****

**GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review Sheet for oNE-STEP medium-sized project**

**The GEF/ldcf/sccf Trust Fund**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

Country/Region:

Project Title:

GEFSEC Project ID:

GEF Agency Project ID: GEF Agency:

Type of Trust Fund:

GEF Focal Area (s): GEF-6 GTF/ LDCF/SCCF Focal Area Objective (s):

Anticipated Project Financing ($ m): PPG: GTF/ LDCF/SCCF Project Grant: Co-financing: Total Project Cost:

Expected Project Start Date:

Program Manager: GEF Agency Contact Person:

| Review Criteria | Questions | Secretariat Comments | Agency Response |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Project Consistency** | 1. Is the project aligned with the relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?[[1]](#footnote-1) |  |  |
| 1. Is the project structure/ design appropriate to achieve the expected outcomes and outputs? |  |  |
| 1. Is the project consistent with the recipient country’s national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions? |  |  |
| **Project Design** | 1. Does the project sufficiently indicate the drivers[[2]](#footnote-2) of global environmental degradation, issues of sustainability, market transformation, scaling, and innovation? |  |  |
| 1. Is the project designed with sound incremental reasoning? |  |  |
| 1. Are the components in Table B sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs? |  |  |
| 1. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered? |  |  |
| 1. Is the financing adequate and does the project demonstrate a cost-effective approach to meet the project objective? |  |  |
| 1. Does the project take into account potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, and describes sufficient risk response measures? (e.g., measures to enhance climate resilience) |  |  |
| 1. Is co-financing confirmed and evidence provided? |  |  |
| 1. Are relevant tracking tools completed? |  |  |
| 1. *Only for Non-grant Instrument:* Has a reflow calendar been presented? |  |  |
| 1. Is the project coordinated with other related initiatives and national/regional plans in the country or in the region? |  |  |
| 1. Does the project include a budgeted M&E Plan that monitors and measures results with indicators and targets? |  |  |
| 1. Does the project have description of knowledge management plan? |  |  |
| **Availability of Resources** | 1. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply): |  |  |
| * The STAR allocation? |  |  |
| * The focal area allocation? |  |  |
| * The LDCF under the principle of equitable access |  |  |
| * The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)? |  |  |
| * Focal area set-aside? |  |  |
| **Recommendations** | 1. Is the MSP being recommended for approval? |  |  |
| **Review Dates** | First Review |  |  |
| Additional Review (as necessary) |  |  |
| Additional Review (as necessary) |  |  |

1. For BD projects: has the project explicitly articulated which Aichi Target(s) the project will help achieve and are SMART indicators identified, that will be used to track the project’s contribution toward achieving the Aichi Target(s)? [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Need not apply to LDCF/SCCF projects. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)