

Global Environment Facility

GEF/C.35/12 May 22, 2009

GEF Council June 22-24, 2009

Agenda Item 14

REALLOCATION OF REMAINING GEF-4 RESOURCES

Executive Summary

- 1. The agreement on the fourth replenishment of the GEF Trust Fund, endorsed by the GEF Council in August 2006, included a target total allocation for GEF-4 of \$3.13 billion based on donor pledging of new resources, projected investment income to be earned during the GEF-4 period, as well as carry-over of funding comprising arrears, deferred contributions, and available funding from previous replenishments. The new resources were pledged in various currencies and were to be converted to US dollars at the exchange rates available at the time of receipt in cash.
- 2. The recent global economic crisis and the high volatility of currency exchange rate movements have led to significant shifts from month to month over the last year in the projected value of total GEF-4 programmable resources. Further, there is no certainty on when the financial markets may stabilize. Therefore, given the current uncertainty and volatility in the financial markets, including the direction of exchange rate movements against the US dollar, as well as the uncertainty on the timing of donor contribution payments, the GEF Secretariat and the Trustee discussed and agreed on a conservative methodology for projecting the programmable resources for the remaining months of the GEF-4 period.
- 3. The current projection from the Trustee of available programmable resources between April 30, 2009 and June 30 2010 is \$1.0 billion, based on the current spot rate. This amount takes into account exchange rate movements, actual donor contribution payments including promissory note encashment, deposits of Instruments of Commitment, actual arrears clearance and the corresponding release of deferred contributions, as well as actual investment earned. Taking into account the funding decisions of \$2.1 billion taken to date, the total projected GEF-4 envelope from the Trustee amounts to \$3.1 billion.
- 4. The GEF Secretariat, however, in view of the continuing uncertainty in the global financial markets, and the undeposited Instruments of Commitment (\$119 million), will use a more conservative estimate for total potential GEF-4 resources of \$ 3.0 billion. As these figures will change over time, an estimation of overall expected GEF-4 resources will be undertaken periodically, and further reallocations may be suggested to Council, as necessary.
- 5. The remaining amount within each focal area that would be available for programming under the current conservatively projected target amount for GEF-4 is shown in the last column of Table 1. Going forward, there has to be a re-balancing of programming between the different focal areas to ensure that target focal area shares are achieved, to the extent possible, by the conclusion of GEF4.
- 6. It is proposed that project concepts for biodiversity (BD) and climate change (CC) from countries with individual RAF allocations be processed and cleared up to the country allocation levels as of July 2008, until the overall cap for the focal area, as indicated in the attached table, is reached. If the financial situation improves, this cap may be adjusted upwards as we approach the end of GEF-4, allowing additional room for programming.

- 7. Project concepts from group allocation countries will also be processed until the limit of available funds, with priority given to concepts that belong to programmatic approaches approved by Council.
- **8.** The Council is being asked to approve the reallocation of a total of \$ 35 million from the Corporate GEF Programmes, the ODS focal area, and the Capacity Building budgets to support the Poznan Technology Transfer Program, the Mediterranean Program and the Development Marketplace Program.

DRAFT Recommended Council Decision

The Council, having reviewed the document, *Reallocation of Remaining GEF-4 Resources*, agrees with the principles for allocating the remaining GEF-4 resources outlined in the paper. The Council mandates the Secretariat to implement the allocation of the remaining GEF-4 resources in accordance with these principles, and, in collaboration with the Trustee, to undertake periodic reviews of the projected available resources and to adjust the allocations as needed.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	. i
RAF Mid-term Allocation	3
Current Status of GEF Trust Fund	3
Principles for Allocating the Remaining GEF-4 Resources	4
Reallocation of Uncommitted Envelopes	6
Programming in the Focal Areas.	7
Funding for National Communications.	8
Requested Council Decision	9
Table 1: GEF-4 Resources Utilization and Reallocation as of May 1, 2009	1 11 12 13
Table 6: Climate Change Allocation and Utilization from the Global and Regional	
Exclusion	IJ

BACKGROUND

- 1. The agreement on the Fourth Replenishment of the GEF Trust Fund, endorsed by the GEF Council in August 2006, included a target total allocation for GEF-4 of \$3.13 billion based on donor pledging of new resources, projected investment income to be earned during the GEF-4 period, as well as carry-over of funding comprising arrears, deferred contributions, and available funding from previous replenishments. The new resources were pledged in various currencies and were to be converted to US dollars at the exchange rates available at the time of receipt in cash.
- 2. Donor Participants agreed that an amount of up to \$120 million was to be used to fund the GEF corporate budget and special initiatives. The remaining resources, \$3.015 billion, was to be divided among the focal areas according to a set of agreed shares from the replenishment agreement for GEF 4, as follows: (Biodiversity (BD) 33%, Climate Change (CC) 33%, International Waters (IW) 12%, Land Degradation (LD) 10%, Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) 10%, Ozone Depleting Substance (ODS) 1%, and Corporate Programs (1%).
- 3. The total actual replenishment resources and thus the resulting allocations in each focal area would, however, always be subject to actual contribution payments made by donors (including arrears), release of deferred contributions, changes in currency exchange rates against the US dollar on donors' contributions, investment income realized, and project cancellations.
- 4. As part of the GEF-4 replenishment agreement, a Resource Allocation Framework (RAF) was introduced for the BD and CC focal areas, under which 75% of the GEF-4 resources in each of these two focal areas were allocated to selected individual countries according to a country scoring algorithm based on a combination of RAF indicators; 15% of the resources were allocated as a 'group allocation' to the remaining countries, and 5% of the resources were set aside for global and regional projects and another 5% for global programs such as the Small Grants Program. Minimum and maximum allocations were decided for countries in the group allocation. It was also decided that individual country allocations would be recalculated at the mid-term of GEF-4 on the basis of updated indicators.
- 5. It was furthermore agreed that the GEF Small Grants Program (SGP) and enabling activities for capacity building in GEF-4 would be funded by agreed contributions from the allocations to the focal areas.¹
- 6. In July 2007, direct access to GEF funding was expanded from the three Implementing Agencies to include the seven Executing Agencies, and the corporate budget for the Agencies was replaced by an increase of the agency fee from 9% to 10%. Consequently, the amount originally targeted for corporate budgets and special initiatives was reduced from \$120 million to \$93 million.

2

Summary of Negotiations on the Fourth Replenishment of the GEF Trust Fund (GEF/C.29/3), p. 15, footnote 7.

RAF Mid-term Allocation

- 7. By the mid-point of GEF-4, the US dollar had depreciated relative to its value in 2006. In consideration of this movement and a projected increase in investment income at the time, the Trustee projected that the total value of the replenishment target for GEF-4 would increase from \$ eq. 3.1 billion to \$ eq. 3.3 billion. At the mid-term reallocation of the RAF, the GEF Secretariat recalculated country allocations under the RAF using this projected increase in the target amount and on the basis of updated RAF indicators. The results were conveyed to Council on July 31, 2008.
- 8. In June 2008, Council also approved a set of rules guiding the RAF allocations to countries under the 'Group.' According to these rules, any guarantee of a minimum allocation for a group allocation country under the RAF was to be suspended as of January 2009. The paper also stated that any technically qualified PIF that fits within a programmatic approach for BD or CC that had been approved by the Council would be entered into the first available work program, once the PIF was cleared by the Secretariat, provided that it was cleared by September 1, 2009.³
- 9. At the time of the Council meeting in November 2008, it was anticipated that some countries with individual RAF allocations might not be able to fully program and utilize their allocations in GEF-4, and the question of how to reallocate unused funds under the RAF emerged against a background of relative optimism about the state of GEF 4 resources.
- 10. In response to the Council's request to provide a decision by mail on the proposed reallocation of resources, The Secretariat sent out a letter on April 9, 2009, which requested Council's approval of a proposed reallocation of GEF-4 resources. The GEF Secretariat subsequently received comments and questions from several Council Members, and these were taken into consideration in a revised document that was sent out for a decision by mail on May 19, 2009. Council members have since requested discussion of the paper at a full Council meeting and this paper is presented, in that context.

Current Status of GEF Trust Fund

11. The Trustee periodically updates the projected value of the total expected resources in the Trust Fund. The events that trigger the changes in the projected value of the resources are the following: exchange rate movements, actual donor contribution payments including promissory note encashment, deposit of Instruments of Commitment, actual arrears clearance and the corresponding release of deferred contributions, as well as actual investment earned. The recent developments in the global financial markets during the last year, along with the volatility in

² See paper:

 $http://www.thegef.org/uploadedFiles/Policies/Resource_Allocation_Framework/GEF4_Indicative_Allocations/Rules\%\ 20 for \%\ 20 Group\%\ 20 allocation\%\ 20 countries\%\ 20 from\%\ 2007.31.08.pdf$

³ This date only applies to PIFs under Programmatic Approaches. All countries, including both individual and group allocation countries can continue submission of projects up to the end of GEF-4 until the resources are fully utilized under those specific allocations.

exchange rate movements created significant shifts in the projected value of the total potential GEF-4 resources over the last year: \$ eq. 3.3 billion as of July 2008; and \$ eq. 3.0 billion 4 as of April 30 2009.

- 12. The Trustee has provided an updated status of the funding for GEF-4 as of April 30, 2009, and the details are provided in Table 3 with a total projected envelope for GEF-4 of \$ 3.068 million, based on the current spot rate. The reduction from the high point of \$ 3.3 billion as of the mid-term reallocation figures in July 2008, down to \$ 3.0 billion represents a reduction of around \$300 million or around 9 % from the mid-term reallocation figure.
- 13. Some of the increase in the available funds since March, 2009 is due to recent cancellations that have been stimulated by the Secretariat. In total, such cancellations and other net changes have allowed the Trustee to add \$ 224 million to the budget over the course of GEF-4, However, in view of the continuing uncertainty in the global financial markets, exchange rate movements, as well as actual timing of donor payments, and the undeposited Instruments of Commitment (\$119 million), the GEF Secretariat will use a more conservative estimate for total potential GEF-4 resources: \$ eq. 2.949 billion (3,068 119 = 2,949). A reserve of \$ 40 million has already been set aside as a buffer for future exchange rate fluctuations.
- 14. The Secretariat will keep these figures under review, based on Trustee's periodic reports to Council and the Secretariat, and will recommend further reallocation, if necessary, for agreement by Council. To assist in buffering the ever changing situation, the Secretariat will review the stock of projects that were approved by Council prior to GEF-4 that have not met the target deadline for reaching endorsement. If warranted, the Secretariat will work with the relevant Agency to cancel the project. This will release additional resources that can be used.
- 15. The Secretariat will make further adjustments should any un-deposited Instruments of Commitment and corresponding contribution payments be received by the Trustee. The uncertainty related to exchange rates, and thereby the needed buffer, will also diminish towards zero as we approach the end of GEF-4, and this buffer will then be released for use.

Principles for Allocating the Remaining GEF-4 Resources

- 16. Allocations under the Resource Allocation Framework, whether individual country allocations or group allocations, are not entitlements. They are an indication of the envelope of resources that could be available to each country to finance projects that meet GEF's strategic objectives and technical criteria and that are approved in accordance with GEF procedures.
- 17. Council was informed as part of the mid-term reallocation that "actual amounts available during the GEF-4 period may be higher or lower than the indicative amounts and depend on

4

⁴ The amount is based on assumptions including, but not limited to: (i) arrears from previous replenishments are not cleared; (ii) deferred contributions are not released; and (iii) all outstanding Instruments of Commitment are deposited.

many factors, including the actual receipt and value of donor payments to the GEF Trust Fund, changes in investment income and exchange rates."⁵

- 18. The present challenge then is to manage the reduction in available GEF-4 resources in a way that respects previous Council agreements and commitments to the maximum extent possible and, at the same time, provides sufficient operational flexibility and ensures that all available funds are utilized in GEF-4. Elements that need to be taken into consideration in the allocation of the remaining GEF-4 resources include the agreed funding of the corporate budget and the programmatic approaches, the agreed shares between the focal areas, the RAF allocations for the BD and CC focal areas, including global and regional exclusions, and the amounts already committed into projects and programs.
- 19. These various elements that need to be taken into consideration are shown in figurative format in the attached Table 1 and described in the following paragraphs:
- 20. Following the Replenishment Agreement, the amounts for the GEF Corporate Budget (reduced to \$93 million) and the Earth Fund (\$56 million) are deducted from the revised target amount, which has been set at \$2,949 million and the remaining \$2,800 million is distributed among the focal areas according to the shares agreed at replenishment (see Table 1 column E for further details).
- 21. Next, according to the previously agreed contributions from each focal area, the budgeted amounts for the Small Grants Program (SGP), the Capacity Building Program/Enabling Activities (EA/CB) and the global and regional exclusions (GRE) for BD and CC are subtracted from the focal areas. The total global allocation for the SGP (\$110 million) has already been disbursed, while the remaining SGP funding which was submitted to Council in April, 2009, is derived from individual country allocations for CC and BD and is charged to those allocations.
- 22. The \$ 50 million amount set aside for the global and regional exclusion (GRE) in the biodiversity focal area is almost entirely programmed. These projects are shown in Table 5. It is recommended not to revise this amount that has been already agreed and committed.
- 23. On the GRE for the CC focal area (GRE CC), the Secretariat intends to use \$18 million of these funds to support the Poznan Technology Transfer Program. Originally, in the November, 2008 Council meeting (see Summary of Chairs), it was agreed to use \$5 million of GRE CC money, as the level of unspent allocations was large enough for the Council to consider a reallocation away from individual allocation countries that had not used much of their allocation. However, with the worsening financial situation, it has become necessary to move more funds away from the GRE CC in order to meet the agreements with GEF Council and the Convention to support the Poznan Technology Transfer Program to an adequate level. Further details of the GRE utilization for BD and CC are attached as Tables 5 and 6, respectively.
- 24. In addition, it is proposed to reallocate \$17 million from other unspent budget lines (see Table 2) to support the Poznan Technology Transfer Program. The total available from the GEF

5

⁵ See letter from CEO to Council of July 31, 2008 on mid-term re-allocation

Trust Fund for the Poznan Program would therefore be \$35 million (\$17+\$18 million). An additional \$15 million was also approved by the SCCF Council in November 2008 to be deployed from the SCCF Technology Transfer window for the same purpose. The total available resources for the Poznan Program could now provide up to \$50 million in GEF-4 towards its aims and objectives.

25. The total for all the above-mentioned programming amounts \$2,949 million (see column F on Table 1 for further details). The total of expenditures, to date, on all of the allocations has been represented in column G on Table 1 with the latest figure from the Trustee of \$2,065 million. However, in order to show funding decisions for each focal area, it is necessary to use GEF Secretariat figures that attribute project expenditures, including fees, against the focal areas, including those affected by the RAF. However, the GEF Secretariat has not been able to reconcile all differences between its figures and those of the Trustee. The difference is shown as a figure of \$1.45 million for eventual attribution to the relevant budget lines, once reconciliation is completed. The remaining funds available, after all funding decisions have been considered, are derived from columns F and G and are represented as column H of Table 1.

Reallocation of Uncommitted Envelopes

- 26. There is some potential for reallocation from three envelopes:
 - (a) The allocation for Capacity Building is currently under-utilized with \$27 million remaining unspent. It is proposed to reallocate 12 million from this envelope⁶;
 - (b) The allocation for Corporate GEF Program is under-utilized with all \$15 million remaining unspent. It is proposed to reallocate \$8 million from this envelope; ⁷ and
 - (c) The Ozone Depleting Substances focal area has attracted relatively few eligible proposals, with \$35 million remaining unspent. It is proposed that \$15 million of these resources be reallocated, as it has been discovered that the remaining \$20 million would cover the project needs for this focal area.

⁷ The Corporate GEF Program is a continuous program across replenishment periods, and progress in GEF-3 carries over to GEF-4, resulting in smaller amounts needed in GEF-4 and its resources underutilized. However, as we move into GEF-5, renewed resources will be needed to this continuous effort of enhancing relations with key stakeholders.

⁶ Utilization of capacity building funds was delayed due to the precondition of preparing the National Capacity Self Assessment by countries was unexpectedly slow; hence, few countries were able to submit CB projects. To-date, 25 countries have received CB project funding and the remaining funding of \$13 million is sufficient to cover a few new projects that are in preparatory phase, including those from SIDs and LDCs.

⁸ Some delay in the ODS portfolio was planned because the GEF Agencies are first conducting HCFC surveys to form the basis for well substantiated requests for future funding. These are now close to completion, and the Agencies are working to prepare PIFs for submission. We have also been waiting for the results from the first Impact Evaluation of the GEF - available in June - to develop new activities and programs. Another reason for lower uptake rate has been the cancellation of a large (\$20million) project to phase out methyl bromide.

- 27. From the above-mentioned re-allocations, a total of \$35 million is available for reprogramming along the following lines:
 - (d) \$17 million for the new *Poznan Technology Transfer Program* in the CC focal area in support of the Council-approved agreement;⁹
 - (e) \$16 million for the Mediterranean Program (with the World Bank as the lead Agency) to be presented to the Council in June 2009; 10 and
 - (f) \$2 million for the Development Marketplace Program implemented through the World Bank. 11
- 28. If by June 2009, no project proposals are submitted for funding under the Corporate Program allocation or the ODS allocation, then we will propose further redeployment of the remaining resources.

Programming in the Focal Areas

- 29. For the two focal areas under the influence of the RAF (BD and CC), it will not be possible, within the reduced target amount, to accommodate all the projects from countries with individual allocations, up to the level of the revised RAF allocation as of July 2008. ¹² One possibility would be, therefore, to recalculate all the individual RAF allocations in consideration of the revised target amount. This option is not considered viable for the following reasons:
 - (a) Some countries have actually already programmed and received approval of their project concepts for their entire RAF allocation;
 - (b) It was a central part of the RAF agreement for GEF-4 to do one and only one recalculation at the mid-point of GEF-4;

⁹ At its November 2008 meeting, the Council agreed to support the Poznan Technology Transfer Program with \$50 million. It is proposed that the program be funded from allocations from the CC global and regional allocations (\$18 million), the SCCF (\$15 million) and \$17 million from resources freed up from actions mentioned in paragraphs 25. Since the approval by Council of the program in November, GEF has submitted an interim report to SBI which was posted on the web on the status of the TT Program implementation.

¹⁰ This \$16 million will add to the current balance of \$37 million in the IW focal area and will be used to support the Mediterranean Program. The 'Mediterranean Environmental Sustainable Development Program' consists of 10 International Waters projects, 5 of which come to the June WP. The IW-funded projects will total c.\$ 50 million with fees and projected co-financing of \$737 million

¹¹ The Development Marketplace (DM) is a grant competition program run by the World Bank and IFC with GEF as partner to this Program. The focus this year is on "Adaptation" with an opportunity to reward innovative ideas that have the potential to make a difference for sustainable development with a tradition of blending agriculture aspects with CC and BD (see breakdown in Table 4).

¹² There are indications that the remaining RAF funds will be fully utilized, considering that the total of approved programmatic approaches in the BD and CC focal areas is expected to use up to \$250 million under the programs.

- (c) The target amount will still have to be readjusted again as we approach the end of GEF-4, and it is not operationally possible for countries and Agencies to rescale individual project concepts to a moving budget target; and
- (d) The RAF agreement for GEF-4 explicitly states that the individual RAF allocation to a country represents a budget frame, not an entitlement to that amount.
- 30. It is proposed that any project concept for BD and CC from countries with individual RAF allocations be processed and cleared up to the country allocation levels as of July 2008, until the overall cap for that focal area as indicated in Table 1 is reached. As described and on the assumption that the financial situation does not deteriorate, or even experiences some improvement, this cap may be adjusted upwards as we approach the end of GEF-4, allowing additional room for programming.
- 31. It is also proposed that project concepts from group allocation countries will be processed and cleared up to the maximum that was to be allowed for any country in the re-calculations of July 2008, up to the limit of available funds.
- 32. Considering the other focal areas, it will be necessary to freeze further programming in the International Waters and Land Degradation focal areas¹³ to ensure a reasonable balance among focal areas. However, programming will continue in the POPS focal area within the limits set by the total revised allocation available.
- 33. The remaining amount within each focal area that would be available for programming under the current estimated target amount for GEF-4 after subtraction of the amounts already committed to projects is shown in column H of the table in Table 1.
- 34. It should be noted that other important programs such as the Biosafety Program and the SGP have not been discussed in this paper since they are proceeding as planned. The Biosafety Program Framework Document was endorsed by Council in April 2008 and many projects under the Program have been processed and Agencies are preparing additional projects to be submitted in the future work programs; Likewise, the SGP has been programmed and processed as planned; with the submission of the final project in the April 2009 work program with funding from the individual allocation countries, the SGP has received all its funding allocations for GEF-4 and will program them, as normal.

Funding for National Communications

35. Provisions will be made for funding national communications that are due during GEF-4 to the UNFCCC and CBD. Funding for this will be drawn from the country allocations and group allocations in the CC and BD focal areas where possible, and otherwise covered from the GREs. The estimated cost will be \$ 27.5 million for CC (each UNFCCC National Communication is

¹³ Further programming in the IW focal area will not be possible, after taking into consideration the programming for the Mediterranean Program. Likewise, in the LD focal area, no further programming will take place after its remaining \$18 million is fully utilized.

estimated at \$550,000 including the Agency fee for 50 countries) and \$1 million for the 40 National Reports due to the Convention on Biological Diversity. (Each CBD National Report is estimated at \$25,000 including the Agency fee). The funding amount and the number of countries are estimates provided by the GEF Agencies managing the national communications portfolio based on their surveys on the status of national communications in a number of countries

Requested Council Decision

36. The sum of the proposed reallocations is set out in Table 2 and indicates that the Council is being asked to approve the reallocation of funds from the Corporate GEF Programmes, the ODS focal area, and the Capacity Building budgets for a total of \$35 million to support the Poznan Technology Transfer Program, the Mediterranean Program and the Development Marketplace Program.

Table 1: GEF-4 Resources Utilization and Reallocation as of May 1, 2009

GEF-4 Resources Utilization and Proposed Reallocation, as of May 1st 2009

Α	В	C	D	E	F	G	H	I			
GEF Focal Areas and Corporate Program	Initial GEI Allocation		Revised Mid Term GEF-4 Allocations	Revised GEF-4 Allocations - April 2009	Actual Situation in G	Actual Situation in GEF-4 of allocations and expenditures as of May 1st 2009					
OZZ Z SOM SZ SME GO. POZMO Z Z OG. MA	August 2006 Targeted Allocations		Revised GEF-4 Allocation as of April 30, 2008	Revised GEF-4 Allocations as of April 30, 2009*	Revised GEF-4 Allocations net of SGP, GRE. Cap Bld, EF, etc	locations net of SGP, (set aside) by Trustee to available as of M		Proposed disposition of funds as of May 1st 2009			
	(\$ million)	Share	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)			
GEF-4 Target	3,130.00		3,309.00	2,949.00	2,949.00	2,065.00	884.00	884.00			
GEF-4 Programmable Resources	3,010.00	100%	3,160.00	2,800.00	2,545.00	1,783.24	761.76	754.76			
Biological diversity	1000.00	33.2%	1030.00	929.88	830.38	576.31	254.07	254.07			
Climate change	1000.00	33.2%	1030.00	929.88	830.38		325.46	325.46			
International waters	355.00	11.8%	366.00	330.11	310.11	273.39	36.72	52.72			
Land degradation	300.00	10.0%	309.00	278.96	260.96	249.53	11.43	11.43			
Ozone layer depletion	40.00	1.3%	41.00	37.20	37.20	1.73	35.47	20.47			
Persistent organic pollutants	300.00	10.0%	309.00	278.96	260.96	176.36	84.60	84.60			
Corporate Programs (CSP/NDI)	15.00	0.5%	15.00	15.00	15.00	1.00	14.00	6.00			
Corporate budget	120.00		93.00	93.00	93.00	45.00	48.00	48.00			
Technology Transfer Program: CC								17.00			
Earth Fund	0.00		56.00	56.00	56.00	56.00	0.00	0.00			
Corporate and Core Programs					255.00	179.31	75.69	65.69			
Small Grants Program (SGP)					110.00	110.00	0.00	0.00			
EA/CB/LDC-SIDs					45.00	13.85	31.15	19.15			
Development Gateway					0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00			
GRE Biodiversity					50.00	30.67	19.33	19.33			
GRE Climate Change					50.00	24.79	25.21	25.21			
Differences between GEF and Trustee as of 1 May 2009						1.45	(1.45)	(1.45)			
Total	3,110.00		3,309.00	2,949.00	2,949.00		\ /	\ /			

^{*} Trustee predicted shortfall of approx. \$400 million, January 31st from \$ 3.3 billion figure due to net effects of dollar depreciation, investment income, cancellations and reconciliation

^{*} Further unrealized resources from undeposited instruments of commitments lead to a projected further \$ 119 million reduction as of May 1st 2009

^{*} Further resources obtained in GEF 4 from cancellation of projects and reconciliation are included in this chart as of 1st May 2009

Table 2: Proposed Budget Adjustments to Meet Programming Needs in GEF-4, as of May 1 2009

Focal Area / Budget line	Proposed additional funding for specific budget lines	Proposed reduction of funding on specific budget lines
	(\$ millions)	(\$ millions)
Biological diversity		
Climate change		
International waters	16	
Land degradation		
Ozone layer depletion		15
Persistent organic pollutants		
Corporate Programs (CSP/NDI)		8
CC Technology Transfer Program	17	
Development Gateway Programme	2	
Small Grants Program (SGP)		
EA/CB/LDC-SIDs		12
GRE Biodiversity		
GRE Climate Change		
Total	35	35

Table 3: Trustee Status of GEF-4 Resources as of April 30, 2009

Schedule 2 - GEF-4 Specif Status as of Apri (in USDeq. n	130, 2009	ons		
Target Replenishment Funding a/				3,10
Projected Available Resources During Remaining GEF-4 Period				1,01
Estimated Funds available to support Council or CEO Financia	ng Decisions		185	
	Potential	of which		
	Amount	Projected to		
	Available	be Available		
<u>Receivables</u>			660	
- Arrears	238	62	b /	
- Due within 6 months c/	126	126		
- Due between 7 and 12 months d/	373	373		
- Due between 13 and 18 months d/	99	99		
Release of Deferred Contributions				
- Deferred Contributions	241	_		
IoCs not yet Deposited with the Trustee e/	125	119	119	
Projected Investment Income	51	51	51	
<u>Total</u>	1,253	830		
GEF-4 Specific Funding Decisions				2,05
Assessed by Council			1,922	
Approvals by Council - Projects + Fees		1,192	1,922	
- Projects + Pees - Admin. Budget		43		
		2		
- Special Initiatives		684		
- Programmatic Initiatives		684		
Approvals by CEO			143	
- Projects + Fees (MSPs, EEAs)		125		
- Project Preparation Activities + Fees		18		
Net Changes to Initial Approvals			(12)	
- Reductions (cancellations) on GEF-4 projects,		(12)	(12)	,
Administrative Budget and Special Initiatives f/		(12)		
Pending decisions on Intersessionals and Council meetin	ıgs		-	
- Projects, Fees, Admin Budget, Spcl Initiatives, Programm	atic Initiatives	-		
Projected GEF-4 "Envelope" as of April 30, 2009 (4 = 2 + 3)				3,00
Excess or (Shortfall) as Measured Against the Target in Line 1 (5 = 4 - 1))			(3
Represents the target replenishment level as agreed, including new resources from	_ Donore projected:	mrootmont is		
and carryover of amounts from previous replenishments.	Donors, projected i	nvesument incom	ne,	
	on 20 2000 on 4 5.	nuth Africa	.: 2000 ما السما	sh ara armaatad
Represents the amounts that were due from Belgium and United States on Novemb to be paid in full.	Der 30, 2008 and 80	outi Airica on A	aprii 50, 2009, Whic	in are expected

c/ Represents the remaining amounts from third installment.

d/ Represents amounts from fourth installment.

e/ Represents IoCs not yet received by the Trustee from Italy (EUR 88 million), Nigeria (SRD 4 million), Pakistan (PKR 350 million).

The amount projected to be available comprises of Italy (USD eq. 118 million) and Pakistan (USD eq. 4 million).

The amount projected to be available comprises of Italy (USD eq. 118 million) and Pakistan (USD eq. 4 million).

f/ To fit the GEF Secretariat's RAF model, pre-GEF-4 project net changes were excluded from the calculation.

The total reductions to initial approvals (including GEF-4 and pre-GEF-4 projects) is USD 224 million.

Table 4: Breakdown of Development Marketplace Resources

	PROJECT AMOUNT	AGENCY FEE
CLIMATE CHANGE GLOBAL	\$1,000,000	\$ 50,000
BIODIVERSITY	500,000	20,000
INTERNATIONAL WATERS	200,000	20,000
LAND DEGRADATION	300,000	30,000
TOTAL	\$2,000,000	\$200,000

GRAND TOTAL (INCLUDING AGENCY FEE) \$2,200,000

Table 5: Biodiversity Allocations and Utilizations from the Global and Regional Exclusion

Table 5. Diodiversity Anocations and							
	Country	Agency	Туре	Appoval Date	GEF Grant	Fees	Total
2123 Conservation & Management of Pollinators for Sustainable Agriculture through an		UNEP	FP	6/14/200		98,066	1,098,066
2127 Conservation and Adaptive Management of Globally Important Agricultural Herita		FAO	FP	6/14/200		120,714	1,120,714
2967 BS Regional Project for Implementing National Biosafety Frameworks in the Carible	Regional	UNEP	PPG	9/25/200	•		110,502
3183 Mitigating the Threats of Invasive Alien Species in the Insular Caribbean	Regional	UNEP	FP	2/22/200	•	25,610	256,714
3361 Assessment and Recommendations on Improving Access of Indigenous Peoples to		World Bank	MSP	9/17/200	7 250,000	25,000	275,000
3414 Support to GEF Eligible CBD Parties for Carrying Out 2010 Biodiversity Targets Nat	Global	UNDP/UNEP	MSP	11/7/200	7 115,000	35,000	150,000
3414 Support to GEF Eligible CBD Parties for Carrying Out 2010 Biodiversity Targets Nat	Global	UNDP/UNEP	MSP	11/7/200	7 885,000	65,000	950,000
3420 PAS GEF Pacific Alliance for Sustainability (PROGRAM)	Regional	World Bank	PPG	8/15/200	7 -	-	-
3420 PAS GEF Pacific Alliance for Sustainability (PROGRAM)	Regional	World Bank	PPG	8/15/200	7 180,000	-	180,000
3449 SFM: Carbon Benefits Project (CBP): Modeling, Measurement and Monitoring	Global	UNEP/World	l FP	11/16/200	7 780,250	78,025	858,275
3449 SFM: Carbon Benefits Project (CBP): Modeling, Measurement and Monitoring	Global	UNEP/World	l FP	11/16/200	7 885,171	88,517	973,688
3562 Latin-America: Communication and Public Awareness Capacity-Building for Compl	Regional	World Bank	MSP	3/5/200	8 900,000	90,000	990,000
3691 Tiger Futures: Mainstreaming Conservation in Large Landscapes	Global	World Bank	MSP	12/11/200	8 950,000	95,000	1,045,000
3746 Support to GEF Eligible CBD Parties for Carrying out 2010 Biodiversity Targets Nati	i Global	UNDP/UNEP	MSP	3972	8 20000	35000	55,000
3746 Support to GEF Eligible CBD Parties for Carrying out 2010 Biodiversity Targets Nati	i Global	UNDP/UNEP	MSP	10/7/200	8 980,000	65,000	1,045,000
3777 CBSP Sustainable Management of the Wildlife and Bushmeat Sector in Central Afr	Regional	FAO	FP	1/27/200	9 2,627,272	262,727	2,889,999
3777 CBSP Sustainable Management of the Wildlife and Bushmeat Sector in Central Afr	Regional	FAO	PPG	1/27/200	9 100,000		100,000
3781 SPWA Evolution of PA Systems with regard to Climatic, Institutional, Social, and Ed	Regional	UNEP	FP	1/27/200	9 909,091	90,909	1,000,000
3790 Communities of Conservation: Safeguarding the World's Most Threatened Species	Global	UNEP	PPG	10/21/200	8 50,000	-	50,000
3790 Communities of Conservation: Safeguarding the World's Most Threatened Species	Global	UNEP	FP	1/27/200	9 1,775,000	182,500	1,957,500
3807 Project for Ecosystem Services (ProEcoServ)	Global	UNEP	PPG	10/21/200	8 67,000	-	67,000
3807 Project for Ecosystem Services (ProEcoServ)	Global	UNEP	FP	1/27/200	9 6,296,637	636,364	6,933,001
3811 International Commission on Land Use Change and Ecosystems	Global	UNEP	MSP	10/29/200	8 1,000,000	100,000	1,100,000
3818 SFM Capacity Development for Climate Change Mitigation through Sustainable Fo	Global	World Bank	MSP	10/23/200	8 333,000	33,000	366,000
3819 PAS Forestry and Protected Area Management	Regional	FAO	PPG	12/18/200	8 350,000	35,000	385,000
3860 Threatened Species Partnership/Save Your Logo	Global	World Bank	FP	1/27/200	9 4,900,000	510,000	5,410,000
3860 Threatened Species Partnership/Save Your Logo	Global	World Bank	PPG	12/22/200	8 200,000		200,000
3920 Support to GEF Eligible CBD Parties for carrying out 2010 Biodiversity Targets Nati	Global	UNEP	MSP	4/24/200	9 1,000,000	100,000	1,100,000
				GRE BD Total Approve	d 27,895,027	2,771,432	30,666,459

ID Title	Country	Agency	Туре	Status	GEF Grant	Fees	Total
2820 ABS Capacity Building Africa		UNEP		Cleared but not approved	350,000	35,000	385,000
3855 ABS Capacity Building Latin America and Caribbean		UNEP		not yet cleared	850,000		850,000
3853 ABS Capacity Building ASEAN		UNEP		not yet cleared	750,000		750,000
2906 CBFP Sustainable Financing of Protected Area Systems in Congo		UNDP		Cleared but not approved	4,545,454	458,545	5,003,999
SPWA Scaling Up the impact of good practices in BD and Poverty Allev		World Bank		Pre-Pipeline			935,000
SPWA Capacity Building Initiative		World Bank		Pre-Pipeline			935,000
UNFF Country Reporting and SIDs Initiative				Pre-Pipeline			1,100,000
CTI Economics of Fisheries project		ADB		Pre-Pipeline			200,000
Tropical Forest Account		multiple		Pre-Pipeline			5,000,000
Sustainable Tourism project		UNEP		Pre-Pipeline			220,000
3856 BCH Clearinghouse II		UNEP		not yet cleared	2,500,000	250,000	2,750,000
3822 CBSP- A Regional Focus on Sustainable Timber Management in the Congo basir	Global	UNEP	FP	Reviewed for clearance	363,636	36,364	400,000
				GRE BD total Pending	8,995,454	743,545	18,528,999

GRE BD TOTAL 36,890,481 3,514,977 49,195,458

Table 6: Climate Change Allocation and Utilization from the Global and Regional Exclusion

ID Title	Country	Agency	Туре	Status	GEF Grant	Fees	Totals
2095 Sustainable Management of the Water Resources of the la Plata Basin with Respect to the	Regional	UNEP	FP	Approved	1,000,000	90,000	1,090,000
2364 Integrated and Sustainable Management of Transboundary Water Resources in the Ama	z Global	UNEP	FP	Approved	2,000,000	200,000	2,200,000
2915 CC P Namibia Adaptation to Climate Change through the improvement of traditional co	r Global	UNDP	MSP	Approved	960,000	96,000	1,056,000
3024 SLEM - Sustainable Participatory Management of Natural Resources to Promote Ecosys	sıGlobal	UNDP	MSP	Approved	227,272	22,727	249,999
3129 Sustaining Agricultural Biodiversity in the Face of Climate Change	Global	UNDP	FP	Approved	950,000	95,000	1,045,000
3129 Sustaining Agricultural Biodiversity in the Face of Climate Change	Global	UNDP	PPG	Approved	50,000	5,000	55,000
3134 Implementing Pilot Climate Change Adaptation Measures in Coastal Areas of Uruguay	Global	UNDP	MSP	Approved	975,000	100,000	1,075,000
3224 Establishing Sustainable Liquid Biofuels Production Worldwide (A Targeted Research	PGlobal	UNEP	MSP	Approved	970,000	97,000	1,067,000
3267 MENARID - Adaptation to Climate Change Using Agrobiodiversity Resources in the R	a Global	World Bank	FP	Approved	4,000,000	400,000	4,400,000
3267 MENARID - Adaptation to Climate Change Using Agrobiodiversity Resources in the R	a Global	World Bank	PPG	Approved	200,000	20,000	220,000
3415 Identification and Implementation of Adaptation Response Measures in the Drini-Mati I	R Global	UNDP	MSP	Approved	975,000	99,990	1,074,990
3417 Adaptation to Climate Change Impacts in Mountain Forest Ecosystems of Armenia	Global	UNDP	MSP	Approved	900,000	90,000	990,000
3417 Adaptation to Climate Change Impacts in Mountain Forest Ecosystems of Armenia	Global	UNDP	PPG	Approved	50,000	5,000	55,000
3420 PAS GEF Pacific Alliance for Sustainability (PROGRAM)	Regional	World Bank	PPG	Approved	120,000	12,000	132,000
3449 SFM: Carbon Benefits Project (CBP): Modeling, Measurement and Monitoring	Global	UNEP	FP	Approved	1,665,422	166,542	1,831,964
3457 Global Market Transformation for Efficient Lighting	Global	UNEP	PPG	Approved	200,000	20,000	220,000
3470 SLEM/CPP-Sustainable Rural Livelihood Security through Innovations in Land and Eco	:Global	World Bank	FP	Approved	4,000,000	400,000	4,400,000
3471 SLEM - Sustainable Land, Water and Biodiversity Conservation and Management for In	r Global	World Bank	FP	Approved	140,000	14,000	154,000
3472 SLEM-CPP-Integrated Land Use Management to Combat Land Degradation in Madja F	'ı Global	UNDP	FP	Approved	200,000	20,000	220,000
3589 CTI Coastal and Marine Resources Management in the Coral Triangle: Southeast Asia u	ıı Global	ADB	FP	Approved	810,000	81,000	891,000
3589 CTI Coastal and Marine Resources Management in the Coral Triangle: Southeast Asia u	ıı Global	ADB	PPG	Approved	100,000	10,000	110,000
3591 PAS Coastal and Marine Resources Management in the Coral Triangle of the Pacific - u	r Regional	ADB	FP	Approved	909,100	90,910	1,000,010
3669 MENARID - Land and Water Optimization Project	Global	World Bank	FP	Approved	636,000	63,600	699,600
3818 SFM Capacity Development for Climate Change Mitigation through Sustainable Forest	MGlobal	World Bank	MSP	Approved	333,000	33,000	366,000
3357 The GEF Earth Fund (formerly GEF Public-Private Partnership Fund)	Global	UNEP	PPG	Approved	190,000	-	190,000
			GRE C	C Total Approved	22,560,794	2,231,769	24,792,563

ID Title	Country	Agency	Туре	Status	GEF Grant	Fees	Total
3467 Building Baseline for Energy Efficiency Market Transformation	Global	UNEP	FP	Reviewed / being cleared Reviewed /	2,577,273	257,727	2,835,000
3467 Building Baseline for Energy Efficiency Market Transformation	Global	UNEP	PPG	being cleared Reviewed /	150,000	15,000	165,000
3822 CBSP- A Regional Focus on Sustainable Timber Management in the Congo basin	Global	UNEP	FP	being cleared Reviewed /	909,092	90,909	1,000,001
3888 Global Fuel Economy Initiative	Global	UNEP	MSP	being cleared	980,000	98,000	1,078,000
3928 Global Energy Assessment Developing a Common Method for CO2 Calculation for Urban Public Transport in	Global	UNIDO	MSP	Cleared	1,000,000	100,000	1,100,000
Developing Asia,	Global	ADB	MSP	Pre-Pipeline	1,000,000	100,000	1,100,000
			GRE C	C Total Pending	6,616,365	661,636	7,278,001
			GRE C	C Total	29,177,159	2,893,405	32,070,564