Council requests the Secretariat and the GEF agencies to continue to work with recipient countries to reflect the guidance and national priorities in their GEF programming and activities.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. This document reports on developments of interest to the GEF under the multilateral environmental conventions, including the Convention on Biological Diversity, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification, the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants, and the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer and its Multilateral Fund.

Highlights reported include:

- (a) Proposed response by GEF to guidance provided by the eighth session of the Conference of Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (COP8) held in Curitiba, Brazil in March 2006. This is a follow-up report provided to the Council at its meeting in June 2006.
- (b) Proposed response by GEF to the guidance provided by the twelfth session of the Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (COP 12) held in November 2006 in Nairobi, Kenya, and a report was provided at the December 2006 meeting of the Council.
- (c) Report on GEF participation in the fifth session of the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification's Committee for the Review of the Implementation of the Convention (CRIC 5) in Buenos Aires, Argentina, from March 12-21, 2007 and a report on GEF activities in support of the International Year of Desertification.
- (d) A brief report on the eighteenth meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer (MOP-18). The meeting took place in New Delhi, India, from October 30 to November 3, 2006.
- (e) A brief report on the eighth meeting of the Conference of the Parties (COP8) to the Basel Convention on the Control of Trans-boundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal. The meeting was held from November 27 to December 1, 2006, at the UN Office in Nairobi, Kenya.

Table of Contents

Convention on Biological Diversity
Response to Guidance 2 Island biodiversity 3 CBD COP 8 Decisions and proposed GEF response 4
UN Framework Convention on Climate Change
UNFCCC COP 12 Decisions and proposed GEF Response
Stockholm Convention on POPs
POPS COP2 Decision and proposed GEF Response
UN Convention to Combat Desertification
Report on GEF activities in the context of the International Year of Deserts and Desertification (IYDD)
Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer
Information on Other International Environmental Conventions
Basel Convention14
Meeting of the Commission for Sustainable Development
Annex 1. CBD COP8 Guidance to GEF
Annex II. UNFCCC COP12 Guidance to the GEF
Annex III. Report of the Conference of the Parties
Annex V. GEF enabling activity projects and status of national communications (SNC) 46
Annex VI – Status of POPs related Enabling Activities

1. This document reports on developments of interest to the GEF occurring since the GEF Council meeting in November 2006 within the context of the Convention on Biodiversity, UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (the Stockholm Convention), the UN Convention to Combat Desertification in those countries experiencing serious drought and/or desertification, particularly in Africa (UNCCD), and the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer (the Montreal Protocol).

CONVENTION ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

- 2. This is a follow-up to the brief report provided at the June 2006 Council meeting. The Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) held its eighth meeting (COP 8) in March 2006 in Curitiba, Brazil. Among the 36 decisions that were adopted by the COP 8, decision VIII/18, *Guidance to the financial mechanism*, is directly relevant to the GEF (Annex I).
- 3. During the reporting period, the GEF Secretariat, in consultation with the GEF Agencies, completed an internal review of COP decision VIII/18 to identify ways to implement the guidance of the COP. The outcome of the review has confirmed that most of the activities included in the additional guidance can be addressed through country driven projects consistent with the GEF's strategic priorities, modalities and procedures.
- 4. Some other elements of the guidance, such as technology transfer, may require further consideration by the Council.
- 5. In decision VIII/13, COP 8 decided to conduct an in-depth review of the availability of financial resources, including through the financial mechanism, at its ninth meeting. This review should:
 - (a) Build on past reviews;
 - (b) Focus on what action has been taken or needs to be taken to address identified obstacles;
 - (c) Examine how financial resources from the financial mechanism and from other relevant sources are being used to support the achievement of the objectives of the Convention;
 - (d) Examine how the Resource Allocation Framework adopted by the Global Environment Facility would affect the availability of resources given the individual and group allocations to developing countries and countries with economies in transition for the implementation of the Convention;
 - (e) Examine the effectiveness of the GEF Benefits Index for Biodiversity (GBI Bio) for determining the potential of each country to generate the global biodiversity benefits for the purposes of this Convention;

- (f) Identify opportunities available to Parties from all sources for the implementation of the Convention, including through innovative mechanisms, such as environmental funds as referred to in paragraph 7 below;
- (g) Explore options on how the synergy among the financial mechanisms of the three Rio conventions can be promoted, taking fully into account the respective guidance and priorities of their respective conferences of the parties, each Convention's scope and mandate, while ensuring the integrity of resources available to each convention through its respective financial mechanism.
- 6. In decision VIII/13, COP 8 decided to undertake the review of the effectiveness of the financial mechanism every four years and that this review should coincide with the meeting of the Conference of the Parties, and requested the Executive Secretary to make the necessary arrangements for an evaluation of the effectiveness of the financial mechanism to be conducted in time for the ninth meeting of the Conference of the Parties. The Convention's Executive Secretary informed the Chairperson and CEO that the Convention Secretariat already announced a call for tenders by experienced and independent evaluators to carry out the third review.
- 7. Annex IV lists the countries that have received funding for national reporting to the CBD in response to COP guidance. Currently 109 developing country Parties have received the funding to prepare third national report to the CBD out of which 68 Parties have completed the national reports.
- 8. In its Resolution 61/203 adopted on 20 December 2006, the General Assembly of the United Nations declared 2010 the International Year of Biodiversity, and designated the secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity as the focal point for the International Year of Biodiversity.

Response to Guidance

- 9. Issues included in the guidance are related to: Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety; island biodiversity; Millennium Ecosystem Assessment; technology transfer and cooperation; global initiative on communication, education and public awareness; national reporting; global taxonomy initiative; invasive alien species; and protected areas. The GEF can address issues included in the new guidance through its various operational tools (for example, full and medium-sized projects, enabling activities, the Small Grants Program, the strategic approach to capacity building, including national capacity self assessments, and country relation activities). A matrix showing how these issues can be addressed through these tools is included at the end of this section.
- 10. In the biodiversity focal area, under the new Resource Allocation Framework, the GEF secretariat and agencies working with the countries will develop project activities based upon national priorities and Convention guidance. Countries, working with the GEF Secretariat and the GEF Implementing/Executing Agencies, will have the primary role in determining priorities for use of their indicative allocation of GEF resources.

Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (CPB)

- In response to the COP guidance, the GEF Council, at its December 2006 meeting, 11. reviewed the proposed Strategy for Financing Biosafety (GEF/C.30/8/Rev.1)¹ and approved it as an interim basis for the development of projects for implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (CPB) until such time as the focal area strategies are approved by the Council. The main objective of the strategy is to help build the capacity of eligible countries² to implement the CPB through activities at the national, sub-regional and regional levels.
- 12. The Strategy for Financing Biosafety takes into account, (a) the guidance from the Conference of the Parties with respect to the CPB, GEF's mandate, as well as the lessons and experiences emerging from the experience to date with the implementation of the projects funded under the GEF's Initial Strategy for Assisting Countries to Prepare for the Entry into Force of the CPB; (b) results of the independent evaluation of GEF's support to the CPB, prepared by the GEF Office of Monitoring and Evaluation³; (c) inputs received from the GEF Council on the Elements for a Biosafety Strategy paper presented and discussed at the November 2005 Council meeting; (d) inputs received at a consultative session⁴ held in conjunction with the COP/MOP-3 in Curitiba (Brazil), March 13-17, 2006; and (e) inputs received from the GEF Council on the proposed GEF Strategy for Financing Biosafety Activities, during the June 2006 Council meeting.
- 13. The Third meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group of Legal and Technical Experts on Liability and Redress in the context of Protocol was held from 19 - 23 February 2007 in Montreal, Canada. The meeting made considerable progress in elaborating approaches and options identified pertaining to liability and redress in the context of Article 27 of the Biosafety Protocol, and suggested a blueprint for a COP/MOP decision on international rules and procedures in the field of liability and redress for damage resulting from transboundary movements of living modified organisms.

Island biodiversity

- 14. The GEF fully recognizes the program of work on island biodiversity and its relevance to developing countries, and in particular least developed countries and small island developing States (SIDS). The biodiversity focal area strategy for GEF-4, which is currently under review, will reflect the relevant goals and activities under the program of work.
- 15. The GEF is currently consulting with SIDS in the South Pacific region to develop a multi-focal programmatic approach to the use of GEF-4 resources that can be made available to the region through all focal areas. Particular focus may be provided to priority activities related

http://www.thegef.org/documents/council_documents/GEF_30/documents/C.30.8.Rev.1StrategyforFinancingBiosaf etv.pdf

² CBD COP Decision VII/20

³http://www.thegef.org/MonitoringandEvaluation/METhemesTopics/METBiodiversity/documents/Publications_Bio safetyExecVer-ENGLISH-lowres 000.pdf

⁴ During the margins of COP/MOP-3 two consultation sessions took place, on lessons learnt from the Implementation projects carried out under the GEF initial strategy and on the proposed elements for a biosafety strategy. Both events had a significant participation from IAs, CPB Parties and observers.

to marine, coastal, and freshwater biodiversity conservation and sustainable use; threats from invasive alien species; challenges from climate change; management of fisheries and land degradation.

16. Elaboration of similar multi-focal programmatic approaches among other regional groupings of SIDS will be initiated upon request from the countries concerned.

Technology transfer

17. Technology transfer cuts across various GEF focal areas and specific objectives within a focal area. Technology transfer is often a core element in GEF investment projects in the area of biodiversity. The Secretariat and the agencies will take stock and assess what the GEF has done or is planning to do on this topic through its biodiversity portfolio of investment projects.

CBD COP 8 Decisions and proposed GEF response

The following activities are proposed in response to the guidance approved at COP8

COP Guidance to GEF	Relevant Program and Strategic Priority	Proposed GEF Response
RAF (Paragraph 2) Include information on RAF application in GEF report to the COP	Resource Allocation Framework	Information from the progress reports submitted to the Council on RAF implementation to be incorporated in the GEF report to the Conference of Parties.
Simplify and streamline GEF procedure (paragraph 3)	GEF Project Cycle	GEF Secretariat, with its partners, is working to further streamline the project cycle. A revised project cycle will be presented at the June 2007 Council for approval.
Support capacity building of small island developing states and least and less developed countries (Paragraph 4)	GEF Capacity Building Program	The GEF is providing targeted assistance to the SIDS and LDCs for follow-up activities to the NCSAs. In the South Pacific region, these projects will be incorporated in the proposed multi-focal programmatic approach.
Include the role of GEF as a financial mechanism in the agenda of the Third Assembly of the GEF (Paragraph 5)	GEF Assembly	A roundtable discussion was held on market mechanisms for financing global environmental conventions. A report of the roundtable will be provided to the COP.
Consult CBD secretariat in GEF review process (Paragraph 7)	Relations with the Conventions	GEF will consult with the Convention Secretariat in relevant review processes undertaken by the GEF.
Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (Decision VIII/18 paragraph 9-13)	GEF Biosafety Strategy	The GEF Council approved the <i>Strategy for Financing Biosafety</i> . The strategy includes all the elements in the COP guidance to GEF. Under this Strategy, priority is given to activities for the implementation of the CPB, specified in the COP guidance to the GEF, in particular, the key

Recognize Island Biodiversity , particularly in	Strategic Priorities in the area of	elements in the <i>Updated Action Plan for Building Capacities for the Effective Implementation of the CPB</i> , agreed at the third COP-MOP, and identified in a country's stock-taking analysis. Activities will be developed using both regional and national approaches. Countries may develop relevant projects based on country-driven national priorities, in line with
LDCs and SIDS (Paragraph 14-15)	biodiversity and international waters.	GEF strategic priorities. On project procedure, the Secretariat is working to further streamline the project cycle as noted above.
Identify gaps and needs to meet the 2010 biodiversity targets and support national and sub-global ecosystem assessments (Paragraph 16-17)	Strategic priorities in the focal area of biodiversity address the 2010 biodiversity targets.	The GEF biodiversity strategic priorities have been proposed, in collaboration with the CBD Secretariat and other experts, based on recognized gaps and needs to significantly reduce the rates of biodiversity loss and maintain the provision of ecosystem goods and services as defined by the Millennium Assessment.
Provide information on implementation of goals 2 and 3 of the biodiversity strategic plan (Paragraph 18-19)	GEF Capacity Building Program, Strategic Priority 2 in the focal area of biodiversity, and Enabling Activities.	The GEF's enabling activities and NCSAs have been assessing the national capacity under CBD Strategic Plan Goal 2 (capacity to implement the Convention). Results of the assessment will be available and presented to the COP. On Goal 3, GEF continues to support development of NBSAPs through its EAs. The strategic priority 2 of the biodiversity focal area particularly focuses on mainstreaming biodiversity in sectors. Assessment of GEF's contribution results will be made through the OPS4.
Support implementation of the program of work on technology transfer (Paragraph 20)	Strategic priority 2 in the focal area of biodiversity	The GEF will continue to support relevant technology transfer activity as an element in GEF investment projects, particularly under mainstreaming biodiversity in relevant production sectors, in line with GEF strategic priorities.
Support implementation of global initiative on communication, education, and public awareness (Paragraph 21)	Strategic priorities in the focal area of biodiversity	GEF is developing guidelines for countries to identify the kind of public awareness activities that can be included in projects submitted to GEF.
Fund fourth national reports (Paragraph 22-23)	Enabling Activities	Countries may request assistance for preparing the fourth national report as an enabling activity.

		On project procedure, the Secretariat is working to further streamline the project cycle as noted above.
Continue supporting the implementation of the global taxonomy initiative (Paragraph 24-26)	Strategic priorities in the focal area of biodiversity.	GEF will continue to support taxonomy components within GEF projects, based on country-driven national priorities, which are in line with GEF strategic priorities.
		GEF Secretariat, in collaboration with the CBD Secretariat, will conduct a joint analysis of the GEF funded project related to GTI and provide relevant information to the COP.
Need for additional funding to minimize the risks from invasive alien species (Paragraph 27)	Strategic priority in the focal area of biodiversity, international waters, and land degradation	GEF will continue to support activities related to management of invasive alien species, particularly on capacity building, within a GEF projects based on country-driven national priority and GEF strategic priority. A few regional projects are also under development.
Maintain its funding level for national and regional systems of protected areas (Paragraph 28-29)	Strategic priority 1 in the focal area of biodiversity.	The activity could be an element in the GEF projects or part of the GEF program on supporting early actions based on national priority. A GEF program to support country efforts to implement the early actions under the PoW was approved and endorsed in 2007. UNDP, together with governments, will be implementing the priority early action activities of the program of work.

18. The twelfth meeting of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice and the Second Meeting of the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group on Review of Implementation of the Convention (WGRI-2) will be held in Paris, France from July 2 to 6, 2007 and from 9 - 13 July 2007 respectively. The CEO has been invited to attend the meeting of the COP Bureau for discussing long-term cooperation and rationalize the guidance provided by COP to the GEF, as its financial mechanism. A report of the discussions will be provided at the next meeting of the Council.

UN FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE

19. The twelfth session of the Conference of the Parties (COP 12) to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change was held in conjunction with the second session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (COP/MOP) in Nairobi, Kenya, from November 6-17, 2006.

- 20. COP 12 reviewed the implementation of commitments and various other provisions of the Convention relating to such matters as the financial mechanism, national communications from non-Annex I Parties, technology transfer, capacity building, and the adverse effects of climate change on developing and least developed countries (LDCs) and of response measures and the special needs of LDCs (Article 4.8 and 4.9).
- 21. Annex V includes the list of countries that have received GEF funding to prepare the national communications to the UNFCCC. Until now 132 Non-Annex I parties to the UNFCCC have received funding for initial stakeholder consultation to prepare their national communications, out of which 116 Parties have received full-cost funding to start the actual preparation of national communications. Most of these projects are of 3 years duration while the UNFCCC requirement to submit the national communications is 4 years from the date of funding with a possibility of one year extension.
- 22. COP12 adopted three decisions in which GEF was requested to take action. These decisions are:
 - (a) Decision 2/CP.12 on review of the financial mechanism
 - (b) Decision 3/CP.12 on additional guidance to the GEF, and
 - (c) Decision 4/CP.12 on capacity building under the Convention.
- 23. Annex II of this paper includes the full text of the decisions.
- 24. The GEF Secretariat, in consultation with Implementing Agencies, completed an internal review of COP guidance and identified pathways for implementing the guidance. Most of the activities included in the additional guidance can be addressed through country driven projects financed by the GEF under its climate change focal area. Under the RAF, countries will have the primary role in determining priorities for the use of GEF resources. Support for third and subsequent national communications will need further consideration, and a paper on this issue will be presented to the Council in due course.

UNFCCC COP 12 Decisions and proposed GEF Response

COP Guidance to GEF	Relevant Program and Strategic Priority	Proposed GEF Response
2/CP.12 Review of the Financial		
Mechanism		
1. (a) Give due priority to adaptation activities in accordance with guidance	SPA	GEF is developing an adaptation impact assessment tool. The GEF Council should consider decision 5/CP.7 and 1/CP.10 when reviewing the SPA.
1. (b) Strengthen work on awareness-raising on GEF programs and procedures to assist developing countries to access the	Country Support Program	GEF has enhanced its outreach to, and capacity building for, country focal points through a series of national dialogues, subregional workshops and the country support

	program. The Secretariat is also proposing to strengthen its outreach and communications, and a new communications and outreach strategy will be presented to the Council for its review and approval in November 2007. The GEF Secretariat and the UNFCCC Secretariat have agreed to collaborate to improve the exchange of communication between the GEF and the Convention focal points.
programs	GEF and STAP to review the experience in undertaking LULUCF related projects in biomass programs, sustainable land management, biodiversity, forestry and OP12
Energy efficiency operational program	The GEF will provide data on EE project funding. Two of the proposed strategic programs for GEF-4 are aimed at energy efficiency in buildings and industrial energy efficiency.
Corporate Programs	GEF developing targeted Pacific/Caribbean SIDS initiatives; Small Grants Program and capacity building activities will give priority to responding to needs of SIDS and LDC.
Report to COP	GEF will report to COP13 on issues noted in decision
Climate Change Strategy	New CC strategy to be reviewed by Council in June 2007
Climate change focal area	The UNFCCC is to prepare a report, in collaboration with the GEF Secretariat on the assessment of the funding necessary to assist developing countries, in accordance with the guidance provided by the COP, in meeting their commitments under the Convention over the next GEF replenishment cycle, taking into account paragraph 1 (a)-(d) of the Annex to the memorandum of understanding between the COP and the GEF Council (decision 12/CP.3)
	Replenishment complete
	Energy efficiency operational program Corporate Programs Report to COP Climate Change Strategy Climate change

1. (a) Further Simplify procedures and improve the efficiency of the	Project cycle	Revised and simplified project cycle to be reviewed by Council in June 2007
process		Teviewed by Council in June 2007
1. (b) Address the concerns of	Incremental	Simplification of incremental cost analysis
developing country Parties on	cost program	
requirements for leveraging		
additional funds for projects.		
1. (c) GEF to give a detailed report	RAF	RAF allocations on GEF website as a
on RAF allocation and list of		pipeline and project information
activities funded		
1. (d) Provide support for technology	Report to COP	Propose a public private partnership and a
transfer through GEF Trust Fund		global project to implement the technology
and SCCF		transfer contained in the annex to COP
1 (2) Danida fan 1'n a fan	F., .1.11	decision 4/CP.7
1. (e) Provide funding for	Enabling activities	GEF to provide funding through a MSP under discussion
Technology Needs Assessment (TNA)	activities	under discussion
2. (a) Further simply procedures for	Enabling	New initiative for future enabling activities
receiving funding for national	Activities	to be discussed with the UNFCCC
communications		secretariat
2. (b) Provide updated information	Enabling	Report on GEF operational procedures
on expedited national	Activities	submitted to Subsidiary body meeting
communications to SBI 27		, , ,
2. (c) Support for TNA	Enabling	Guidelines provided to countries
	Activities	
2. (d) Guidance on how to enhance	Enabling	Guidelines on public awareness activities
activities on Article 6	Activities	that are eligible for inclusion in GEF
		projects being developed
3. Further funding for LDCs and	Same as above	Guidelines on public awareness activities
SIDS on Article 6		that are eligible for inclusion in GEF
4. COP report to include steps taken	See above	projects are being developed. The GEF report to COP13 should provide
to implement guidance	See above	information on requests from paragraph 1
to implement guidance		and 2 of decision 3/CP.12
5. Views and recommendations from	None required	The UNFCCC Secretariat will forward the
Parties by 17 August 2007.	Trong required	compilation of the submissions received to
		GEF Council for its consideration
4/CP.12 Capacity Building under		
the Convention		
1. (b) GEF to report to COP on	Corporate	Information on Capacity Building activities
process	Program	& approach taken to be included in GEF
		report to COP13
2. (a) Request UNFCCC, in	Capacity	In collaboration with IAs, GEF Evaluation
collaboration with GEF, to organize	building	Office and UNFCCC Secretariat workshop
an expert workshop on capacity	strategy	being planned in late 2007
building indicators 2. (b) Develop CB indicators for	Capacity	In collaboration with the above mentioned
monitoring	building	partners capacity building indicators being
monitoring	strategy	developed
	saacegy	developed

25. With respect to the Special Climate Change Fund, which the GEF manages, COP12 adopted a decision providing further guidance for the operation of the Special Climate Change Fund (see Annex V). This guidance will be addressed in a programming paper to be presented to the LDCF/SCCF Council at its meeting on June 15.

COP12 Decision on Special Climate Change Fund and proposed GEF Response

1/CP.12 Guidance on SCCF	New (revised)	
(7/CP.7)	Programming Paper	
Guidance on Programming Paper for	Programming paper on	a) Updated adaptation strategy
SCCF:	SCCF:	b) Updated Tech Transfer strategy
a) Adaptation		c) Sector Specific Mitigation
b) Tech Transfer		included
(c) Sector mitigation		d) Capacity building for economic
(d) 5 priority areas on		diversification included
diversification, technologies, etc.		

STOCKHOLM CONVENTION ON POPS

- 26. The second Conference of the Parties (COP-2) to the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) was held from May 1 to 5, 2006, in Geneva, Switzerland. A detailed report on COP2 was provided at the December 2006 Council meeting in the paper on *Relations with Conventions and other Institutions*, GEF/C.30/Inf.4. The suggested GEF response to the guidance is repeated in this paper. A detailed report on the Third Meeting of the Conference of the Parties of the Stockholm Convention to be held in Dakar, Senegal from April 30 May 4 2007 will be presented to the Council at its November 2007 meeting.
- 27. Annex VI includes a list of developing countries that have received funding to prepare the National Implementation Plan for the Stockholm Convention on POPs. Until now 131 countries have received funding from GEF, out of which 28 countries have yet to ratify the convention.
- 28. COP2 adopted 10 decisions in which the GEF was requested to take action. These decisions, presented in Annex III, are summarized in the table below. The COP also decided to undertake the second review of the financial mechanism at COP4. The review is to include an assessment of incremental cost application and adequacy of funding. The terms of reference for the review will be finalized at COP3.

POPS COP2 Decision and proposed GEF Response

COP Guidance to GEF	Suggested GEF response
Continue to work with the Secretariat of the Convention to finalize Operational Programme 14 incorporating the guidance by the Conference of the Parties as soon as possible	The draft POPs strategy presented to the Council in June 2007 fully incorporates the guidance received from the COP.
Exercise any necessary flexibility in applying its criteria for access in order to ensure compatibility with the country	The GEF Secretariat will continue to consult with the Stockholm Convention

eligibility criteria established by the Conference of the Parties in decision SC-1/9 in accordance with the specific priorities and needs of eligible countries	Secretariat when questions of country eligibility arise
Include in its regular reports to the Conference of the Parties a more in-depth analysis of its financing , including co-financing, in its persistent organic pollutants portfolio, which includes sources, mechanisms, arrangements and trends	This has been done in the GEF report to COP3. The implementation of the new Project Management and Information System is expected to improve reporting in the future.
Use its network in identifying other sources of finance for persistent organic pollutant activities and to continue to develop operational requirements which facilitate and guide the approach and actions of its implementing agencies and executing agencies to proactively assist in mobilizing other sources of financing for persistent organic pollutants projects from multilateral and bilateral sources and nongovernmental organizations, including the private sector;	This is addressed by the implementation of the GEF policy on co-financing, and the on-going work of the agencies to assist countries in securing co-financing from all sources, as well as ensuring financial sustainability of GEF projects. The private sector strategy, once operational, will also contribute to this objective.
Clarify its approach to the application of the concept of incremental costs in its activities in the persistent organic pollutants focal area	This has been clarified in the GEF report to COP3 which was approved by the Council.
Dedicate a section of its website on Operational Program 14 to guidance on how to apply for funding and to finalize as soon as possible its operations manual related to the Stockholm Convention	This is being done in the context of the revamping of the GEF website and updating the GEF Operations Manual.
Consider the guidance from the Conference of the Parties on incremental costs	No such guidance has been provided yet.
Consult with the Convention Secretariat with regard to its future work on the Resource Allocation Framework as it relates to the Convention without prejudice to any further decision on the application of the Resource Allocation Framework to the persistent organic pollutants focal area and to report on this issue to the Conference of the Parties at its third meeting	The Stockholm Convention Secretariat was invited to the POPs task force meeting where preliminary discussions on the RAF were initiated. The GEF secretariat will continue to consult with the Stockholm secretariat on this matter.
Work with the Convention Secretariat to determine an appropriate approach for capacity-building for developing country Parties and Parties with economies in transition in the process of effectiveness evaluation pursuant to Article 16 of the Convention	The GEF secretariat has initiated discussions with the Stockholm secretariat on this matter, and will continue to collaborate.
Inform the Conference of the Parties of the ways in which the Global Environment Facility might support the procurement of scientific equipment and the development of scientific and technical capacity necessary for specific project execution in developing countries and countries with economies in transition necessary to fulfill their obligations under the Convention	The GEF report to COP3 identifies the ways in which GEF can support procurement of scientific equipment and build related capacity.

UN CONVENTION TO COMBAT DESERTIFICATION

- 29. The fifth session of the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification's Committee for the Review of the Implementation of the Convention (CRIC 5) met in Buenos Aires, Argentina, from March 12-21, 2007. The main focus of the CRIC was the implementation of the UNCCD in affected country parties in regions other than Africa.
- 30. The Committee discussed national experiences and the results of regional meetings in Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean, the Northern Mediterranean, and Central and Eastern Europe. Most of the session was devoted to panel presentations and discussions on selected topics, including: participatory processes, legislative and institutional frameworks, linkages and synergies with other environmental conventions, measures for the rehabilitation of degraded land, drought and desertification monitoring and assessment, financial resources, know-how and technology transfer, improving information communication and national reports, and investments in rural areas in the context of combating land degradation and desertification.
- 31. The meeting also reviewed the 2006 International Year for Deserts and Desertification and the draft ten-year strategic plan for the Convention.
- 32. The CRIC adopted a report that will be considered by the eighth session of the Conference of the Parties (COP 8) to the UNCCD.
- 33. There was increasing recognition of GEF's role in mobilizing financial resources in support of the UNCCD. There was a call for increased resources from many delegations, which is likely to be reinforced, at the report COP. There was call for UNCCD to be raised to the same level of funding of the other conventions within the GEF.
- 34. The Facilitation Committee of the Global Mechanism recognized the good collaboration between the GM and GEF.
- 35. The President of African Ministerial Conference on the Environment (AMCEN) in collaboration with the CEO and Chairperson of the GEF co-chaired a Ministerial meeting in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, from 24 to 25 April 2007 to consider the GEF Strategic Investment Program for sustainable land management in Sub-Saharan Africa in the context of the UNCCD implementation.
- 36. The Ministers adopted a declaration supporting the Program and requested the Council to approve it. The Ministers also called on donors and development partners to join Sub-Saharan Africa in scaling up Sustainable Land Management under this partnership. Underscoring the need for synergistic implementation of National Adaptation Programs of Action (NAPAs) under the auspices of the UNFCCC and National, Sub-regional and Regional Actions Programs (NAPs, SRAPs and RAPs) under UNCCD, the Ministers called for a concerted approach to deal simultaneously with NAPAs and NAPs, SRAPs and RAPs to be funded from the GEF. In this respect, they called for a decision at the next COP/MOP of UNFCCC on the housing of the Adaptation Fund inside the GEF so as to ensure continuity in programs dealing both with land degradation and adaptation issues.

Report on GEF activities in the context of the International Year of Deserts and Desertification (IYDD)

- 37. The GEF Council in November 2005 noted that the International Year of Deserts and Desertification (IYDD) offered a unique opportunity for the GEF to contribute to raising global awareness of the threats of land degradation and avenues for addressing the challenges of land degradation and sustainable development. The following provides a report on the activities financed by the GEF in support of the IYDD.
- 38. A report on *Resource mobilization and the Status of Funding of Activities related to Land Degradation* was prepared in cooperation with the Global Mechanism.
- 39. The report provides an overview of the extent of land degradation and the financial and economic responses. The report has been disseminated and discussed at the *Sustainable Land and Water Management Forum* at the GEF Assembly in Cape Town, South Africa, August 2006 and at the other events mentioned below.
- 40. UNESCO International Scientific Conference on the Future of Arid Lands, Tunis, Tunisia, June 2006. The GEF organized a side event to discuss the need for, and challenges related to, development and use of indicators on the impact of land degradation and global environmental benefits from sustainable land management.
- 41. The GEF Secretariat organized a Sustainable Land and Water Management Forum at the GEF Assembly in Cape Town, South Africa, August 2006. The forum was attended by 250 experts and policy makers. Discussion focused on the results of the report on Resource mobilization and the Status of Funding of Activities related to Land Degradation, the results of the UNESCO International Scientific Conference on the Future of Arid Lands and Lessons from GEF land and water activities, including the Country Partnership Programs. A High Level roundtable meeting summarized the discussions and issued conclusions and recommendations in the Cape Town Statement.
- 42. The Government of Algeria, in cooperation with the United Nations University, organized the *Policy Imperative Joint International Conference* in Algiers, Algeria, from December 17 19, 2006. GEF contributed with a presentation of the results of the activities under the GEF IYDD Special Initiative as mentioned above. An international and diverse group of experts and decision makers discussed desertification related policy issues and will produce a policy brief summarizing key policy and management recommendations. GEF financed the participation of three representatives of GEF projects and three female representatives from developing countries. The GEF CEO participated in the High-level Discussion Panel.

MONTREAL PROTOCOL ON SUBSTANCES THAT DEPLETE THE OZONE LAYER

43. The eighteenth Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer (MOP-18) took place in New Delhi, India, from October 30 – November 3, 2006.

- 44. MOP-18 adopted 37 decisions, including discussions on: essential-use nominations and other issues arising out of the 2006 reports of the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel (TEAP); future work following the Secretariat's. A workshop on the Special Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and the TEAP; critical-use nominations; treatment of stockpiled ozone-depleting substances (ODS) relative to compliance; a feasibility study on developing a system for monitoring the trans-boundary movement of ODS; and key challenges to be faced by parties in protecting the ozone layer over the next decade.
- 45. The forthcoming 19th Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol, to take place September 17-21 in Montreal, Canada, will mark the 20th Anniversary of the Protocol. The 27th Meeting of the Open-Ended Working Group of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol (OEWG) will take place June 4-7 2007, in Nairobi, Kenya, and will amongst other things start discussions on the replenishment of the Multilateral Fund for the period 2009-2011. It will be preceded by a "Dialogue on key future challenges to be faced by the Montreal Protocol", June 2-3, that will discuss, *inter alia*, the future of the Multilateral Fund.

INFORMATION ON OTHER INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONVENTIONS

Basel Convention

- 46. The Basel Convention information the GEF that the eighth meeting of the Conference of the Parties (COP8) to the Basel Convention on the Control of Trans-boundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal was held from November 27 to December 1, 2006, at the UN Office in Nairobi, Kenya. COP8 considered several reports on activities within the Convention's mandate and adopted a declaration on electronic waste and more than 30 decisions on the implementation of the Strategic Plan, ship dismantling, amendments to the general technical guidelines for the environmentally sound management (ESM) of persistent organic pollutants wastes (POPs), the guidelines for ESM of wastes and technical guidelines for ESM of a variety of chemicals.
- 47. The Conference of the Parties (Decision VIII/34: Resource mobilization and sustainable financing) recommended that individual developing countries and Countries with economies in transition that are Parties to both the Stockholm and Basel Conventions:
 - (a) Use their national implementation plans under the Stockholm Convention as a basis for managing wastes consisting of, containing or contaminated with persistent organic pollutants;
 - (b) Propose projects to the Global Environment Facility within its mandate that may contribute to implementation of the Stockholm Convention as well as contribute indirectly to the implementation of the Basel Convention by building capacity.
- 48. The COP also requested the Secretariat, in a facilitative role, to work closely with the relevant implementing, executing, and finance agencies (including the World Bank, the United Nations Development Programme, the United Nations Environment Programme, the United Nations Industrial Development Organization and others) and the Global Environment Facility to enhance their understanding of, and support for, the aims and objectives of the present decision.

MEETING OF THE COMMISSION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

49. The GEF CEO will be participating in the 15th session of the Commission on Sustainable Development (CSD-15). The CSD will take place at UN headquarters in New York from April 30 to May 11, 2007. CSD-15 is tasked to focus on Energy for Sustainable Development; Industrial Development; Air pollution/ Atmosphere; and Climate Change.

ANNEX 1. CBD COP8 GUIDANCE TO GEF

Decision VIII/18

Guidance to the financial mechanism

The Conference of the Parties,

Bearing in mind Articles 20 and 21,

Taking note of the report of the Global Environment Facility as contained in the document UNEP/CBD/COP/8/10,

Recalling the relevant provisions of the Convention on Biological Diversity and its decisions I/2, II/6, III/5, III/8, IV/11, IV/13, V/12, V/13, VI/16, VI/17 and VII/20,

- 1. *Decides* to adopt the updated list of developed country Parties and other Parties that voluntarily assume the obligations of developed country Parties in accordance with Article 20, paragraph 2 of the Convention, as contained in the annex to the present decision;
- 2. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility, as the institutional structure to operate the financial mechanism of the Convention, to include in its regular report to the Conference of the Parties information on:
- (a) The initial application of the Resource Allocation Framework to resources allocated in the fourth replenishment of the Global Environment Facility that is operational from July 2006, focusing on the biodiversity focal area;
- (b) How the Resource Allocation Framework is likely to affect funding available to developing countries and countries with economies in transition for the implementation of their commitments under the Convention;
- 3. *Urges* the Global Environment Facility to further simplify and streamline its procedures, in consideration of the special conditions within developing country Parties, in particular the least developed countries and the small island developing States as referred to in paragraphs 5 and 6 of Article 20 as well as those conditions within Parties with economies in transition:
- 4. *Urges* the Global Environment Facility to develop responses to the capacity and access challenges faced by the small island developing States, the least developed countries and the less developed countries with economies in transition, as identified in the third Overall Performance Study of the Global Environment Facility;
- 5. *Invites* the third Assembly of the Global Environment Facility to include in its high-level political discussions the opportunities and challenges of the GEF in its role as financial mechanism for the Convention;

- 6. Requests the Executive Secretary, in consultation with the Parties, to explore opportunities for streamlining the guidance provided to the Global Environment Facility taking into account the framework for goals and targets in decision VII/30 as well as indicators for assessing progress toward the achievement of the 2010 target and to present the results to the Conference of the Parties through the Ad Hoc Open-ended Working Group on Review of the Implementation of the Convention;
- 7. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility to consult with the Executive Secretary in relevant review processes undertaken by the Global Environment Facility that affect the financial mechanism of the Convention;
- 8. *Decides* to provide the following additional guidance to the Global Environment Facility in the provision of financial resources, in accordance with, Article 20 and Article 21 paragraph 1 of the Convention and in conformity with decisions I/2, II/6, III/5, IV/13, V/13, VI/17 and VII/20 of the Conference of the Parties;

Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety

- 9. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility to provide an assurance that the introduction of the Resource Allocation Framework will not in any way jeopardize eligible Parties' access to funding for biosafety-related activities including regional activities where appropriate;
- 10. Requests the Global Environment Facility to base their allocation of resources to support the implementation of the Protocol on country needs and priorities, and as a priority to support the establishment of a base level of capacity in all eligible developing country Parties, in particular the least developed and the small island developing States, and Parties with economies in transition:
- 11. *Urges* the Global Environment Facility to support in-country, regional and subregional stock-taking studies to enable:
- (a) The better planning and customizing of future assistance to the respective needs of eligible countries, given the fact that a "one-size-fits-all" approach to biosafety has been demonstrated to be inappropriate;
 - (b) The identification of clear and realistic targets;
- (c) The identification and provision of technical and adequately experienced expertise for the implementation of national biosafety frameworks;
- (d) The development of effective coordination which facilitates the support, ownership and involvement of all relevant national ministries and authorities, to ensure synergy and continuity;
 - 12. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility to support:

- (a) The provision of longer-term support for building, consolidating and enhancing sustainable human resource capacity in risk assessment and risk management, and also in developing detection techniques for identifying living modified organisms;
- (b) Awareness-raising, public participation and information sharing, including through the Biosafety Clearing-House;
- (c) Coordination and harmonization of national biosafety frameworks at regional and subregional levels, where appropriate;
- (d) Sustainable national participation in the Biosafety Clearing-House, including capacity-building, to take into account the need for Parties to be able to provide summary information in the common formats for reporting information (particularly keywords for categorizing records) in an official language of the United Nations to enable registration of such information with the Central Portal;
- (e) Transfer and joint development of technology in risk assessment, risk management, monitoring and detection of living modified organisms;
 - (f) Development and implementation of national biosafety frameworks;
- (g) Development of technical, financial, and human capacity including postgraduate education, biosafety-related laboratories and relevant equipment;
- (h) Implementation of the revised Action Plan for Building Capacities for the Effective Implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety;
- (i) Facilitation of the consultative information-gathering process leading to the preparation of national reports under the Protocol for those developing country Parties, in particular the least developed and small island developing States, and Parties with economies in transition, which lack sufficient capacity in this regard;
- 13. *Invites* the Global Environment Facility, developed country Parties and Governments, as well as relevant organizations to take into account the revised Action Plan for Building Capacities for the Effective Implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety and increase their financial and technical support to developing countries and countries with economies in transition for its implementation;

Island biodiversity

14. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility and its Implementing Agencies to recognize the programme of work on island biodiversity and its relevance to developing countries, and in particular least developed countries and small island developing States, and to provide support for its implementation;

15. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility to further simplify their procedures so as to take into account the special circumstances of small island developing States in implementing the programme of work on island biodiversity;

Millennium Ecosystem Assessment

- 16. *Invites* the Global Environment Facility, in coordination with the Executive Secretary, to identify gaps and needs in relation to existing financial resources, until 2010, to meet the unprecedented additional efforts needed to significantly reduce the rate of biodiversity loss and maintain the provision of ecosystem goods and services;
- 17. *Encourages* Parties and other Governments to conduct national and other sub-global assessments making use of the conceptual framework and methodologies of the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, as appropriate, and *invites* the Global Environment Facility and bilateral and multilateral funding organizations, as appropriate, to provide funding for these assessments;

Implementation of the Convention

- 18. *Invites* the Global Environment Facility to provide information on its contribution and experience regarding the implementation of goals 2 and 3 of the Strategic Plan;
- 19. *Invites* the Global Environment Facility and bilateral and multilateral funding organizations to provide funding for the review and update of national biodiversity strategies and action plans;

Technology transfer and cooperation

20. *Invites* the Global Environmental Facility to provide financial support to developing countries and countries with economies in transition for the implementation of the programme of work;

Global Initiative on Communication, Education and Public Awareness

21. *Urges* the Global Environment Facility and other bilateral and multilateral institutions to make available the necessary financial resources especially for developing countries, particularly the least developed and small island developing States, and countries with economies in transition, to implement the identified Communication, Education and Public Awareness priority activities at national and regional levels in support of biodiversity strategies and action plans and any other information, education, and communication awareness strategies;

National reporting

22. *Invites* the Global Environment Facility and other bilateral and multilateral financial instruments as appropriate, to provide financial support to eligible Parties for the preparation of their fourth national reports, in a timely fashion and preferably no later than 1 January 2007;

23. Further invites the Global Environment Facility to explore and establish easier and expeditious mechanisms for the provision of funds to eligible countries for preparing their future national reports;

Global Taxonomy Initiative

- 24. Requests the Global Environment Facility to continue to support the implementation of the planned activities contained in the programme of work on the Global Taxonomy Initiative, including taxonomic needs assessments, projects with a taxonomic focus or clearly identified taxonomic components, and regional activities on taxonomic capacity development and access to technology;
- 25. Further requests the Global Environment Facility to provide financial resources to developing countries, in particular the small island developing States, and countries with economies in transition, for projects which help to establish and operationalize their national focal points for the Global Taxonomy Initiative, as well as financial resources to support capacity-building activities such as, *inter alia*, taxonomic training related to specific taxa and information technologies;
- 26. Requests the secretariats of the Convention and the Global Environment Facility to conduct a joint analysis of funded projects related to the Global Taxonomy Initiative and relevant project information contained in national reports, including analysis of the resources directed specifically to capacity-building, with a view to extracting best practices and sharing information and experience in promoting financial support for the Initiative;

Invasive alien species

27. *Notes* the need for the provision of additional funding by the financial mechanism of the Convention to support capacity-building for developing countries, in particular the least developed and small island developing States, and countries with economies in transition, to prevent or minimize the risks of the dispersal and establishment of invasive alien species at the national, subregional, or regional levels;

Protected areas

28. *Invites* the United Nations Development Programme, the World Bank, the United Nations Environment Programme and other Implementing Agencies of the Global Environment Facility, along with other relevant organizations, to help facilitate and financially support the protected-area financing roundtables referred to in paragraph 18 (a) of decision VIII/24, on protected areas, in accordance with their mandates;

29. Invites the Global Environment Facility:

(a) To support early action activities of the programme of work, taking into account the identified national needs at a scale to sufficiently support developing countries, particularly the least developed and small island developing States, and countries with economies in transition;

- (b) To support national and regional systems of protected areas taking into account the targets and timetables in the programme of work;
- (c) To maintain the proportion of funding for protected areas in the biodiversity envelop of the business plan of the fourth phase of the Global Environment Facility, taking into account the goals and targets in the programme of work and the niche of the Global Environment Facility in providing system-wide protected-areas support;
- (d) To review and revise, as appropriate, its protected areas' policies in relation to indigenous and local communities; and
- (e) To support community conserved areas, ensuring the immediate, full and effective participation of indigenous peoples and local communities in the development of relevant activities;
- 30. *Invites* the Implementing Agencies of the Global Environment Facility to treat requests for access to funding for the projects mentioned in 29 (a) and (b) above in an expeditious manner.

Updated list of developed country parties and other parties that voluntarily assume the obligations of developed country parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (2006)

Australia	Austria
Belgium	Canada
Czech Republic	Denmark
Finland	France
Germany	Greece
Iceland	Ireland
Italy	Japan
Luxembourg	Monaco
Netherlands	New Zealand
Norway	Portugal
Slovenia	Spain
Sweden	Switzerland
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	

ANNEX II. UNFCCC COP12 GUIDANCE TO THE GEF

Report of the Conference of the Parties on its twelfth session, held at Nairobi from 6 to 17 November 2006

DECISION 1/CP.12

FURTHER GUIDANCE TO AN ENTITY ENTRUSTED WITH THE OPERATION OF THE FINANCIAL MECHANISM OF THE CONVENTION, FOR THE OPERATION OF THE SPECIAL CLIMATE CHANGE FUND

The Conference of the Parties,

Recalling the relevant provisions of, in particular, Article 4, paragraphs 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10, and Article 11, of the Convention,

Recalling also its decisions 4/CP.7, 5/CP.7, 7/CP.7, 7/CP.8 and 5/CP.9,

Noting the views of Parties on activities, programmes and measures in the areas listed in decision 7/CP.7, paragraph 2 (c) and (d),

Welcoming the outcome of pledging meetings of potential donors to the Special Climate Change Fund and noting that USD 60 million have been pledged for that fund,

Recognizing the need for the Global Environment Facility to continue its efforts to mobilize additional resources to support the implementation of eligible project activities under the Special Climate Change Fund,

Acknowledging the work of the Global Environment Facility to operationalize the Special Climate Change Fund,

Noting the concerns expressed by most Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention with regard to the operational criteria and policies to be followed in financing activities under the Special Climate Change Fund during an initial five-year period, which were endorsed by the Global Environment Facility Council in November 2004,

Noting also the separation between the administration and activities of the Global Environment Facility and the Special Climate Change Fund,

Noting further that activities supported through the Special Climate Change Fund shall take into account national communications or national adaptation programmes of action, where available, and other relevant information provided by the applicant Party,

Reiterating that the Special Climate Change Fund should serve as a catalyst to leverage additional resources from bilateral and other multilateral sources,

Reiterating also that activities to be funded should be country-driven, cost-effective and integrated into national sustainable development and poverty-reduction strategies,

Reiterating further that the provision of support for the implementation of eligible activities under the Special Climate Change Fund shall be consistent with the guidance provided by the Conference of the Parties,

- 1. Decides that the Special Climate Change Fund shall be used to finance activities, programmes and measures relating to climate change in the areas set out in decision 7/CP.7, paragraph 2 (c), that are complementary to those funded by the resources allocated to the climate change focal area of the Global Environment Facility and by bilateral and multilateral funding, particularly in the following priority areas:
 - (a) Energy efficiency, energy savings, renewable energy and less-greenhouse-gas-emitting advanced fossil-fuel technologies;
 - (b) Innovation including through research and development relating to energy efficiency and savings in the transport and industry sectors;
 - (c) Climate-friendly agricultural technologies and practices, including traditional agricultural methods;
 - (d) Afforestation, reforestation and use of marginal land;
 - (e) Solid and liquid waste management for the recovery of methane;
- 2. Decides that the Special Climate Change Fund shall be used to finance activities, programmes and measures relating to climate change in the areas set out in decision 7/CP.7, paragraph 2 (d), that are complementary to those funded by the resources allocated to the climate change focal area of the Global Environment Facility and by other bilateral and multilateral funding initially in the following areas:
 - (a) Capacity-building at the national level in the areas of:
 - (i) Economic diversification;
 - (ii) Energy efficiency in countries whose economies are highly dependent on consumption of fossil fuels and associated energy-intensive products;
 - (b) Support through technical assistance the creation of favourable conditions for investment in sectors where such investment could contribute to economic diversification:
 - (c) Support through technical assistance the diffusion and transfer of less-greenhouse-gasemitting advanced fossil-fuel technologies;
 - (d) Support through technical assistance innovative national advanced fuel technologies;
 - (e) Support through technical assistance the promotion of investments in less-greenhouse-gas-emitting, environmentally sound energy sources, including natural gas, according to the national circumstances of Parties;
- 3. *Decides* to assess, at its fifteenth session, the status of implementation of paragraph 2 above, with a view to considering further guidance on how the fund shall support concrete implementation projects in accordance with paragraphs 22–29 of decision 5/CP.7;

- 4. *Decides* that the operational principles and criteria of the Special Climate Change Fund and the manner in which they are carried out in the operation of the Special Climate Change Fund will apply only to Global Environment Facility activities financed under the Special Climate Change Fund;
- 5. *Requests* the entity entrusted with the operation of the Special Climate Change Fund to continue to adhere strictly to the decisions of the Conference of the Parties in the operationalization of the Special Climate Change Fund;
- 6. *Requests* the entity entrusted with the operation of the Special Climate Change Fund to continue its efforts to mobilize resources, in addition to those already pledged, to support the funding of eligible project activities under the Special Climate Change Fund;
- 7. *Requests* the entity referred to in paragraph 6 above to include in its report to the Conference of the Parties, at its thirteenth session, the specific steps it has undertaken to implement this decision.

7th plenary meeting 17 November 2006

Decision 2/CP.12

Review of the financial mechanism

The Conference of the Parties,

Recalling Article 4, paragraph 3, and Article 11, paragraph 4, of the Convention,

Recalling also its decisions 11/CP.2, 12/CP.2, 13/CP.2, 11/CP.3, 12/CP.3, 3/CP.4, 5/CP.8 and 9/CP.10,

Noting the report prepared by the secretariat on the experience of international funds and multilateral financial institutions relevant to the investment needs of developing countries in meeting their commitments under the Convention (FCCC/SBI/2005/INF.7), including on financial flows from private sector sources,

Noting also the synthesis report on the financial mechanism prepared by the secretariat (FCCC/SBI/2006/7), the report of the Global Environment Facility to the Conference of the Parties at its eleventh session (FCCC/SBI/2006/3), and the Third Overall Performance Study of the Global Environment Facility (GEF/C.22/10),

Noting the report on the assessment of funding necessary to assist developing countries in fulfilling their commitments under the Convention, prepared by the secretariat in the context of the memorandum of understanding between the Conference of the Parties and the Council of the Global Environment Facility, including on other sources of funding available, inter alia, through bilateral and other multilateral channels and private capital flows (FCCC/SBI/2004/18, chapter V),

Acknowledging the discussions at the Third Global Environment Facility Assembly, including the high-level political discussions, on the opportunities and challenges of the Global Environment Facility in its role as an operating entity of the financial mechanism of the Convention,

Appreciating the conclusion of the fourth replenishment of the Global Environment Facility,

Noting that mitigation and adaptation are key concerns for all Parties to the Convention,

Acknowledging that the global nature of climate change calls for the widest possible cooperation and participation in an effective and appropriate international response, in accordance with the principles of the Convention,

Also noting that adaptation to climate change and its adverse effects is of a high priority for all countries and that developing countries, especially the least developed countries and small island developing States, are particularly vulnerable,

Noting that the largest share of the climate change resources of the Global Environment Facility has been assigned to long-term mitigation projects,

Noting the report of the Global Environment Facility to the Conference of the Parties at its twelfth session, including the response of the Global Environment Facility to the requests contained in decision 5/CP.11, paragraph 1, on additional guidance to an operating entity of the financial mechanism,

Noting that while the Global Environment Facility has effectively performed its role as an operating entity of the financial mechanism of the Convention as reported in the third overall performance study of the Global Environment Facility, this study has made recommendations for improvements in the operation procedures of the Global Environment Facility,

- 8. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility:
- (a) To give due priority to adaptation activities in accordance with the guidance provided by the Conference of the Parties;
- (b) To strengthen work on awareness-raising on Global Environment Facility programmes and procedures in order to assist developing countries to access Global Environment Facility funds;
- (c) To explore options for undertaking land use and land-use change projects within the climate change focal area of the Global Environment Facility, in light of past experience;
- (d) To continue its promotion of energy efficiency projects;
- 9. Further requests the Global Environment Facility to recognize and respond to the challenges faced by small island developing States and least developed countries in accessing Global

Environment Facility funding highlighted in the third overall performance study of the Global Environment Facility;⁵

- 10. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility to report to the Conference of the Parties at its thirteenth session on:
 - (a) Its response to the recommendations of the third overall performance study of the Global Environment Facility;
 - (b) How it has taken into consideration the recommendations of the third review of the financial mechanism;
 - (c) Its response to the findings of the report on project cycle management by the Global Environment Facility Evaluation Office;
 - (d) Efforts to engage the private sector in providing resources to address climate change;
 - (e) Steps taken to strengthen work on awareness-raising on Global Environment Facility programmes and procedures in order to assist developing countries to access Global Environment Facility funds;
 - (f) How it has recognized and responded to the challenges faced by least developed countries and small island developing States, as referred to in paragraph 2 above;
 - (g) Steps taken to assist developing countries to formulate project proposals;
- 11. *Invites* the Global Environment Facility, in light of the third overall performance study of the Global Environment Facility, to review the overall strategic coherence of, and revise, as necessary, its climate change focal area operational programmes in the light of findings and recommendations of the third overall performance study of the Global Environment Facility;
- 12. Requests the Subsidiary Body for Implementation to initiate the fourth review of the financial mechanism at its twenty-seventh session in accordance with the criteria contained in the guidelines annexed to decision 3/CP.4, or as they may be subsequently amended, and to take appropriate measures and report on the outcome to the Conference of the Parties no later than at its sixteenth session;
 - 13. Decides that the fourth review of the financial mechanism should assess and review:
 - (a) The Global Environment Facility funding for mitigation;
 - (b) The Global Environment Facility response to the adaptation needs of developing countries in accordance with guidance by the Conference of the Parties;
- 14. *Invites* Parties that are donors to the fourth replenishment of the Global Environment Facility to provide timely contributions to help ensure adequate and predictable resources necessary to advance the implementation of the Convention;

26

⁵ Global Environment Facility and ICF Consulting, 2005. OPS3: Progressing Toward Environmental Results. Third overall performance study of the Global Environment Facility. Office of Monitoring Evaluation of the Global Environment Facility, Washington. In particular, its section 5.3.

 $< http://www.gefweb.org/Monitoring and Evaluation/MEPublications/MEPOPS/documents/Publications-OPS3_complete_report.pdf>.$

- 15. *Requests* the secretariat, in order to start the fourth review process in 2007, to prepare for consideration and appropriate action by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its twenty-seventh session:
 - (a) A technical paper reviewing the experience of international funds and multilateral financial institutions and other sources of funding that is relevant to address current and future investment and financial needs of developing countries for the purposes of meeting their commitments under the Convention;
 - (b) A report, in collaboration with the Global Environment Facility Secretariat, on the assessment of the funding necessary to assist developing countries, in accordance with the guidance provided by the Conference of the Parties, in meeting their commitments under the Convention over the next Global Environment Facility replenishment cycle, taking into account paragraph 1 (a)–(d) of the Annex to the memorandum of understanding between the Conference of the Parties and the Global Environment Facility Council (decision 12/CP.3).

7th plenary meeting 17 November 2006

Decision 3/CP.12

Additional guidance to the Global Environment Facility

The Conference of the Parties,

Recalling Article 3, Article 4, paragraphs 1, 3, 4, 7, 8 and 9, Article 11 and Article 12, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Convention,

Recalling also its decisions 13/CP.1, 10/CP.2, 11/CP.2, 12/CP.2, 12/CP.3, 1/CP.4, 2/CP.4, 8/CP.5, 2/CP.7, 3/CP.7, 6/CP.7, 7/CP.7, 5/CP.8, 6/CP.8, 7/CP.8, 3/CP.9, 4/CP.9, 9/CP.9, 8/CP.10 and 5/CP.11,

Noting the report of the Global Environment Facility to the Conference of the Parties (FCCC/CP/2006/3 and Corr.1),

Noting the Global Environment Facility's consideration of carbon capture and storage technology,

Recalling Article 4, paragraph 1, and Article 6 of the Convention, as well as decisions 11/CP.1, 2/CP.4, 6/CP.8 and 11/CP.8,

Noting the concerns expressed by developing country Parties over the implications of the requirements for co-financing, in particular on adaptation project activities,

1. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility, as an operating entity of the financial mechanism of the Convention:

- (a) To further simplify its procedures and improve the efficiency of the process through which developing country Parties receive funding for projects for the implementation of their commitments under Article 4, paragraph 1, of the Convention;
- (a) To explore options to address concerns of developing country Parties on requirements for leveraging additional funds for projects;
- (b) To give a detailed report on the resources available to each developing country Party in the initial implementation of the resource allocation framework, including a list of activities funded with these resources during this initial period in the climate change focal area;
- (c) To continue to provide financial support for the implementation of the technology transfer contained in the annex to decision 4/CP.7, including new sub-themes, through its climate change focal area and the Special Climate Change Fund established under decision 7/CP.7;
- (d) To provide financing to Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention that received the top-up fund but did not conduct their technology needs assessments, to enable these Parties to conduct their technology needs assessments as part of their second national communications, and to provide these funds to Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention that have conducted their technology needs assessments but need to update them, also as part of their second national communications, in addition to the amount approved for the preparation of their second national communications;
- 2. *Invites* the Global Environment Facility, as an operating entity of the financial mechanism of the Convention:
 - (a) To further simplify its procedures and improve the efficiency of the process by which Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention receive funding to meet their obligations under Article 12, paragraph 1, of the Convention, with the aim of ensuring the timely disbursement of funds to meet the agreed full costs incurred by developing country Parties in complying with these obligations;
 - (b) To provide updated information on the operational procedures for the expedited financing of national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention, for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its twenty-sixth session;
 - (c) When providing support for technology needs assessments, in accordance with decisions 4/CP.9 and 5/CP.9, to take into account actions to address barriers and constraints as well as the creation of enabling environments and capacity gaps, identified by the Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention and as contained in document FCCC/SBSTA/2006/INF.1:
 - (d) To produce simple guidelines on how to enhance activities relating to Article 6 in project proposals when applying for Global Environment Facility funding;
- 3. *Urges* the Global Environment Facility to provide further funding, in a more timely manner, to the developing country Parties, in particular the least developed countries and small island developing States, to allow these countries to implement activities relating to Article 6 and the New Delhi work programme;

_

¹ FCCC/SBSTA/2006/5, paragraph 21.

- 4. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility to include, in its regular report to the Conference of the Parties, information on the specific steps it has taken to implement guidance contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 above;
- 5. *Invites* Parties to submit to the secretariat, by 17 August 2007, their views and recommendations on the funding available to them in the climate change focal area, for compilation by the secretariat for consideration and appropriate action at the Conference of the Parties at its thirteenth session and for transmission through the secretariat to the Council of the Global Environment Facility.

7th plenary meeting 17 November 2006

Decision 4/CP.12

Capacity-building under the Convention

The Conference of the Parties,

Being guided by Articles 4.1, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 4.7, in the context of Article 3, and Articles 5 and 6 of the Convention,

Recalling the provisions related to capacity-building for developing countries contained in its decisions 4/CP.9 and 9/CP.9,

Recalling its decisions 2/CP.7 and 2/CP.10 calling on the Conference of the Parties, through the Subsidiary Body for Implementation, to regularly monitor the progress of the implementation of the capacity-building framework annexed to decision 2/CP.7 and the Subsidiary Body for Implementation to report to the Conference of the Parties at each of its sessions.

Recognizing that the purpose of regular monitoring should be to facilitate assessment of progress made, identification of gaps, and effectiveness of the implementation of the capacity-building framework and to support the comprehensive review,

Welcoming financial support from the Global Environment Facility as an operating entity of the financial mechanism in implementation of the capacity-building framework, while noting the need to provide adequate resources to support the effective implementation of the framework,

Noting the need for reporting on activities undertaken by multilateral, bilateral and private sector entities with respect to capacity-building pursuant to decision 2/CP.7,

Reaffirming that the first step of the monitoring was the establishment of the capacity-building framework,

Acknowledging that the implementation of the capacity-building framework is ongoing,

- 1. *Decides* that the following additional steps will be taken annually to regularly monitor the implementation of the capacity-building framework pursuant to decisions 2/CP.7 and 2/CP.10:
 - (a) Parties to be invited to submit information on the activities that they have undertaken pursuant to decisions 2/CP.7 and 2/CP.10, which should include, inter alia, such elements as needs and gaps, experiences and lessons learned;
 - (b) The Global Environment Facility to provide a report on its progress in support of the implementation of the framework in its reports to the Conference of the Parties;
 - (c) The secretariat to produce a synthesis report in accordance with paragraph 9 of decision 2/CP.7, drawing upon information contained in national adaptation programmes of action, technology needs assessments and national capacity self assessment and the information contained in subparagraph (a) above;
 - (d) Parties to consider the Global Environment Facility report mentioned in subparagraph (b) above and the synthesis report described in subparagraph (c) above as a basis for regular monitoring and as a contribution to the comprehensive review of the capacity-building framework;
- 2. *Requests* the secretariat to organize, before the thirteenth session of the Conference of the Parties, in collaboration with the Global Environment Facility and subject to the availability of resources, an expert workshop:
 - (a) To exchange views on experiences in monitoring and evaluation of capacity-building by Parties, and where relevant, multilateral, bilateral agencies as well as intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations;
 - (b) To discuss the work of the Global Environmental Facility with regard to the development of capacity-building performance indicators relevant for monitoring the implementation of the capacity-building framework;
- 3. *Requests* the secretariat to report on the outcome of the workshop for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its twenty-seventh session;
- 4. *Requests* the secretariat to develop a possible structured format for the synthesis report, for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its twenty-sixth session;
- 5. Reiterates its request to the Global Environment Facility, as an operating entity of the financial mechanism, to take into account the key factors for capacity-building as laid out in paragraph 1 of decision 2/CP.10 when supporting capacity-building activities in developing countries;
- 6. Reiterates its request to the Global Environment Facility to continue to provide financial resources to support the development of the information sources named in paragraph 1 (c), above, as appropriate, in accordance with decisions 2/CP.7, 6/CP.7, 4/CP.9, 2/CP.10 and 8/CP.10.

7th plenary meeting 17 November 2006

ANNEX III. REPORT OF THE CONFERENCE OF THE PARTIES OF THE STOCKHOLM CONVENTION ON PERSISTENT ORGANIC POLLUTANTS

SC-2/10: Financial resources and mechanism

The Conference of the Parties,

- 1. Welcomes the approval by the Council of the Global Environment Facility of the memorandum of understanding between the Conference of the Parties of the Stockholm Convention and the Council of the Global Environment Facility contained in the annex to decision SC-1/11 and takes note that the memorandum entered into effect on 10 November 2005;
- 2. Requests the Secretariat, in consultation with the Global Environment Facility, to report to the Conference at its future meetings on the effectiveness of the implementation of the memorandum of understanding between the Conference of the Parties and the Council of the Global Environment Facility;
- 3. *Welcomes* the report on the first review of the financial mechanism and notes its recommendations, the relevant ones of which are included, as appropriate, either in the present decision or in decision SC-2/11 on additional guidance to the financial mechanism;
- 4. *Decides* to include all its requests and recommendations to the Global Environment Facility in a single decision on additional guidance to the financial mechanism;
- 5. *Welcomes* the achievements of the Global Environment Facility in support of the Convention and notes that the report of the first review of the financial mechanism is in general appreciative of the role of the Global Environment Facility as the principal entity of the financial mechanism of the Convention;
- 6. *Urges* donor Parties and invites other Governments to contribute to the Global Environment Facility in order to achieve a timely and successful fourth replenishment and the resources necessary for advancing the implementation of the Convention;

Mobilization of resources

- 7. *Invites*, in accordance with paragraphs 2 and 3 of Article 13 of the Convention, the developed country Parties, other Parties and other sources, including relevant funding institutions, to provide information to the Secretariat on ways in which they can support the Convention;
- 8. *Requests* the Secretariat to identify other possible sources of funding and/or entities to facilitate the adequacy and sustainability of funding for activities relevant to the implementation of the Convention and to propose arrangements with those entities for consideration by the Conference of the Parties at its third meeting;
- 9. Also requests the Secretariat to prepare a report on the basis of the information to be provided pursuant to paragraphs 7 and 8 above reviewing the availability of financial resources in addition to those provided through the Global Environment Facility and ways and means of mobilizing and channelling those resources in support of the objectives of the Convention, as requested by the Conference of Plenipotentiaries in its resolution 2, for consideration by the Conference of the Parties at its third meeting;

Second review of the financial mechanism

10. Further requests the Secretariat to prepare, on the basis of the terms of reference for the first review of the financial mechanism contained in the annex to decision SC-1/10, draft terms of reference for the second review of the financial mechanism, for consideration and possible adoption by the Conference of the Parties at its third meeting;

- 11. *Decides* to undertake the second review of the financial mechanism at the fourth meeting of the Conference of the Parties, scheduled to take place in 2009, in time to submit recommendations and guidance to the Council of the Global Environment Facility and to invite it to take into account such recommendations and guidance during the Global Environment Facility's fifth replenishment, in 2009:
 - 12. Also decides that the second review of the financial mechanism should also include:
- (a) An assessment of the Global Environment Facility principles of incremental cost and global environmental benefits as they pertain to activities relating to persistent organic pollutants in order to facilitate fulfilment of obligations under the Convention, along with lessons learned from the evaluation reports on the activities of the Global Environment Facility;
 - (b) An assessment of the adequacy, sustainability and predictability of the funding.

SC-2/11: Additional guidance to the Financial Mechanism

The Conference of the Parties,

- 1. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility to continue to work with the Secretariat of the Convention to finalize Operational Programme 14 incorporating the guidance by the Conference of the Parties as soon as possible;
- 2. Also requests the Global Environment Facility to exercise any necessary flexibility in applying its criteria for access in order to ensure compatibility with the country eligibility criteria established by the Conference of the Parties in decision SC-1/9 in accordance with the specific priorities and needs of eligible countries;
- 3. Further requests the Global Environment Facility to include in its regular reports to the Conference of the Parties a more in-depth analysis of its financing, including co-financing, in its persistent organic pollutants portfolio, which includes sources, mechanisms, arrangements and trends;
- 4. *Invites* the Global Environment Facility to use its network in identifying other sources of finance for persistent organic pollutant activities and to continue to develop operational requirements which facilitate and guide the approach and actions of its implementing agencies and executing agencies to proactively assist in mobilizing other sources of financing for persistent organic pollutants projects from multilateral and bilateral sources and non-governmental organizations, including the private sector;
- 5. *Requests* the Global Environment Facility to clarify its approach to the application of the concept of incremental costs in its activities in the persistent organic pollutants focal area;
- 6. *Also requests* the Global Environment Facility to dedicate a section of its website on Operational Programme 14 to guidance on how to apply for funding and to finalize as soon as possible its operations manual related to the Stockholm Convention;
- 7. Further requests the Global Environment Facility to consider the guidance from the Conference of the Parties on incremental costs:
- 8. *Notes* that the Resource Allocation Framework of the Global Environment Facility is not currently applied to the persistent organic pollutants focal area and invites the Global Environment Facility to consult with the Convention Secretariat with regard to its future work on the Resource Allocation Framework as it relates to the Convention without prejudice to any further decision on the application of the Resource Allocation Framework to the persistent organic pollutants focal area and to report on this issue to the Conference of the Parties at its third meeting;

- 9. Requests the Global Environment Facility to work with the Convention Secretariat to determine an appropriate approach for capacity-building for developing country Parties and Parties with economies in transition in the process of effectiveness evaluation pursuant to Article 16 of the Convention:
- 10. Also requests the Global Environment Facility to inform the Conference of the Parties of the ways in which the Global Environment Facility might support the procurement of scientific equipment and the development of scientific and technical capacity necessary for specific project execution in developing countries and countries with economies in transition necessary to fulfil their obligations under the Convention.

SC-2/12: Terms of reference for work on modalities on the needs assessment for Parties that are developing countries or Parties with economies in transition to implement the provisions of the Convention over the period 2006–2010

The Conference of the Parties,

- 1. *Adopts* the terms of reference set forth in the annex to the present decision for work on modalities on the needs assessment for Parties that are developing countries or Parties with economies in transition to implement the provisions of the Convention over the period 2006–2010;
- 2. Requests the Secretariat to make the necessary arrangements to initiate the preliminary assessment of funding needs;
- 3. *Invites* the Parties, other Governments, the principal entity of the financial mechanism, other financial institutions, intergovernmental organizations, non-governmental organizations, including the private sector, and secretariats of other multilateral environmental agreements to provide to the Secretariat by 31 December 2006 the information needed for the work on preliminary assessment of funding needs for developing country Parties and Parties with economies in transition to implement the provisions of the Convention over the period 2006-2010;
- 4. *Requests* the Secretariat to provide the report of the preliminary assessment of funding needs for consideration by the Conference of the Parties at its third meeting;
- 5. *Invites* Parties and other Governments, on the basis of the above-mentioned terms of reference, to submit to the Secretariat by 31 October 2006 views on the further elaboration of the terms of reference for work on assessment of funding needs for Parties that are developing countries or Parties with economies in transition to implement the provisions of the Convention over the period 2006–2010;
- 6. Requests the Secretariat to prepare revised draft terms of reference taking into account the experience and lessons learned from conducting the preliminary assessment of funding needs as well as the views submitted under paragraph 5 of the present decision for consideration by the Conference of the Parties at its third meeting.

Annex to decision SC-2/12

Terms of reference for work on modalities on the needs assessment for Parties that are developing countries or countries with economies in transition to implement the provisions of the Convention over the Period 2006–2010

Objectives

1. The objectives of the work to be carried out under the present terms of reference are:

- (a) To enable the Conference of the Parties to provide at regular intervals, to the principal entity entrusted with the operations of the financial mechanism and to other entities should they be so entrusted, assessments of the total funding needed by Parties eligible for assistance from the financial mechanism to facilitate their effective implementation of the Convention;
- (b) To provide the principal entity with a framework and modalities for the determination in a predictable and identifiable manner of the funding necessary and available for the implementation of this Convention by Parties eligible for its assistance.

Execution

- 2. The work will be facilitated and coordinated by the Secretariat in two stages, as follows:
- (a) The development of a preliminary assessment of funding needs based on currently available information to provide, for consideration by the Conference of the Parties at its third meeting:
 - (i) Initial funding needs to the principal entity entrusted with the operation of the financial mechanism, as well as;
 - (ii) A framework and methodology for the further development of the modalities for regular funding needs assessments;
- (b) The development of a full needs assessment based on the methodology and experience gained from the preliminary assessment of funding needs, for consideration by the Conference of the Parties at its fourth meeting.
- 3. In developing the preliminary assessment of funding needs, the Secretariat will facilitate and coordinate:
- (a) The compilation and analysis of the needs identified in the implementation plans submitted by Parties pursuant to paragraph (b) of Article 7 of the Convention;
- (b) The review of reports submitted by Parties pursuant to Article 15 of the Convention to identify funding needs in fulfilment of their obligations under the Convention; and
- (c) The compilation and analysis of such funding needs identified in any supplementary information provided by the principal entity of the financial mechanism, other international financial institutions, intergovernmental and nongovernmental organizations, including the private sector, and secretariats of other multilateral environmental agreements.

Sources of information

- 4. In developing the preliminary assessment of funding needs, the work will draw primarily upon information provided in the implementation plans and reports submitted by Parties pursuant to paragraph (b) of Article 7 and Article 15 of the Convention;
- 5. Relevant supplementary information will be drawn from the Secretariat, Parties, entities of the financial mechanism, other international financial institutions, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations including the private sector and secretariats of other multilateral environmental agreements, where available, such as:
- (a) The Global Environment Facility, as the principal entity entrusted with the operations of the financial mechanism on an interim basis, is invited to provide information gathered through its operations relevant to assistance needs in eligible Parties;
- (b) Other international financial institutions that provide bilateral or multilateral financial or technical assistance pursuant to paragraph 6 of Article 13 of the Convention are invited to provide information on such assistance, including the levels of such assistance;
- (c) Intergovernmental and nongovernmental organizations are invited to provide information relating to the needs assessment;

- (d) Secretariats of other multilateral environmental agreements are invited to provide information relevant to modalities for conducting similar needs assessments in connection with their agreements;
- (e) Parties are invited to provide any other information on their experiences in implementing the Convention;
 - (f) Observers are invited to provide information relevant to the needs assessment.

Scope

6. The preliminary funding needs assessment should be comprehensive and primarily directed toward assessing total funding needs with a view to identifying in full needs assessments and baseline and incremental funding needs in order for all Parties to fulfil their obligations under the Convention.

Process

- 7. The information identified above should be provided to the Secretariat by 31 December 2006. The timing of any future updating of this information will be decided by the Conference of the Parties.
- 8. Based on the information it receives, the Secretariat will provide the preliminary assessment of funding needs of developing country Parties and Parties with economies in transition for consideration by the Conference of the Parties at its third meeting.

ANNEX IV. STATUS OF BIODIVERSITY ENABLING ACTIVITIES

	Countries			Proposal received (Date)	Funding Authorized (Date)	GEF Amount			
#	PARTY	Implementi ng Agency	Submission date of the last report to COP(*)	Date of funding request for the current report (**)	Date of project approval by the IA	Total amount approved	Date of initial disbursement of funds by the IA	Date of project completion	Comment on the current status of the project activities
1	ALBANIA	UNEP	02-Sep	04-Nov	05-Jan	\$100,000	05-Feb	07-Jun	
2	ALGERIA	UNDP	submitted		04-May-05	\$20,000	by Sep 2005		operationally complete
3	ANGOLA	UNDP			16-Jun-05	\$20,000	by Dec 2005		ongoing
4	ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA	UNDP		17-Nov-06	20-Dec-06	\$20,000	2007		ongoing
5	ARMENIA	UNDP	submitted		27-May-05	\$19,800	by Sep 2005	by Sep 2005	operationally complete
6	BAHAMAS	UNEP	Nov-02	05-Jan	05-Apr	20,000	05-May	05-Sep	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed Capacity assessment/CHM activities completed
7	BANGLADESH	UNDP	submitted		04-May-05	\$19,000	by June 2005	by June 2005	operationally complete
8	BARBADOS	UNEP	Mar-02	05-Jan	05-May	20,000	05-May	06-Aug	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed Capacity assessment/CHM project underway since 2006.
9	BELARUS	UNEP	2002	05-Jan	05-Apr	20,000	05-Apr	06-Feb	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed Capacity assessment/CHM activities completed
10	BELIZE	UNDP			16-Jun-05	\$16,500	by Dec 2005		draft 3NR April 06
11	BENIN	UNDP	submitted		17-Nov-05	\$20,000	by Mar 06	by Mar 06	operationally complete
12	BHUTAN	UNDP	submitted		04-May-05	\$20,000	by June 2005	by June 2005	operationally complete

13	BOSNIA & HERZEGOVINA	UNEP	2nd national report NOT submitted to CBD	05-Feb	05-Apr	20,000	05-Jun	05-Nov	CHM and 2nd National Report Project underway since 2006
14	BURKINA FASO	UNDP	submitted		10-Nov-05	\$20,000	by Sep 2006	by Sep 2006	operationally complete
15	BURUNDI	UNDP	submitted	28-Sep-06	15-Nov-06	\$20,000	2006	2006	operationally complete
16	CAMBODIA	UNDP	submitted		13-May-05	\$20,000	by Sep 2005		operationally complete
17	CAMEROON	UNEP	2nd national report submitted to CBD(not dated)	05-Jan	05-Apr	20,000	05-Apr	06-Jan	2nd National report completed3rd National report completedNBSAP/Inventory completed
18	CAPE VERDE	UNDP	submitted		17-Jan-06	\$20,000	2006	2006	operationally complete
19	CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC	UNDP	submitted	02-Nov-05	16-Feb-06	\$20,000	2006	2006	operationally complete
20	CHAD	UNDP	submitted		10-Nov-05	\$20,000	by Mar 06	by Mar 06	operationally complete
21	CHINA	UNEP	2nd national report submitted in 2001	05-Feb	05-May	50,000	05-May	05-Nov	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed Capacity assessment/CHM activities completed Terminal report to be submitted by March 2007
22	COLOMBIA	UNEP	2nd national report submitted in 2002	05-Mar	05-May	20,000	05-Jun	06-Feb	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed Capacity assessment/CHM activities completed
23	COMOROS	UNDP	submitted		17-May-05	\$20,000	by June 2005	Aug-05	operationally complete
24	CONGO BRAZAVILLE	UNEP	UNEP not involved in 2nd national report	05-Sep	05-Oct	20,000	05-Nov	06-Feb	3rd national report completed
25	CONGO DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC	UNDP	submitted		27-May-05	\$20,000	by Sep 2005	by Sep 2005	operationally complete

26	COSTA RICA	UNDP	1		01-Jul-05	\$2	20,000	by Dec 2	2005		ongoing
27	COTE D'IVOIRE	UNEP	2nd national report completed but not submitted to CBD	05-Feb	05-Apr	2	20,000	05-A _l	pr	05-Sep	2nd National report completed3rd National report completedCapacity assessment/CHM activities completed
28	CROATIA	UNDP			24-Jun-05	\$2	20,000	by Dec 2	2005		near completion
29	CUBA	UNEP	2nd national report submitted in	05-Mar	05-May	2	20,000	05-Ma	ay	05-Sep	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed Capacity assessment/CHM activities completed
30	CZECH REPUBLIC	UNEP	2nd national report submitted in	UNEI	⊃ not involved	d with 3rd n	ational r	eport for Cz	ech Rep	ublic	2nd national report completed Capacity assessment and CHM completed
31	DJIBOUTI	UNDP	submitted		27-Apr- 05	\$20,000	by Se	p 2005			ongoing
32	DOMINICA	UNDP	submitted		17-Jan- 06	\$20,000	2	006	2	2006	operationally complete
33	EGYPT	UNEP	2nd national report submitted in 2002	05-Feb	05-Apr	20,000	Ap	or.05	0	6-Jun	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed Capacity assessment/CHM activities completed
34	EL SALVADOR	UNDP	submitted		08-Jun- 05	\$20,000	by Se	p 2005	2	2006	operationally complete
35	ERITREA	UNEP	2nd national report submitted in 2003-(UNEP not involved)	06-Mar	06-Mar	20,000	06	-Apr		-	3rd national report completed but not submitted to UNEP or CBD
36	ESTONIA	UNEP	2nd national report submitted	05-Jan	05-May	20,000	05	-May	0	5-Sep	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed Capacity assessment/CHM activities completed

37	ETHIOPIA	UNDP	submitted		26-Aug- 05	\$20,000	by Sep 2005	by Sep 2005	operationally complete
38	GABON	UNDP			17-Nov- 05	\$20,000	2006		ongoing
39	GAMBIA	UNEP	2nd national report submitted	05-Apr	05-Nov	20,000	05-Nov	06-Nov	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed Capacity assessment/CHM activities completed
40	GHANA	UNEP	UNEP not involved in 2nd National report	05-Mar	05-Apr	20,000	05-Apr	05-Nov	3rd national Report completed
41	GRENADA	UNDP		09-Oct-06	06-Nov- 06	\$20,000	2007		ongoing
42	GUATEMALA	UNDP	submitted		29-Apr- 05	\$20,000	by June 2005	2005	operationally complete
43	GUINEA	UNDP	submitted	05-Nov-05	16-Jan- 06	\$20,000	2006	2006	operationally complete
44	GUINEA- BISSAU	UNDP		02-Dec-05	08-Aug- 06	\$20,000	2006		ongoing
45	HONDURAS	UNDP		03-Nov-06	20-Dec- 06	\$20,000	2007		ongoing
46	HUNGARY	UNEP	2nd National report submitted in Jan 2002	05-Mar	05-Apr	20,000	05-May	05-Sep	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed
47	INDONESIA	UNEP	UNEP not involved in 2nd National report	05-Mar	05-May	20,000	05-May	06-Feb	3rd National report completed
48	IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF)	UNDP	submitted		21-Jun- 05	\$20,000	by Mar 06	by Mar 06	operationally complete
49	JORDAN	UNDP	submitted		27-Apr- 05	\$20,000	by Dec 2005		3NR completed
50	KAZAKHSTAN	UNDP	submitted		26-Apr- 05	\$20,000	by June 2005	05-Jun	operationally complete
51	KENYA	UNEP		05-Feb	05-May	20,000	05-May	05-Dec	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed Capacity assessment/CHM activities completed

52	KIRIBATI	UNDP		24-Apr-06	27-Jun- 06	\$20,000	by Dec 2006	2006	ongoing
53	KOREA DPR	UNEP	UNEP not involved in 2nd National report	05-Mar	05-Sep	20,000	05-Sep	06-Sep	3rd National report completed CHM and Capacity assessment underway since 2006
54	KYRGYZSTAN	UNDP	submitted		27-Apr- 05	\$20,000	by Dec 2005		ongoing
55	LATVIA, REPUBLIC OF	UNDP	submitted		21-Apr- 05	\$17,000	by June 2005	2005	operationally complete
56	LEBANON	UNDP	submitted		27-Apr- 05	\$20,000	by Sep 2005	2006	operationally complete
57	LESOTHO	UNDP	submitted		03-May- 05	\$20,000	by Sep 2005	by Sep 2005	operationally complete
58	LIBERIA	UNDP		07-Aug-06	20-Oct- 06	\$20,000	by Dec 2006		ongoing
59	LITHUANIA, REPUBLIC OF	UNDP	submitted		26-Apr- 05	\$20,000	by Dec 2005	by Dec 2005	operationally complete
60	MACEDONIA, THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF	UNDP	submitted		08-Jun- 05	\$19,900	by Dec 2005		operationally complete
61	MADAGASCAR	UNEP	2nd National report submitted in may 2001	05-Apr	05-Apr	20,000	05-Apr	05-Nov	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed Capacity assessment/CHM activities completed
62	MALAWI	UNEP	2nd National report submitted in may 2001	05-Feb	05-May	20,000	05-May	05-Dec	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed CHM/capacity assessment project to be included in the 2007 pipeline
63	MALDIVES	UNDP		15-Dec-06	20-Dec- 06	\$20,000	2007		ongoing
64	MALI	UNDP	submitted		02-Aug- 05	\$20,000	by Sep 2005		operationally complete
65	MARSHALL ISLANDS	UNDP			22-Jul-05	\$20,000	by Dec 2005		ongoing

66	MAURITANIA	UNEP	2nd National report submitted in May 2006	05-Mar	05-May	20,000	05-May	06-Feb	3rd national report completed CHM/ capacity assessment activities completed Terminal report compilation going on
67	MAURITIUS	UNEP	2nd National report submitted	05-Jan	05-May	20,000	05-May	06-Dec	2nd national report completed 3rd national report completed
68	MOLDOVA	UNEP	UNEP not involved in 2nd national report	05-Jun	05-Sep	20,000	05-Sep	06-Feb	3rd national report completed
69	MONGOLIA	UNDP		20-Jun-06	25-Oct- 06	\$20,000	2006	2006	ongoing
70	MOROCCO	UNEP	2nd National report submitted in March 2003	05-Mar	05-Apr	20,000	05-Apr	05-Aug	3rd National report completed
71	MOZAMBIQUE	UNEP		05-Jan	05-May	20,000	05-May	06-Nov	3rd national report completed
72	NAMIBIA	UNEP	2nd National report submitted in April 2002	05-Feb	05-Mar	20,000	05-Mar	05-May	2nd national report completed 3rd national report completed CHM/Capacity assessment project underway since Dec. 2005
73	NICARAGUA	UNDP	submitted		14-Jun- 05	\$20,000	by Sep 2005	2006	operationally complete
74	NIGER	UNDP	submitted		12-Jul-05	\$20,000	by Sep 2005	2006	operationally complete
75	NIUE	UNDP	submitted		08-Jun- 05	\$20,000	by Sep 2006	by Sep 2006	operationally complete
76	PAKISTAN	UNEP	2nd National report submitted	05-Oct	05-Nov	20,000	05-Nov	06-May	Inventory completed 2nd national report completed 3rd national report completed
77	PALAU	UNDP			01-Jul-05	\$16,500	by Mar 06		ongoing

78	PANAMA	UNEP	2nd National report submitted in 2002	05-Jun		20,000	06-Jul	-	3rd national report not completed
79	PERU	UNDP		19-Oct-05	17-Feb- 06	\$20,000	2007		ongoing
80	PHILIPPINES	UNDP	submitted		29-Apr- 05	\$20,000	by Mar 06		operationally complete
81	POLAND	UNEP	2nd National report submitted in May 2001	05-Jun	05-Jun	20,000	05-Jun	05-Oct	2nd National report completed 3rd National report completed Capacity assessment/CHM activities completed
82	ROMANIA	UNDP	submitted		25-Apr- 05	\$20,000	by June 2005	2005	operationally complete
83	RUSSIAN	UNEP	2nd National report submitted in May 2003			nvolved in 3rd	d national report	for Russia	2nd national report completed CHM project commenced in 2006
84	RUSSIAN FEDERATION	UNDP			26- Apr-05	\$19,900	by Dec 2005		ongoing
85	RWANDA	UNDP	submitted		26- Aug- 05	\$20,000	by Mar 06		operationally complete
86	SAINT VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES	UNDP	submitted	19-Oct-05	17- Jan-06	\$20,000	2006	2006	operationally complete
87	SAMOA	UNDP	submitted		14- Jun-05	\$20,000	by Dec 2005		operationally complete
88	SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE	UNDP		20-Jul-06	20- Dec- 06	\$20,000	2007		ongoing

89	SENEGAL	UNDP	submitted	12-Sep-05	17- Jan-06	\$20,000	2006	2006	operationally complete
90	SEYCHELLES	UNEP	2nd National report NOT submitted to CBD	05-Jun	05-Jul	20,000	05-Aug	-	Completion of 3rd national report expected by March 2007
91	SIERRA LEONE	UNDP		10-Aug-06	13- Oct-06	\$20,000	2007		ongoing
92	SLOVAKIA	UNEP	2nd National report submitted	05-May	05- May	20,000	05-May	05-Dec	3rd National Report completed
93	SOLOMON ISLANDS	UNEP	2nd National report submitted in May 2001	UNI	EP not invol	ved in 3rd natio	onal report for S	Solomon Islands	2nd national report completed NBSAP and inventory not completed- project cancelled prematurely
94	SOUTH AFRICA	UNDP	submitted		17- Jun-05	\$20,000	by Sep 2005	by sep 2005	operationally complete
95	SRI LANKA	UNDP		03-Nov-06	18- Nov- 06	\$20,000	2007		ongoing
96	ST LUCIA	UNEP	2nd National report submitted	05-Jun	05- Sep	20,000	05-Oct	06-Oct	2nd national report completed 3rd national report completed CHM/Capacity assessment completed
97	SUDAN	UNDP	submitted		27- Apr-05	\$20,000	by Dec 2005	2006	operationally complete
98	SWAZILAND	UNDP	submitted		28- Jun-05	\$15,000	by Dec 2005		operationally complete
99	SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	UNDP	submitted		27- Apr-05	\$20,000	by Dec 2005		ongoing

100	TAJIKISTAN	UNDP	submitted		26- Apr-05	\$20,000	by Mar 06	by Mar 06	operationally complete
101	TANZANIA, UNITED REPUBLIC OF	UNDP	submitted		12-Jul- 05	\$20,000	by Sep 2005	by Sep 2005	operationally complete
102	TOGO	UNEP	UNEP not involved in 2nd national report	05-Mar	05- May	20,000	05-May	05-Aug	3rd national report completed
103	TONGA	UNDP		23-Jan-06	20- Mar- 06	\$20,000	2006		ongoing
104	TUNISIA	UNEP	2nd National report submitted in 2003	05-Jan	05- May	20,000	05-May	06-Feb	2nd national report completed 3rd national report completed CHM/Capacity assessment project underway since Dec. 2005
105	TURKEY	UNEP		05-Aug	05-Oct	20,000	05-Oct		Inventory /NBSAP/ 2nd National report underway since 2006 3rd national report expected by March 2007
106	TURKMENISTA N	UNDP			31- May- 05	\$20,000	by Dec 2005		ongoing
107	UGANDA	UNEP	UNEP not involved in 2nd national report	05-Mar	05-Apr	20,000	05-Apr	05-Dec	3rd national report completed
108	URUGUAY	UNDP		12-Oct-06	01- Nov- 06	\$20,000	2007		ongoing
109	UZBEKISTAN	UNDP	submitted		26- Apr-05	\$18,150	by June 2005	2006	operationally complete
110	VANUATU	UNEP	2nd National report submitted in 2003	05-Feb	05-Apr	20,000	05-May		Completion of 3rd national report expected by March 2007 CHM/capacity activities completed

111	VIET NAM	UNDP	submitted	26- May- 05	\$19,500	by Sep 2005	operationally complete
112	YEMEN	UNDP		27- Apr-05	\$20,000	TBD	Activity delayed. (***)
113	ZIMBABWE	UNDP	submitted	13- May- 05	\$20,000	by June 2005	operationally complete

^{*} From CBD Website, where date of submission is not available.

^{**} Information not available for

phase 1
*** Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) has not assigned staff to work on the project.

ANNEX V. GEF ENABLING ACTIVITY PROJECTS AND STATUS OF NATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS (SNC) FROM PARTIES NOT INCLUDED IN ANNEX I TO THE CONVENTION

Party	Agency	Submission date of the last report to COP	Date of approval by IA	Total Amount Approved US\$	Date of initial disburseme nt of funds by the IA	Expected Date of Project Completion	Comment on the current status of project activities
1. Afghanistan	UNEP	INC to be prepared	Under preparation				Project document under preparation
2. Albania	UNDP	13-Sep-02	4-Feb-05	420,000	14-Apr-05	October 2007	GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed ✓ V&A analysis: between 20% -50% completed ✓ Mitigation Analysis: less than 20% completed
3. Algeria	UNDP	30-Apr-01	12-Dec-05	420,000	6-Feb-06	2009	 ✓ GHG Inventories: less than 20% completed ✓ V&A analysis: not initiated yet ✓ Mitigation Analysis: not initiated yet
4. Angola	UNEP	INC to be prepared	Under preparation				Stocktaking exercise and project document preparation on- going
5. Antigua and Barbuda	UNDP	10-Sep-01	18-Apr-06	420,000	6-Jun-06	August 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: not initiated Expected 3/07 ☑ V&A analysis: not initiated yet, expected 04/07 ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not initiated yet, expected 01/08
6. Armenia	UNDP	4-Nov-98	29-Jul-05	420,000	24-Sep-05	2009	 ✓ GHG Inventories: not yet initiated. ✓ V&A analysis: not yet initiated, expected 03/07

							Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated.
7. Azerbaijan	UNDP	23-May-00	21-Jul-05	420,000	28-Jul-05	June 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: between 20%-50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: less than 20% completed ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not initiated yet, expected 06/07
8. Bahamas	UNDP	5-Nov-01	22-May-06	420,000			
9. Bangladesh	UNDP	12-Nov-02	Under preparation				Project document under preparation. Expected submission to IA end of January 2007
10. Bahrain	UNEP	20-April-05	31-Jan. 07	420,000	Government to provide banking details		·
11. Barbados	UNDP	30-Oct-01	22-Nov-06	420,000			
12. Belize	UNDP	16-Sep-02	24-Mar-06	470,000 Includes TNA	2-May-06	February 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: between 20%-50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated. ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not initiated yet, expected 03/08
13. Benin	UNDP	21-Oct-02	26 Oct 06	420,000			 ☑ GHG Inventories: not yet initiated ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated. ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not initiated yet.
14. Bhutan	UNDP	13-Nov-00	Draft submitted				Pending IA review
15. Bolivia	UNDP	16-Nov-00	10-Jun-05	420,000	9-Aug-05	August 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: less than 20% completed ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated. ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated. ☑ Expected SNC first draft:

							August, 09
16. Bosnia & Herzegovina	UNDP	INC under preparation	8 Dec 2005	420,000	27 April 2006		
17. Botswana	UNDP	22-Oct-01	23-Dec-05	420,000	3-Feb-06	December 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: less than 20% completed ☑ V&A analysis: less than 20% completed ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not initiated yet, expected 01/07
18. Brazil	UNDP	10-Dec-04	8-Nov-05	3,400,000 Did not request PDF funds		December 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: less than 20% completed ☑ V&A analysis: less than 20% completed ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not initiated yet.
19. Burkina Faso	UNDP	16-May-02	5-Jun-06	420,000	27-Jul-06		
20. Burundi	UNDP	23-Nov-01	22-May-06	420,000	29-Jun-06	June 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: not yet initiated, expected 02/07 ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated. ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not initiated yet, expected 05/07
21. Cambodia	UNDP	8-Oct-02	9-May-06	420,000	24-Jun-06		
22. Cameroon	UNEP	31 Jan 2005	Not yet initiated				Stocking exercise yet to commence. Government to provide banking details
23. Cape Verde	UNDP	13-Nov-00	30-Jan-07	420,000			SNC project recently approved
24. Central African Republic	UNEP	10-Jun-03	30-Aug-06	420,000	13-Nov-06		☑ Inception workshop scheduled for February 2007
25. Chad	UNDP	29-Oct-01	30-Jan-07	420,000			SNC project recently approved
26. Chile	UNDP	8-Feb-00	8-Sep-06	420,000			
27. China	UNDP	10-Dec-04	18-Jan-07	PDF-B	Full size		FSP to be submitted for the Nov 07

				350,000	PDF phase		work programme
28. Colombia	UNDP	18-Dec-01	8-Sep-06	420,000		July 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: less than 20% completed ☑ V&A analysis: less than 20% completed ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not initiated yet, expected 06/07
29. Comoros	UNEP	5 Apr 2003	Under preparation				Project document under preparation by government
30. Congo	UNDP	30-Oct-01	24-Apr-06	420,000	24-Jun-06	March 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: not yet initiated. Expected 2/07 ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated. Expected 06/07 ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not initiated yet, expected 09/07
31. Congo Democratic Republic	UNEP	21-Nov-00	11-Oct-o5	420,000	08-Nov-05	December 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not initiated yet.
32. Cook Islands	UNDP	30-Oct-99	22-Dec-05	420,000	21-Apr-06	November 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: not yet initiated. Less than 20% completed ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated less than 20% completed ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not initiated yet, expected 09/07
33. Costa Rica	UNDP	18-Nov-00	12-Apr-06	105,000 Includes TNA	12-May-06		SNC had been approved by GEF previous to umbrella project. Amount approved here corresponds to the additional funds requested to increase total funding to 405,000 plus additional TNA

34. Cuba	UNDP	28-Sep-01	Under preparation				Project document under preparation. Expected submission to IA end of January 2007
35. Cote d Ivoire	UNEP	2 -Feb-01	8 Jun. 2005	420,000	10 Jun. 2005	November 2008	 ✓ GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed ✓ V&A analysis: less than 25% completed ✓ Mitigation Analysis: less than 25% completed
36. Democratic People's Republic of Korea	UNEP	7 May 2004	25 Apr. 2005	420,000	04 May 2005	April 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: Less than 50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: less than 25% completed
37. Djibouti	UNEP	06-Jun-02	08-Jun-06	420,000		October 2008	✓ GHG Inventories: Less 25% completed
38. Dominica	UNDP	4-Dec-01	16-Feb-06	420,000	4-Apr-06		
39. Dominican Republic	UNDP	4-Jun-03	11-Nov-05	420,000	21-Nov-06	December 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: Completed 11/06 ☑ V&A analysis: Between 20% and 50% ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated. Expected 08/07
40. Ecuador	UNDP	15-Nov-00	8-Feb-06	420,000	23-Mar-06	November 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: not yet initiated, expected 07/07 ☑ V&A analysis: In progress ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated
41. Egypt	UNDP	19-Jul-99	7-Nov-05	420,000		July 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: not yet initiated, expected 02/07 ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated, expected 02/07 ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated, expected 02/07

42. El Salvador	UNDP	10-Apr-00	Draft submitted				SNC project recently approved
43. Eritrea	UNDP	16-Sep-02	30-Jan-07	420,000			SNC project recently approved
44. Ethiopia	UNDP	16-Oct-01	Has not yet requested self-assessment funds				
45. Gabon	UNDP	22-Dec-04	31-Jan-07	420,000			Project Document approved. DOA to be issued
46. Gambia	UNEP	6 Oct 2003	05-Sep2006	420,000		August 2009	✓ GHG Inventories: Less 25% completed
47. Georgia	UNDP	10-Aug-99	5-May-05	420,000	24-Jun-05	November 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: between 20%-50% completed ☑ Mitigation Analysis: initiated
48. Ghana	UNDP	2-May-01	10-May-06	420,000	29-Jun-06		
49. Grenada	UNDP	21-Nov-00	8-Sep-06	420,000			
50. Guatemala	UNDP	1-Feb-02	7-Nov-06	420,000		July 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: not yet initiated. Expected 02/07 ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated. Expected 10/07 ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated. Expected 01/08
51. Guinea	UNDP	28-Oct-02	Under preparation				Switched to UNEP. Draft proposal prepared under UNDP Guidance transferred to UNEP along with funds
52. Guinea Bissau	UNEP	1-Dec-01	1-Nov-06	470,000 Includes TNA		June 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: not yet initiated, expected 02/07 ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated, expected 02/08 ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated, expected 07/07
53. Guyana	UNDP	16-May-02	Approved. DOA	470,000			Project Document approved. DOA to

			pending	Includes TNA			be issued
54. Haiti	UNEP	3 Jan 2002	29 Sep. 2005	420,000	06 Oct. 2005	November 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: Less than 50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: Less than 50% completed ☑ Mitigation Analysis: less than 25% completed
55. Honduras	UNDP	15-Nov-00	2-Dec-05	420,000		February 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: Less than 20% completed ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated
56. India	UNDP	22-June-04	GEF council approved	3,849,000 Includes PDFB			CEO endorsement pending. Expected initiation of project Feb 07
57. Indonesia	UNDP	27-Oct-99	16-Jan-07	420,000			
58. Iran Islamic Republic of	UNDP	31-Mar-03	22-Dec-05	420,000	23-Jan-06	December 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: between 20%-50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated. Expected 02/07 ☑ Mitigation Analysis: less than 20% completed
59. Jamaica	UNDP	21-Nov-00	21-Apr-06	420,000		May 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: Between 20%-50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated. ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated
60. Jordan	UNDP	6-Mar-97	29-Dec-05	420,000	25-Jan-06	May	✓ GHG Inventories: not yet initiated. Expected 02/07✓ V&A analysis: not yet

						2008	V	initiated. Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated
61. Kazakhstan	UNDP	5-Nov-98	3-Mar-05	420,000	15-May-05	July 2009	\ \ \ \ \	GHG Inventories: between 20%-50% completed V&A analysis: between 20%-50% completed Mitigation Analysis: between 20%-50% completed
62. Kenya	UNEP	22 Oct 2002	26 Oct. 2005	420,000	18 Nov. 2005	September 2008	V	GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed
63. Kiribati	UNDP	30-Oct-99	31-Jan-07	420,000			SNC pi	roject recently approved
64. Kyrgyzstan	UNDP	31-Mar-03	2-Jun-05	420,000	5-Jul-05	February 2008		GHG Inventories: More than 50% completed. Completion 02/07 V&A analysis: between 2% and 50% completed Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated
65. Lao People's Democratic Republic	UNDP	2-Nov-00	Approved. DOA pending	420,000			Project ibe issue	Document approved. DOA to
66. Lebanon	UNDP	2-Nov-99	8-Jul-05	420,000	14-Mar-06	December 2009	\texts	GHG Inventories: not yet initiated V&A analysis: not yet initiated GHG Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated

Party	Agency	Submission date of the last report to COP	Date of funding request for the current report	Date of approval by IA	Total Amount Approved US\$	Date of initial disburseme nt of funds by the IA	Expected Date of Project Completion	Comment on the current status of project activities
67. Lesotho	UNEP	17 -April 2000		4 -Sept06	420,000	25 Oct. 2006	November 2009	GHG Inventories: Less than 25 % completed
68. Liberia	UNEP	INC under preparation		31-Aug05	420,000		June 2009	 ✓ GHG Inventories: More than 50% completed ✓ V&A analysis: less than 25% completed
69. Libyan Arab Jamahiriya *	UNEP	INC under preparation		31 Jan. 2002	275,000	20 Feb. 2002	December 2008	✓ GHG Inventories: Less than 25% completed * Project Approved before commencement of umbrella project
70. Madagascar	UNEP	22 Feb 2004		7 Nov. 2005	420,000	25 Nov. 2005	September 2008	☐ GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed ☐ V&A analysis: less than 25% completed ☐ GHG Mitigation Analysis: Yet to commence
71. Malawi	UNDP	2-Dec-03		8-Feb-06	420,000		March 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: not yet initiated ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated ☑ GHG Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated
72. Malaysia	UNDP	22-Aug-00		2 1-Dec-05	420,000			
73. Maldives	UNDP	5-Nov-01		Has not yet requested self- assessment funds				
74. Mali	UNDP	13-Nov-00		8-Sep-06	420,000	11-Sept-06		

75. Malta	UNDP	16-Jun-04	Draft submitted				Pending IA review
76. Marshall Islands	UNDP	24-Nov-00	30-Jan-07	420,000			SNC project recently approved
77. Mauritania	UNEP	30-Jul-02	14 Jul 2005	420,000	15 Aug. 2005	November 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: more that 50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: less than 25% completed
78. Mauritius	UNEP	28-May-99	Project document sent to Government for signature				
79. Mexico	UNDP	23-July-01	20-Jun-05	405,000 Did not request self- assessment funds	11-Jul-05	Completed November 06	 ☑ GHG Inventories: Completed ☑ V&A analysis: Completed ☑ GHG Mitigation Completed
80. Micronesia Federated States of	UNDP	4-Dec-97	20-Aug-06	420,000		January 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: not yet initiated ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated ☑ GHG Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated
81. Moldova	UNEP	13 Nov 2000	12 -Oct-05	420,000	27 Oct. 2005	November 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: 50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: less than 25% completed ☑ GHG Mitigation Analysis: 50% completed
82. Mongolia	UNEP	1 Nov 2001	28-Aug06	420,000		July 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: more that 50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: less than 25% completed ☑ GHG Mitigation Analysis: less than 20% completed
83. Mozambique	UNEP	6-Jun06	11-Oct06	420,000	25-Oct06		✓ Inception planned for Marc 2007

84. Morocco	UNDP	1-Nov-01	2-Mar-05	455,000 Includes TNA Did not request self- assessment funds		May 2008	I	GHG Inventories: between 20% - 50% completed V&A analysis: b 20% between 20% and 50% completed GHG Mitigation Analysis: less than 20% completed
85. Myanmar	UNEP	INC under preparation	26-Dec06	420,000	Government to provide banking details			
86. Namibia	UNDP	7-Oct-02	14-Dec-05	420,000	24-Jan-06			
87. Nauru	UNDP	30-Oct-99	Draft submitted	420,000				g IA review
88. Nicaragua	UNDP	25-Jul-01	4-Feb-05	420,000		March 2008	V	GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed V&A analysis: more than 50% completed GHG Mitigation Analysis: between 20% -50% completed
89. Niger	UNDP	13-Nov-00	12-Dec-05	420,000	4-Jan-06	Nov 2008	<u>v</u>	GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed V&A analysis: more than 50% completed GHG Mitigation Analysis: none yet initiated
90. Nigeria	UNDP	17-Nov-03	30-Mar-06	420,000 Includes TNA	1-Aug-06			
91. Niue	UNEP	2-Oct -01	11-Nov-04	420,000	20-Dec-04	August 2008	\texts{\sqrt{2}}	GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed V&A analysis: less than 25% completed Mitigation Analysis: less than 25% completed
92. Pakistan	UNEP		Government to					

		15-Nov-03	provide bankin information	g				
93. Panama	UNDP	20-Jul-01	7-Jun-06	420,000		February 2009	✓✓	GHG Inventories: not yet initiated. Expected 04/07 V&A analysis: not yet initiated. Expected 04/07 GHG Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated. Expected 04/07
94. Palau	UNEP	18-Jun-03	9-Dec-05	420,000	13-Dec-05	March 2008	✓✓	GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed V&A analysis: less than 25% completed Mitigation Analysis: less than 25% completed
95. Papua New Guinea	UNDP	27-Feb-02	17-Jul-06	420,000		December 2009	✓✓	GHG Inventories: not yet initiated. Expected 07/07 V&A analysis: not yet initiated. Expected 04/07 GHG Mitigation Analys: less than 20% completed
96. Paraguay	UNDP	10-Apr-02	8-Dec-05	420,000	10-Mar-06	February 2008	✓✓	GHG Inventories: not yet initiated. Expected 02/07 V&A analysis: less than 20% completed GHG Mitigation Analysis: less than 20% completed
97. Peru	UNDP	21-Aug-01	20-Jul-05	1,849,350 Includes PDFA				
98. Philippines	UNDP	19-May-00	18-Apr-06	420,000	2-Aug-06	June 2009	✓	GHG Inventories: not yet initiated. Expected first quarter 07 V&A analysis: not yet initiated. Expected first

							quarter 07 GHG Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated. Expected first quarter 07
99. Rwanda	UNEP	6-Sep-05	22-Sep-06	420,000	16-Oct06		☐ Inception workshop held. GHG Inventory training scheduled for March 2007
100.Saint Kitts and Nevis	UNDP	30-Nov-01	25-Oct-06	420,000			
101.Saint Lucia	UNDP	30-Nov-01	9-Jun-06	420,000		August 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: less than 20% completed ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated. Expected 03/07 ☑ GHG Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated. Expected 09/07
102.Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	UNDP	21-Nov-00	7-Jun-06	420,000	27-Jun-06		
103.Samoa	UNDP	30-Oct-99	2 1-Jul-05	420,000		May 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: between 20%-50% completed ☑ Mitigation Analysis: less than 20% completed
104.São Tomé pe	UNDP	19-May-05	Draft submitted	420,000			Pending IA review
105.Saudi Arabia	UNDP	29-Nov-05	Draft submitted	420,000			Pending IA review
106.Senegal	UNEP	1-Dec-97	8-Jun06	420,000	20 Jun. 2006	July 2009	☑ GHG Inventories: less than 50% completed☑ V&A analysis: less than

							25% completed
107.Serbia and Montenegr o	UNDP	Initial Communicatio n under preparation	2/2/07	420,000			SNC project recently approved
108.Seychelles	UNDP	15-Nov-00	9-Jun-06	420,000	16-Jun-06	June 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: less than 20% completed ☑ V&A analysis: less than 20% completed ☑ Mitigation Analysis: less than 20% completed
109.Sierra Leone	UNDP	8-Jan-07	Self-assessment funds requested on 11-Jan-07				Approval of self-assessment funds pending for endorsement letter
110.Solomon Islands	UNDP	29-Sep-04	30-Jan-07	420,000			SNC project recently approved
111. South Africa	UNEP	11-Dec-03	Project document sent to Government for signature	420,000			
112.Sri Lanka	UNDP	6-Nov-00	Under preparation				Project document under preparation. Expected submission to IA end of February 2007
113.Sudan	UNDP	7-Jun-03	Draft Submitted				Pending IA approval
114. Syrian Arab Republic	UNDP	INC under preparation	20-July-2006	420,000			
115.Swaziland	UNDP	21-May-02	Approved. DOA pending	470,000 Includes TNA			Project Document approved. DOA to be issued
116.Suriname	UNDP	14-Oct -96	Under preparation				Project document under preparation. Expected submission to IA end of February 2007
117.Tajikistan	UNDP	8-Oct-02	26-May-05	420,000	7-Jul-05	December 2007	 ✓ GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed ✓ V&A analysis: between 20%-50% completed

							✓ Mitigation Analysis: between 20%-50% completed
118.Thailand	UNDP	13-Nov-00	31-May-06	420,000		June 2010	 ☑ GHG Inventories: not yet initiated. Expected 04/07 ☑ V&A analysis: not yet initiated. Expected 04/07 ☑ Mitigation Analysis: not yet initiated. Expected 04/07
119.Macedonia	UNDP	25-Mar-03	4-Feb-05	420,000	16-Feb-05	March 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: more than 50% completed ☑ Mitigation Analysis: less than 20% completed
120. Tanzania United Republic of	UNEP	4-Jul-03	21-Jul-06	420,000	15 Aug. 2006	September 2009	 ✓ GHG Inventories: Less than 25% completed ✓ V&A analysis: less than 25% completed
121.Togo	UNDP	20-Dec-01	8-Sep-06	420,000			
122.Tonga	UNDP	2 1-Jul-05	17-Jan-07	405,000 Did not request self- assessment funds			Project document recently approved. Activities have not yet started
123. Trinidad and Tobago	UNDP	30-Nov-01	6-Jun-06	420,000		March 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: none yet initiated. Expected 03/07 ☑ V&A analysis: none yet initiated ☑ Mitigation Analysis: none yet initiated.
124.Tunisia	UNDP	27-Oct-01	8-Jun-05	405,000 Did not request self- assessment funds	25-Aug-05		,

125.Turkey	UNDP			420,000			
		INC under preparation	21-June-05		16-Aug-05		
126.Turkmenistan	UNEP	11-Nov-00	8-Jun-06	420,000	9-Jun-06	May 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: less than 25% completed
127.Tuvalu	UNDP	30-Oct-99	17-Jan-07	420,000			SNC project recently approved
128.Uganda	UNEP	26-Oct-02	Under preparation	120,000			Stocktaking exercise and project document preparation on- going
129.Uruguay	UNDP	15-Oct-97	5-May-05	405,000 Did not request self- assessment funds	30-Aug-05		
130.Uzbekistan	UNEP	22-Oct-99	10-Feb-05	420,000	21-Feb-05	September 2008	 ☑ GHG Inventories: more than 50% completed ☑ V&A analysis: less than 25%completed ☑ GHG Mitigation Analysis: 50% completed
131. Vanuatu	UNDP	30-Oct-99	22-Dec-05	420,000	24-Jul-06		
132. Venezuela	UNDP	13-Oct-05	Under preparation				Project document under preparation. Expected submission to IA end of February 2007
133. Vietnam	UNEP	2-Dec-03	7-Jun-06	420,000	19-Jun-06	June 2009	 ☑ GHG Inventories: Less than 20% completed ☑ V&A analysis: Yet to commence ☑ GHG Mitigation Yet to commence
134.Yemen	UNDP	29-Oct-01	8-Nov-06	470,000 Includes TNA			
135.Zambia	UNDP	18-Aug-04	2/2/07	470,000			SNC project recently approved

				Includes TNA			
136. Zimbabwe	UNEP	25-May-98	24-Apr-06	420,000	13-Jun-06	July2009	GHG Inventories: Less than 25% completed

ANNEX VI – STATUS OF POPS RELATED ENABLING ACTIVITIES

NATIONAL PLANS FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE STOCKHOLM CONVENTION: STATUS OF GEF APPROVAL AND SUBMISSION TO THE STOCKHOLM SECRETARIAT

Nbr	Country	Agency	Date of GEF approval	Date of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession to the Stockholm Convention	Total amount approved	Date of NIP submission
1	Albania	UNDP	25-Sep-2003	4-Oct-2004	347,000	12-Feb-07
2	Algeria	UNIDO	14-Nov-2001	22 Sep 2006	494,000	Not submitted
3	Antigua And Barbuda	UNEP	3-Mar-2003	10-Sep-2003	397,300	Not submitted
4	Argentina	UNEP	10-Feb-2003	25-Jan-2005	500,000	Not submitted
5	Armenia	UNIDO	14-Nov-2001	26-Nov-2003	481,500	29-Apr-2006
6	Azerbaijan	UNIDO	25-Aug-2004	13-Jan-2004	493,000	Not submitted
7	Bahamas	UNEP	27-Oct-2005	3-Oct-2005	394,116	Not submitted
8	Bangladesh	UNDP	27-Mar-2002	12 Mar 2007	495,075	Not submitted
9	Barbados	UNEP	29-Apr-2002	7-Jun-2004	280,000	Not submitted
10	Belarus	World Bank	28-May-2004	3-Feb-2004	499,000	17-Jan-07
11	Belize	UNDP	17-Feb-2005	Not ratified	354,200	Not submitted
12	Benin	UNEP	22-Nov-2002	5-Jan-2004	489,000	Not submitted
13	Bolivia	UNIDO	22-Feb-2002	3-Jun-2003	479,430	19-Sep-2005
14	Botswana	UNIDO	1-Jul-2003	28-Oct-2002	456,000	Not submitted
15	Brazil	UNEP	17-Jun-2006 (PDF-B)	16-Jun-2004	1,894,013	Not submitted
16	Bulgaria	UNEP	29-Apr-2002	20-Dec-2004	441,820	27-Sep-06
17	Burkina Faso	UNDP	10-Apr-2003	31-Dec-2004	471,889	2-Apr-07
18	Burundi	UNIDO	20-Nov-2002	2-Aug-2005	401,100	28-Mar-2006
19	Cambodia	UNEP	24-Mar-2003	25 Aug 2006	490,000	Not submitted
20	Cameroon	UNEP	10-Apr-2003	Not ratified	499,000	Not submitted
21	Cape Verde	UNEP	18-Oct-2005	1-Mar-2006	303,100	Not submitted
22	Central African Republic	UNIDO	22-May-2002	Not ratified	479,000	Not submitted
23	Chad	UNIDO	13-Jun-2002	10-Mar-2006	493,000	28-Apr-2006
24	Chile	UNEP	29-Apr-2002	20-Jan-2005	446,000	30-May-06
25	China	UNIDO	9-Sep-2004 (CEO end.)	13-Aug-2004	4,056,500	Not submitted
26	Colombia	World Bank	10-Jun-2002	Not ratified	500,000	Not submitted
27	Comoros	UNDP	31-Jul-2003	23-Feb-07	390,000	Not submitted
28	Congo, Rep of	UNIDO	19-Apr-2002	12-Feb-07	497,920	26-Feb-07
29	Costa Rica	UNEP	10-Dec-2004	6-Feb-07	450,000	Not submitted
30	Cote d'Ivoire	UNEP	9-Oct-2001	20-Jan-2004	282,000	24-May-06
31	Croatia	UNIDO	14-Nov-2001	30-Jan-07	472,100	Not submitted
32	Cuba	UNEP	8-Jul-2003	Not ratified	500,000	Not submitted
33	Czech Republic	UNIDO	31-Jul-2001	6-Aug-2002	440,733	8-May-06

34	Djibouti	UNIDO	22-Nov-2002	11-Mar-2004	376,500	Not submitted
35	Dominica	UNEP	3-Mar-2005	8-Aug-2003	259,000	Not submitted
36	Dominican	UNDP	30-Mar-2006	Not ratified	450,000	Not submitted
	Republic					
37	Ecuador	UNEP	29-Apr-2002	7-Jun-2004	395,000	6-Sept-06
38	Egypt	UNIDO	3-Sep-2002	2-May-2003	496,500	16-Mar-2006
39	El Salvador	UNDP	13-Mar-2006	Not ratified	454,000	Not submitted
40	Ethiopia	UNIDO	29-Jul-2002	9-Jan-2003	496,000	9-Mar-07
41	Fiji	UNEP	20-Dec-2001	20-Jun-2001	384,000	21-Jun-06
42	Gabon	UNIDO	20-Nov-2002	Not ratified	422,500	Not submitted
43	Gambia	UNEP	22-May-2002	28-Apr-2006	450,000	Not submitted
44	Georgia	UNDP	10-Feb-2003	4-Oct-06	396,700	Not submitted
45	Ghana	UNIDO	29-Oct-2001	30-May-2003	497,000	Not submitted
46	Guatemala	UNIDO	19-Apr-2002	Not ratified	495,200	Not submitted
47	Guinea	UNEP	29-Apr-2002	Not ratified	342,000	Not submitted
48	Guinea-Bissau	UNEP	7-Jul-2004	Not ratified	451,000	Not submitted
49	Haiti	UNEP	3-Sep-2002	Not ratified	384,000	Not submitted
50	Honduras	UNDP	22-Mar-2004	23-May-2005	450,000	Not submitted
51	Hungary	UNIDO	1-Aug-2001	Not ratified	489,472	Not submitted
52	India	UNIDO	23-Sep-2002	13-Jan-2006	3,558,100	Not submitted
			(PDF-B)			
53	Indonesia	UNIDO	14-Nov-2001	Not ratified	499,800	Not submitted
54	Iran	UNDP	13-Feb-2002	6-Feb-2006	495,900	Not submitted
55	Jamaica	UNDP	22-Nov-2002	Not ratified	241,500	Not submitted
56	Jordan	UNEP	13-Jun-2002	8-Nov-2004	459,000	26-Dec-06
57	Kazakhstan	UNDP	21-Dec-2001	Not ratified	500,000	Not submitted
58	Kenya	UNEP	9-Oct-2001	24-Sep-2004	424,000	Not submitted
59	Kiribati	UNEP	22-Nov-2002	7-Sep-2004	399,000	Not submitted
60	Korea DPR	UNDP	8-Dec-2003	26-Aug-2002	451,000	Not submitted
61	Kyrgyzstan	UNEP	5-Aug-2003	12-Dec-06	498,000	Not submitted
62	Lao PDR	UNIDO	19-Apr-2002	28-Jun-06	497,850	Not submitted
63	Latvia	UNDP	30-Jul-2002	28-Oct-2004	378,600	7-Jun-2005
64	Lebanon	UNEP	29-Apr-2002	3-Jan-2003	334,000	17-May-06
65	Lesotho	UNIDO	19-Apr-2002	23-Jan-2002	488,950	Not submitted
66	Liberia	UNIDO	27-Jan-2003	23-May-2002	372,000	Not submitted
67	Lithuania	UNDP	10-Mar-2003	5-Dec-06	381,400	6-Ap-07
68	Macedonia	UNIDO	14-Nov-2001	27-May-2004	497,000	2-Sep-2005
69	Madagascar	UNEP	24-Mar-2003	18-Nov-2005	499,000	Not submitted
70	Malaysia	UNEP	29-Apr-2002	Not ratified	399,000	Not submitted
71	Malawi	UNIDO	3-Sep-2002	Not ratified	496,500	Not submitted
72	Mali	UNEP	29-Apr-2002	5-Sep-2003	347,400	9-Aug-06
73	Marshall	UNEP	10-Apr-2003	27-Jan-2003	346,400	Not submitted
74	Islands Mauritania	UNEP	20-Dec-2001	22-Jul-2005	424,000	Not submitted
75	Mauritius	UNDP	3-Sep-2002	13-Jul-2004	356,400	11-Oct-06
76	Mexico	World Bank	3-Jun-2004	10-Feb-2003	500,000	Not submitted
77	Micronesia	UNEP	29-Apr-2002	15-Jul-2005	370,000	Not submitted
78	Moldova	World Bank	29-Apr-2002 25-Apr-2002	7-Apr-2004	412,000	
79		UNIDO	30-Jul-2002	•		25-Aug-2005
19	Mongolia	UNIDO	50-Jui-2002	30-Apr-2004	492,000	Not submitted

80	Morocco	UNDP	19-Apr-2002	15-Jun-2004	496,800	2-May-06
81	Mozambique	UNEP	22-May-2002	31-Oct-2005	482,000	Not submitted
82	Nauru	UNEP	3-Jul-2003	9-May-2002	221,000	Not submitted
83	Nepal	UNIDO	22-May-2002	6-Mar-07	496,500	Not submitted
84	Nicaragua	UNDP	13-Aug-2003	1-Dec-2005	453,000	29-Apr-2006
85	Niger	UNIDO	6-May-2002	12-Apr-2006	475,000	Not submitted
86	Nigeria	UNIDO	14-Nov-2001	24-May-2004	498,700	Not submitted
87	Niue	UNDP	28-Aug-2002	2-Sep-2005	250,000	25-Jan-2005
88	Oman	UNEP	31-Jul-2003	19-Jan-2005	453,000	Not submitted
89	Pakistan	UNDP	28-Aug-2002	Not ratified	499,650	Not submitted
90	Palau	UNEP	6-May-2003	Not ratified	347,000	Not submitted
91	Panama	UNEP	21-Apr-2005	5-Mar-2003	370,000	Not submitted
92	Papua New Guinea	UNEP	29-Apr-2002	7-Oct-2003	287,000	Not submitted
93	Paraguay	UNEP	12-Nov-2003	1-Apr-2004	492,000	Not submitted
94	Peru	UNEP	19-Dec-2003	14-Sep-2005	499,400	Not submitted
95	Philippines	UNDP	22-Oct-2001	27-Feb-2004	500,000	19-Jun-06
96	Poland	UNIDO	1-Aug-2001	Not ratified	496,950	Not submitted
97	Romania	UNIDO	4-Oct-2001	28-Oct-2004	495,000	12-Apr-2006
98	Russian Federation	UNEP	16-Mar-2005 (PDF-B)	Not ratified	2,375,000	-
99	Rwanda	UNIDO	8-Jan-2003	5-Jun-2002	371,000	Not submitted
100	Samoa	UNDP	18-Sep-2001	4-Feb-2002	372,000	Not submitted
101	Sao Tome and Principe	UNIDO	20-Nov-2002	12-Apr-2006	372,900	Not submitted
102	Senegal	UNEP	24-Mar-2003	8-Oct-2003	495,300	Not submitted
103	Serbia and Montenegro	UNEP	10-Apr-2003	Not ratified	499,000	Not submitted
104	Seychelles	UNIDO	20-Nov-2002	Not ratified	384,000	Not submitted
105	Sierra Leone	UNIDO	7-Feb-2006	26-Sep-2003	394,600	Not submitted
106	Slovak Republic	UNDP	4-Oct-2001	5-Aug-2002	475,000	12-dec-06
107	Slovenia	UNEP	29-Apr-2002	4-May-2004	160,000	Not submitted
108	South Africa	UNEP	3-Sep-2002	4-Sep-2002	499,000	Not submitted
109	Sri Lanka	UNEP	13-Jun-2002	22-Dec-2005	495,000	Not submitted
110	St. Lucia	UNEP	8-Jul-2003	4-Oct-2002	400,000	Not submitted
111	Sudan	UNDP	8-Jan-2003	29-Aug-06	500,000	Not submitted
112	Suriname	UNDP	7-Feb-2006	Not ratified	407,800	Not submitted
113	Syria	UNEP	3-Sep-2002	5-Aug-2005	469,000	Not submitted
114	Tajikistan	UNEP	13-Aug-2003	8-Feb-07	494,000	Not submitted
115	Tanzania	UNIDO	14-Nov-2001	30-Apr-2004	498,000	12-Jun-06
116	Thailand	UNEP	6-May-2003	31-Jan-2005	500,000	Not submitted
117	Togo	UNIDO	14-Nov-2001	22-Jul-2004	499,500	13-oct-06
118	Tonga	UNEP	22-Nov-2002	Not ratified	386,000	Not submitted
119	Trinidad and Tobago	UNDP	7-Feb-2006	13-Dec-2002	425,500	Not submitted
120	Tunisia	UNEP/UNIDO	14-Nov-2001	17-Jun-2004	431,100	30-Jan-07
121	Turkey	UNIDO	17-Dec-2002	Not ratified	469,700	Not submitted
122	Tuvalu	UNEP	17-Feb-2005	19-Jan-2004	221,000	Not submitted
123	Uganda	UNEP	9-Mar-2005	20-Jul-2004	493,000	Not submitted

124	Ukraine	UNEP	6-May-2003	Not ratified	499,050	Not submitted
125	Uruguay	UNEP	13-Jun-2002	9-Sep-2004	499,000	1-Jun-06
126	Vanuatu	UNEP	24-Mar-2003	16-Sep-2005	393,000	Not submitted
127	Venezuela	UNIDO	20-Nov-2002	19-Apr-2005	469,900	Not submitted
128	Vietnam	UNDP	18-Sep-2001	22-Jul-2002	500,000	Not submitted
129	Yemen	UNEP	22-May-2002	9-Jan-2004	470,000	Not submitted
130	Zambia	UNEP	29-Apr-2002	7-Jul-06	352,000	Not submitted
131	Zimbabwe	UNEP	22-Nov-2002	Not ratified	499,000	Not submitted