



Global Environment Facility

GEF/C.15/Inf.15
April 24, 2000

GEF Council
May 9-11, 2000

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ACTION PLAN ON COMPLEMENTARITY
BETWEEN THE ACTIVITIES UNDERTAKEN BY THE UNITED NATIONS
ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME UNDER THE GLOBAL ENVIRONMENT
FACILITY AND ITS PROGRAMME OF WORK

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
UNEP

(Prepared by the United Nations Environment Programme)



United Nations Environment Programme

برنامج الأمم المتحدة للبيئة • 联合国环境规划署
PROGRAMME DES NATIONS UNIES POUR L'ENVIRONNEMENT • PROGRAMA DE LAS NACIONES UNIDAS PARA EL MEDIO AMBIENTE
ПРОГРАММА ОРГАНИЗАЦИИ ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫХ НАЦИЙ ПО ОКРУЖАЮЩЕЙ СРЕДЕ

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ACTION PLAN ON UNEP/GEF COMPLEMENTARITY

REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 At its meeting held in October 1998, the GEF Council requested the implementing agencies to continue their efforts to develop a strategic approach to the integration of global environmental issues into their regular programmes and to ensuring "complementarity" between GEF activities and those of their regular programmes. UNEP was requested to prepare "an action plan on complementarity and additionality". At its third meeting, held in Buenos Aires on 10 November 1998, the UNEP High-level Committee of Ministers and Officials considered this issue and requested the Executive Director to prepare a draft document for consideration at the twentieth session of the UNEP Governing Council, in February 1999. To assist the Executive Director in the preparation of the requested draft action plan, a joint meeting of the bureaux of UNEP's governing bodies was held in Geneva on 12 January 1999, at the invitation of the Government of Switzerland. The twentieth session of UNEP's Governing Council held in Nairobi on 1-5 February 1999 welcomed the Action Plan and requested the Executive Director to transmit it to the 13th session of the GEF Council.
- 1.2 The 13th GEF Council meeting held 5-7 May 1999 in Washington DC, unanimously endorsed the Action Plan. The Council "*expressed its appreciation for the quality of the UNEP document which helps to clarify UNEP's niche within the GEF family, and it commended UNEP for the comprehensive process of consultations that it had followed in preparing the report*" (paragraph 40 of the joint summary of the chairs). The Council **noted with appreciation** the Action Plan and **welcomed** the three elements identified in the plan that would best contribute to promoting complementarity between UNEP's regular programme of work and its role in the GEF: **additionality, synergy and integration**. The Council **invited UNEP** to continue efforts to strengthen its partnership with the GEF Secretariat and other Implementing Agencies, based on its comparative advantage. The Council **requested UNEP** to report on progress made in its efforts to strengthen the complementarity of UNEP's regular programme of work with its activities under the GEF (paragraph 9 of the Joint Summary of the Chairs).
- 1.3 At their meeting held in New York on 9th March 2000, the GEF Heads of Agencies reviewed the status of implementation of the strategic partnership between the GEF

Secretariat and the Implementing Agencies they noted “ the good progress on the Strategic Partnerships that were entered into individually between the GEF and the three agencies last year and asked for regular updates at future meetings. It was agreed that the Strategic Partnership also offers an opportunity for further collaboration between the Implementing agencies. One example is in the area of renewable energy which is addressed in the strategic partnerships with UNEP and the World Bank. It was suggested that the dialogue between Uganda and the Bank on this issue provides an opportunity for such collaboration”.

- 1.4 A joint meeting of the Bureaux of the governing bodies of UNEP held in Geneva on 3rd April reviewed the status of implementation of the UNEP/GEF Action Plan on complementarity, including the UNEP/GEF strategic partnership. The meeting took note with appreciation of the significant progress achieved by UNEP, in a short period of time, in the implementation of the Action Plan on complementarity. The meeting also took note with appreciation of the excellent collaboration between UNEP and the GEF Secretariat as evidenced by the adoption of the UNEP/GEF Strategic Partnership. It also expressed its appreciation for the strengthening of the collaboration between UNEP and the other Implementing Agencies as evidenced by the growing number of joint projects and the signature of a memorandum of understanding with UNDP. It welcomed also the forthcoming signature of a similar agreement with the World Bank”. The First Meeting of the Global Ministerial Environment Forum/Sixth Special of the Governing Council of UNEP to be held in Malmo, Sweden on 29-31 May 2000 will also review the implementation of the UNEP/GEF Action Plan on complementarity. The present report is submitted by the Executive Director to inform the Council members on the measures taken by UNEP for the implementation of the Action Plan on Complementarity between the activities undertaken by UNEP under the GEF and its programme of work.

II. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ACTION PLAN ON UNEP/GEF COMPLEMENTARITY

- 2.1 A special meeting of the UNEP Senior Management Group was convened on 8 June 1999 to review the operational modalities required for the implementation of the Action Plan, and to ensure a co-ordinated and harmonised approach. The meeting agreed on the following:
- a. The **UNEP/GEF Programme Co-ordination Committee** established under the Action Plan, chaired by the Deputy Executive Director and comprising the heads of divisions was mandated to identify the activities to be carried out by UNEP through its interventions in the GEF focal areas that are complementary and additional to its programme of work for 2000-2001. To this end two meetings of the UNEP/GEF Programme Co-ordination Committee were convened in June and in October 1999.
 - b. A **UNEP/GEF Africa-Land & Water Technical Support Group**, chaired by the Head of the Division of Environmental Policy Development and Law, was established with specific responsibility for: coordinating UNEP inputs to the GEF initiative on Land

and Water in Africa; ensuring complementarity and synergy with UNEP's role and actions in the context of the United Nations system wide initiative for Africa; coordinating actions on the part of UNEP undertaken in support of the implementation of the Convention to Combat Desertification; and providing guidance on the nature and strategic focus of UNEP/GEF activities in water and land degradation, including desertification. The Technical Support Group was established in June 1999. Since then a number of meetings of its two sub-committees on Land and Water were convened.

- c. Three **UNEP/GEF Technical Focal Points** were designated in June 1999 for Climate Change, Biodiversity (including land degradation) and International Waters (including Persistent Toxic Substances). The Technical Focal Points have been mandated to work closely with the UNEP Divisions, outposted and regional offices to identify complementary actions to be included in the UNEP programme of work starting with the biennium 2000-2001.
- d. A **STAP Focal Point**, located in the Division of Environmental Information, Assessment and Early Warning, was designated to ensure ongoing exchange and interaction between the Scientific and Technical Advisory Panel of the GEF and all Divisions of UNEP and its outposted and regional offices. The Action Plan suggests that one opportunity for advancing complementarity lies in linking UNEP's role, as Secretariat for STAP, with UNEP's various scientific, technical and information exchange networks and activities. Although all Divisions have been requested to play an active role in developing such relations, the Division of Environmental Information, Assessment and Early Warning was requested to take the lead in terms of: generating specific information and analyses that address STAP needs; harmonizing clearing houses and information management functions across both UNEP and GEF areas of focus; and developing potential targeted research activities addressing emerging issues identified by STAP. To this end, a series of meetings were convened in February and June 1999 between the Heads of Divisions and Regional offices and the Chairman of STAP.
- e. A **UNEP/GEF Budget and Fund Management Group** was established to oversee the introduction of the GEF fee-based system and its application in UNEP's programmes; to provide oversight of expenditure of GEF Trust Funds and examine ways to improve reporting of GEF trust Funds, particularly, with regard to timely recording of obligations and expenditures.

2.2 At its meeting held in October 1999, the UNEP/GEF Programme Coordination Committee considered concrete activities to be included in the UNEP costed programme for the biennium 2000-2001 as a complement of UNEP interventions in the GEF. In this context, the following activities were considered:

a. Assessment

- Preparation of a Global Environment Outlook for the focal areas of the GEF based on the findings of the Global Environment Outlook II, similar to the GEF outlook

prepared for the GEF Assembly in May 1998 based on the findings of the Global Environment Outlook I;

- Critical review of information management needs and capacities in the Division of Environmental Assessment and Early Warning and analysis of potential areas of synergy in support of the GEF e.g. database development of appropriate institutions and individuals with expertise in GEF focal areas, best practice databases, and development of mechanisms for information dissemination
- Preparing with UNEP's partners of a Land Degradation Assessment in particular in Africa.

b. Biodiversity

- Preparation of guidelines for the integration of the objectives of the Convention on Biological Diversity into selected sectoral national plans in accordance with Article 6(b) of the Convention. This would be comparable to the UNEP/IUCN/WRI planning guidelines developed to assist countries in preparing their strategies and action plans under Article 6(a) of the Convention. Since Article 6(b) calls for integration of the objectives of the Convention on Biological Diversity into sectoral development plans, UNEP will consider initiating the preparation of guidelines in consultation with WRI and IUCN for selected key sectors such as tourism, forestry and agro-biodiversity. The development of such sectoral national plans would assist eligible countries to promote sectoral plans of action through the GEF biodiversity enabling activities.
- Review the national strategies and action plans submitted by the parties with a view to identifying emerging issues that the GEF should address.

c. Biosafety

Based on experiences gained in the implementation of the UNEP/GEF pilot project on biosafety the following activities may be considered:

- Preparation of guidelines to assist countries in the formulation of a biosafety regime;
- Preparation of a manual for lawyers;
- Preparation of a training module on the legal aspects of biosafety;
- Development of a roster of biosafety experts and international institutions involved in all aspects of biosafety;

- Preparation of a newsletter on biotechnology including biosafety as a supplement to the Industry bulletin.

d. Land Degradation

- Convening of five African regional workshops, one for each sub-region, with a view to promoting the preparation of regional action plans for the implementation of the Desertification Convention, to be submitted for GEF funding;
- Review of the national African action plans submitted to the third meeting of the Conference of the Parties of the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification with a view to identifying best practices and lessons learned. This analytical exercise may assist the countries of the other regions in the preparation of

e. International Waters

- Review of existing assessments of the status of coral reefs, climate change impacts, coral reef monitoring and management, with a view to identifying possible GEF intervention/s;
- Evaluation and review of UNEP's experiences to date in the preparation of diagnostic studies and basin-wide management plans under the EMINWA programme and experiences in the preparation of transboundary diagnostic analyses and strategic action programmes in the framework of the GEF to provide programmatic level guidance to the GEF on the design and management of GEF interventions;
- Review of river basin legislation and management mechanisms with a view to providing guidance to the GEF on operational mechanisms for the management and strengthening of river basin strategic action programmes through selected demonstration projects.

f. Persistent Toxic Substances

- Preparation of guidelines for the preparation of national legislation and regulations for the sound management of persistent toxic substances based on the UNEP/GEF activities on assessing national management needs of persistent toxic substances (PTS) as well as the regionally based assessment on PTS;
- Preparation of a training programme for lawyer on PTS;

g. Cross-cutting issues

- Promotion of interlinkages between the biodiversity focal area, including land degradation, and climate change and international waters with a view of developing GEF eligible projects aimed at enhancing the synergy and integration of GEF activities;
- Extension and application of lessons learned via the UNEP INFOTERRA network from the UNEP/GEF medium sized project on an Inter-American Strategy for Public Participation completed in December 1999;
- Strengthening of the GEF Operational Focal Points that are simultaneously functioning as INFOTERRA focal points (According to UNEP's survey, 60% of the INFOTERRA focal points are at the same time GEF Operational Focal Points). The INFOTERRA system will be used to keep the GEF Operational Focal Points informed of the latest developments related to UNEP and the GEF.

2.3 As a result, the UNEP costed work programme for the biennium 2000-2001 contains for the first time activities aimed at ensuring complementarity with UNEP interventions in the GEF. In addition, meetings were convened in Washington in September/October 1999 between the Heads of Division and the Assistant Chief Executive of the GEF.

2.4 The Action Plan on Complementarity proposes the establishment of programmatic partnerships with selected organizations as innovative and cost-effective modalities to delegate pipeline-development and project-implementation tasks to organizations that have traditionally served as partners in UNEP work programme activities. These partnerships would serve as a response to the GEF Council's request to expand the opportunities for executing agencies as reaffirmed by the decisions of the 13th meeting of the GEF Council.

2.5 As a result, workshops and meetings were convened with the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO), International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (IPGRI), United Nations Education, Science and Culture Organisation (UNESCO), World Conservation and Monitoring Centre (WCMC), World Resources Institute (WRI), World-wide Fund for Nature (WWF), The World Conservation Union (IUCN), Organisation of African Unity (OAU), African Academy of Sciences (AAS), and African Centre for Technology Studies (ACTS). In addition, a number of other workshops are under active preparation.

2.6 A GEF training programme on the LogFrame Approach was convened in Nairobi in November 1999 in collaboration with the German Foundation for Development (DSE). The newly appointed UNEP/GEF staff members attended in June and December 1999, a GEF Familiarisation Workshop convened by the GEF Secretariat.

III. UNEP/GEF STRATEGIC PARTERSHIP

3.1 The Action Plan on UNEP/GEF complementarity identified the establishment of a UNEP/GEF strategic partnership as an important element to ensure synergy, integration

and complementarity between GEF interventions and UNEP's mandate. The 13th session of the GEF Council held in Washington DC, in May 1999 supported the efforts of the Implementing Agencies and the GEF Secretariat to design strategic partnerships that advance GEF objectives by building on the comparative advantages of each Implementing Agency. The Action Plan on UNEP/GEF complementarity summarizes UNEP's mission statement and role in the GEF. UNEP will seek to serve as a catalyst for new directions in GEF strategies and operations, based on its convening power and existing scientific partnerships and environmental programmes. In particular, UNEP will aim to be more knowledge-based, expanding knowledge about environmental policies, institutions and technologies that work, and disseminating this information. In this context, UNEP identified the following three areas for strategic partnership with the GEF:

Assessment

- 3.2 The Strategic Partnership will cover scientific and technical analyses and assessments, as well as mobilization of the scientific and technical communities, in close cooperation with STAP. These will build on UNEP's ongoing activities in environmental monitoring, assessment and trend analysis, as well as its analyses of policies, technologies and economic instruments that assist in environmentally-sound decision-making. Activities will include assessments from a scientific or technical point of view of measures to protect the global environment; resolution of identified scientific or technical issues affecting the development of the GEF portfolio; assessment of the global scope and importance of emerging scientific issues and their potential for GEF involvement; and describing the state of the global environment and its implications for the GEF. A key feature of many assessment activities in the Partnership is their support to investment decision-making. They seek to leverage private sector capital for investments in globally beneficial technologies and business approaches by directing mainstream financing to environmentally sound solutions.

Global Environmental Knowledge Management

- 3.3 The Strategic Partnership will integrate various clearinghouse activities to disseminate policy, scientific and technical information from GEF operations to foster replication. It will draw on UNEP's experience with the clearinghouse on ozone; dissemination of information to promote cleaner production technologies, policies and methods; and environmental data systems. The primary emphasis of these activities in the Strategic Partnership will be to facilitate private investments and technology transfer through information services as well as collective and structured learning from the portfolio of GEF projects. The activities will also aim to encourage governments and international bodies to foster a policy environment conducive to such investments and technology transfer. Moreover, the Partnership will support programme planning, co-ordination and

tracking in support of the GEF's global environmental objectives through the use of state-of-the-art information technology systems.

Global Environmental Outreach

- 3.4 The Strategic Partnership will assist in the implementation of the GEF Outreach Strategy. It will benefit from UNEP's leading role in environmental information management, communications and awareness-raising initiatives with a broad range of stakeholders. Using its convening power and established partnerships in the international environmental scientific community, UNEP will help mobilize the scientific and technical communities around GEF issues through electronic forums and workshops for identified programmatic issues, in coordination with GEF Country Dialogue Workshops. This will assist the preparation of country-driven projects that respond to national priorities and help identify regional and national experts.
- 3.5 The activities identified under the UNEP/GEF Strategic partnership are of a long-term nature. It is expected that the partnership will evolve over time. Accordingly, the GEF Council adopted in December 1999, the four following activities for FY00-FY01 amounting to US\$ 1.3 million as a first tranche of proposals:
- a) Assessment of the potential for the commercialization of conjunctive Photovoltaic/Hydro power generation in collaboration with the German Development Bank Kreditanstalt Fur Wiederaufbau (KfW)(US\$ 270,000);
 - b) Clearinghouse for Technology and Know-how Transfer (US\$ 550,000);
 - c) GEF Program Tracking and Mapping System (US\$ 250,000);
 - d) Inter-active forum for the scientific and technical community on global environment issues (US\$ 290,000).
- 3.6 Under the inter-active forum on global environment issues a forum on the GEF initiative on land and water for Africa was launched in January 2000. Three additional forums on agro-biodiversity, sustainable use of biodiversity and persistent toxic substances will be launched shortly.

IV. CONCLUSION

- 4.1 The Action Plan on UNEP/GEF complementarity is a cornerstone in the on-going efforts aimed at enhancing the role of UNEP as one of the three Implementing Agencies of the GEF. Since its adoption by the 20th session of the Governing Council of UNEP and its subsequent endorsement by the 13th session of the GEF Council, significant progress has been achieved as evidenced by the quality and the nature of project activities submitted by UNEP in the framework of the implementation of the Action Plan. From January 1999 to March 2000, UNEP/GEF has promoted a work programme comprising :
- 5 Full scale projects of US\$ 50.7 million including US\$ 29.12 in GEF financing:

- 10 Medium Sized projects of US\$ 7 million,;
 - 14 PDFBs of US\$ 5.15 million;
 - 13 PDFAs of US\$ 0.32 million;
 - One climate change enabling activities of US\$ 0.175 million.
- 4.2 During the same period, UNEP/GEF finalized an additional full scale project in International Waters: “Reducing degradation of the South China Seas; reducing degradation and loss of mangrove biodiversity in the East Asian Seas Region”, of US\$ 30 million including 18million in GEF financing. The project will be most probably submitted for the consideration of the November 2000 meeting of the GEF Council, subject to the approval of all participating countries.
- 4.3 The list of activities is contained in the annex. Furthermore a strong pipeline has been prepared which will mature during the implementation of the current GEF corporate Business Plan thus leading to a further enhancement of the role of UNEP in the GEF.

Annex

1. List of project proposals submitted by UNEP and adopted into the GEF Work Programme from January 1999 to March 2000

I. Full Scale Projects

1. Demonstration of Innovative Approaches to the Rehabilitation of Heavily Contaminated Bays in the Wider Caribbean; GEF Funding US\$ 9.41million; Total Project Cost US \$ 17 million (UNEP/UNDP);
2. Kazakhstan: Program for Phasing out Ozone Depleting Substances (UNDP/UNEP) GEF Funding US\$ 5.7 million;
3. Project: Regionally-Based Assessment of Persistent Toxic Substances; GEF Funding US \$ 3million; Total Project Cost US\$ 4.99 million;
4. Formulation of a Strategic Action Plan for the Integrated Management of Water Resources and the Sustainable Development of the San Juan River; GEF Funding US\$ 4 million; Total Project Cost US\$ 5.4 million ;
5. Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, GEF Funding US\$ 7.31million; Total Project Cost US\$ 17.61 million.

I. Medium Sized Projects

1. Redirecting General Investment Decisions to Cleaner Technologies: Technology Transfer Clearing-House Mechanism; GEF Funding US\$ 750,000;
2. Promoting Best Practices for Conservation and Sustainable Use of Biodiversity in Semi-arid and Arid Zones; GEF Funding US\$ 750,000;
3. Catalyzing Conservation Action In Latin America: Identifying Priority Sites and Best Management Alternatives in Five Globally Significant Ecoregions; GEF Funding US\$ 725,000;
4. An Indicator Model for Dryland Ecosystems in Latin America; GEF Funding US\$ 750,000;
5. Initiating Early Phase out of Methyl Bromide through Awareness Raising, Policy Development and Demonstration/Training Activities; GEF Funding US\$ 663,000;
6. Persistent Toxic Substances (PTS), Food security and Indigenous Peoples of the Russian North; GEF Funding US\$ 750,000;
7. Estonia: Programme for phasing out ozone depleting substances, UNEP/UNDP; GEF Funding US\$ 866,403;
8. Fuel Cells Bus Distributed Power Generation Market Prospects and Intervention Technology; GEF Funding US\$ 750,000;
9. Lake Baringo Community Based Integrated Land and Water Management Project; GEF Funding US\$ 750,000; Total Project Cost; US\$ 980,000;

III. Project Development Funds (Block B funding -PDFBs)

1. Management of Agrobiodiversity for Sustainable Land Use and Global Environmental Benefit; GEF Funding US\$ 248,000;
2. Establishing Monitoring and Targeted Energy Service Companies (ESCOs) in Central and Eastern Europe; GEF Funding US\$ 340,000;
3. A Strategy for the conservation of dryland biodiversity through land rehabilitation in the arid and semi-arid regions of Mozambique, Zambia and Zimbabwe; GEF Funding US\$ 330,000;
4. Support to the National Action Plan in the Russian Federation for the protection of the Arctic Marine Environment from Anthropogenic pollution; GEF Funding US\$ 306,000;

5. Persistent Toxic Substances, country case studies: Assessing National Management Needs of Persistent Toxic Substances; GEF Funding US\$ 350,000;
6. Conservation of the Globally Significant Wetlands and Significant Wetlands and Migration Corridors Required by Siberian Cranes and Other Globally Significant Migratory Waterbirds in Asia; GEF Funding US\$ 350,000;
7. Integrating Management of Watersheds and Coastal Areas in Small Island States in the Caribbean; GEF Funding US\$ 316,000;
8. Comprehensive action Program to Phase out DDT and Reduce the Long-term Effects of Exposure in Mexico and Central America; GEF Funding US\$ 330,000;
9. Solar and Wind Energy Resource Assessment Facility; GEF Funding US\$ 350,000;
10. Preparation of a Trans-Boundary Diagnostic Analysis for the Integrated Management of the Lake Volta; GEF Funding US\$ 350,000;
11. In-situ Conservation of Crop Wild Relatives Through Enhanced Information Management and Field Application; GEF Funding US\$ 335,000; Total Project Cost Funding US\$ 675,000;
12. Enhancing conservation of the critical network of wetlands required by migratory waterbirds on the African/Eurasian flyways ; GEF Funding of US\$ 350,000; Total Project Cost US\$ 606,500;
13. Integrated Ecosystem Approach to Conserve Biodiversity and Minimize Habitat fragmentation in the Russian Arctic; GEF Funding US\$ 350,000
14. Country Programme Formulation and Technical Assistance/Investment Project Preparation for Tajikistan and Kazakhstan for Implementation of the Montreal Protocol; (UNEP/UNDP) GEF funding US\$ 343,000
15. Integrated Strategy for Public Participation; GEF Funding US \$ 350,000.

IV. Project Development Fund category A (PDFAs)

1. Conservation of Freshwater Biodiversity Through Integrated Management of River Basins and Wetlands in Selected Asian Countries; GEF Funding US\$ 23,500;
2. Biodiversity Conservation and Integration of Traditional Knowledge on Medicinal Plants in National Primary Health Care Policy in Central America and Caribbean; GEF Funding US\$ 24,950;

3. Integrated Ecosystem Approach to Conserve Biodiversity and Minimize Habitat Fragmentation in The Russian Arctic; GEF Funding US\$ 25,000;
4. Transboundary Management of Lake Volta; GEF Funding US\$ 25,000;
5. Rehabilitation of Desertified Land, Carbon Sequestration and Conservation of Arid Land Adapted Indigenous Animals and Plants in the Arid Pastoral Areas of Northern Nigeria and Southern Niger; GEF Funding US\$ 25,000;
6. Community-based Land Rehabilitation, Wildlife Conservation and Carbon Sink Enhancement in Pastoral Areas of Benin, Burkina Faso and Niger; GEF Funding US\$ 25,000;
7. Sustainable Management of Pastoral Lands: Reclamation of Degraded Land, Biodiversity Conservation, Carbon Sink Enhancement and Water Resource Management in South-eastern Djibouti and North-eastern Ethiopia; GEF Funding US\$ 25,000;
8. Rehabilitation of Degraded Lands and Biodiversity Conservation in the Kagera River Basin Catchment of Rwanda, Tanzania and Uganda; GEF Funding US\$ 25,000;
9. Land Use Change Analysis as an Approach for Investigating Biodiversity Loss and Land degradation; GEF Funding US\$ 25,000;
10. Strategic Action Plan for Fouta Djallon Highlands; GEF Funding US\$ 25,000;
11. Potential of Managing Peatlands for Carbon Storage and Sequestration; GEF Funding US\$ 25,000;
12. Integrating Global Environmental Concerns into Insurance Sector Business; GEF Funding US\$ 25,000;
13. Tropical Montane Cloud Forests Assessment: Establishing the Priorities for Conservation Action; GEF Funding US\$ 25,000.

V. *Enabling activities*

Climate Change: Preparation of the National Communication to the UNFCCC for Bangladesh; GEF Funding US\$ 175,000.

action.plan.gef15.doc