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Overview

- Local solutions to global environmental problems
- Supports community-based initiatives and actions
- Since 1992, GEF Corporate Program, implemented by UNDP

KEY FACTS

- 21,600 projects on global environmental issues
- Up to $50,000 per grant directly to CSOs and local communities for innovative and community-driven ideas that can then be replicated and scaled up
- US$582 million in total grants and equal amount of cofinance
Where we work

125 COUNTRIES

40 LDCS

37 SIDS
What we do

FOCAL AREA DISTRIBUTION

- Biodiversity: 38%
- Climate Change Mitigation: 22%
- Land Degradation: 21%
- Capacity Development: 6%
- Climate Change Adaptation: 4%
- International Waters: 3%
- Chemicals: 3%
SGP Grants

- **Planning Grants:** up to $5000
- **Regular Grants:** up to $50,000
- **Strategic Grants:** up to $150,000
- **Global/Regional Grants:** $250k to 500k
- **Innovation Programme**
KEY STEPS IN THE APPLICATION PROCESS

The project proponent – a national CBO or CSO – contacts the SGP National Coordinator to receive project application guidelines and forms. Refer to the SGP website’s, under section “Where We Work” for information and contacts at the country-level.

The proponent organization prepares a brief project concept note and submits it to the SGP Country Programme.

If the project is found eligible, the project proponent prepares a project proposal. In some cases, this step may be supported by a planning grant.

Project proposals are reviewed by the National Steering Committee (NSC).

The NSC reviews the proposal and either accepts, rejects, or returns it to the proponent with recommendations for formulating and refining the project.

Approved projects sign grant agreement. SGP grants are usually paid in three installments, based on successful implementation and reporting.
SGP Global Structure

GEF

UNDP (GEF Agency)

UNOPS (Executing Agency)
procurement, finance, HR, etc

SGP Central Programme Mgmt Team
(Programme Mgmt)
strategy, technical support, KM, M&E, Comm, etc

SGP Country Programme

SGP Country Programme

SGP Country Programme
SGP Country Structure

- National Policies and Plan
- SGP Global Strategy
- Conventions’ Guidance
SGP Results 2017-2018: Biodiversity and Climate Change

**Biodiversity**
- SGP’s biodiversity portfolio continued to support improvements in the sustainability of protected areas (PAs) and indigenous and community conservation areas and territories (ICCATs), and mainstreaming biodiversity conservation and sustainable use through protection and transmission of traditional knowledge and genetic resources.

**RESULTS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR**
(JULY 1, 2017 - JUNE 30, 2018)
- 192 MILLION HECTARES OF PAs
- 202 NUMBER OF ICCAs
- 7.1 MILLION HECTARES OF LANDSCAPES AND SEASCAPES UNDER SUSTAINABLE USE
- 381 PROJECTS COMPLETED
- 459 THOUSAND HECTARES OF ICCAs

**Climate Change**
- SGP’s climate change portfolio continued to support decarbonization and low-carbon energy transitions at the community level through introduction of low-GHG technologies and low-carbon transport initiatives. These technologies included micro-hydro, wind, solar, and biomass energy, and results were achieved through integrated approaches to decarbonization that ensured access of communities to renewable energy.

**RESULTS**
- 259 PROJECTS COMPLETED THIS YEAR
- 2 THOUSAND HOUSEHOLDS WITH ENERGY ACCESS CO-BENEFITS
- 189 THOUSAND HECTARES OF FOREST AND NON-FOREST LAND RESTORED OR WITH ENHANCED CARBON STOCKS
- 80 TYPOLOGIES OF ENERGY SOLUTIONS
- 36 COUNTRY PROGRAMMES (CPs) TO DEPLOYMENT OF LOW-GHG TECHNOLOGIES
- 618 SIGNIFICANT SPECIES WITH IMPROVED CONSERVATION STATUS
- 595 SUSTAINABLY PRODUCED BIODIVERSITY AND AGRIBIODIVERSITY PRODUCTS
SGP Results 2017-2018: International Waters and Land Degradation

INTERNATIONAL WATERS
SGP’s international waters portfolio supports sustainable management of transboundary waterbodies through regionally connected community-based activities.

RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECTS COMPLETED THIS YEAR</th>
<th>27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF SEASCAPES AND INLAND FRESHWATER LANDSCAPES SUPPORTED</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TONS OF LAND-BASED POLLUTION REDUCED</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOUSAND HECTARES OF MARINE AND COASTAL AREAS UNDER SUSTAINABLE MANAGEMENT</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOUSAND HECTARES OF SEASCAPES UNDER SUSTAINABLE MANAGEMENT</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LAND DEGRADATION
SGP’s sustainable land management portfolio supports reversal and prevention of desertification and land degradation, while mitigating the effects of drought. Activities supported targeted rural communities who are highly dependent on agro-ecosystems and forest ecosystems for their livelihoods.

RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECTS COMPLETED THIS YEAR</th>
<th>207</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THOUSAND COMMUNITY MEMBERS WITH IMPROVED ACTIONS AND PRACTICES</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOUSAND COMMUNITY MEMBERS DEMONSTRATING SUSTAINABLE LAND MANAGEMENT</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOUSAND HECTARES OF LAND BROUGHT UNDER IMPROVED MANAGEMENT PRACTICES</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FARMER LEADERS DEMONSTRATING OF AGRO-ECOLOGICAL PRACTICE</td>
<td>6,146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FARMER NETWORKS DISSEMINATING IMPROVED AGRO-ECOLOGY PRACTICES</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SGP Results 2017-2018: Chemicals/waste

Chemicals and Waste
SGP’s chemicals and waste management portfolio supports reduction and elimination of the release of harmful chemicals into the environment.

Results

| Projects Completed This Year | 3 MRCURY Management Projects Completed | 60 Thousand Kilograms of Pesticides Avoided | 75 Thousand Kilograms of Solid Waste Reduced | 26 Thousand Kilograms of E-Waste Collected or Recycled | 22 Thousand Kilograms Harmful Chemicals Avoided |
2018 SGP Results: Grantmaker Plus

**GRANTMAKER PLUS**

Grantmaker plus strategies were employed by SGP to enhance overall effectiveness of its portfolio. This was done by promoting interventions that build systemic capacity for civil society and community action to address global environmental challenges.

**Key activities undertaken by SGP country programmes include:**

- **67%** Promoted peer-to-peer exchanges
- **72%** Strengthened grantee networks
- **74%** Connected grantees with government services
- **71%** Connected grantees with NGOs/INGOs
- **42%** Connected grantees with private sector companies
- **58** South-South exchanges across countries
- **70%** Connected grantees with the academia or research centers
- **128** Dialogue platforms initiated
- **66%** Organized training for SGP grantees on different subjects to improve project implementation
- **42%** Connected grantees with development agencies/practitioners
2018 SGP Results: Social Inclusion

**SOCIAL INCLUSION**

SGP undertakes targeted efforts to support greater social inclusion of marginalized groups, including women, indigenous peoples, youth and persons with disabilities.

- **93%** projects completed were gender responsive
- **34%** projects were led by women
- **22%** projects completed with indigenous peoples
- **1,657** indigenous leaders with improved capacities
- **39** disabled persons organizations contributed to sustainable strategies
- **40%** countries have an indigenous peoples focal point in NSC
- **91%** countries have a gender focal point in NSC
- **45%** projects with youth participation
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GEF-7 Strategy & Approach
A SGP Story

Chernobyl: Death to Life
SGP Approach

Innovation

Inclusion/integration

Scaling up impact

Technologies, tools & management arrangements

Partnerships, connections and networking

Impact: policy influence, diffusion of practices
GEF-7 SGP Value Proposition

INNOVATION

➢ Incubator of Innovative solutions or experiment lab of GEF

➢ Risk taker

➢ Human/partnerships connector

➢ Strategic platform for community-based actions for synergy, scaling up, and sustainability.

Thomas Edison
Innovation comes from trials and learning

"I haven’t failed. I’ve just found 10,000 ways that won’t work."

Thomas Edison

InspirationBoost.com
Diffusion is the process by which an innovation is communicated over time among the participants in a social system.
Scaling Up Community-based Initiatives: Various Modalities

Policies/Projects designed by building on SGP solution/approach

SGP serving as delivery mechanism of larger projects/programs

Local to Global connections, networks and influence
GEF-7: Integrated Strategic Initiatives

Landscape and Seascape as Overarching Approach:

1. Sustainable Agriculture and Fisheries - Food Security
2. Low-Carbon Energy Access Co-benefits
3. Community-based Threatened Ecosystems and Species Conservation: Land and Water
4. Local to Global Coalitions in Chemicals and Waste Management
5. Catalyzing Sustainable Urban Solutions
Grantmaker Plus Initiatives

- CSO-Government-Private Sector Policy Dialogues
- Social Inclusion – Leaving No One Behind
- Citizen Based Global Knowledge Platforms
SGP CORE Resources:
- Equal access to all countries
- Provision to reallocate at final year if countries are unspent.

SGP STAR Resources:
- Eligible and interested countries may allocate STAR resources to participate in GEF-7 SGP
  - 10% of STAR allocation, while not exceeding $2m for Global Programme.
  - Not exceeding $5m for Upgraded Countries Programme
- Upgraded countries criteria remains the same as GEF-6.
  - New UCP – only Malaysia
Europe and Central Asia Regional Status
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Number of Projects</th>
<th>Grant Amount</th>
<th>Co-financing in Cash</th>
<th>Co-financing in Kind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europe and the CIS</td>
<td>2875</td>
<td>70 209 845 USD</td>
<td>71 803 623 USD</td>
<td>35 722 304 USD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number of Projects</th>
<th>Grant Amount</th>
<th>Co-financing in Cash</th>
<th>Co-financing in Kind</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALBANIA</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>3 505 911 USD</td>
<td>1 172 556 USD</td>
<td>715 734 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMENIA</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>3 754 115 USD</td>
<td>3 541 701 USD</td>
<td>1 507 899 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BELARUS, REPUBLIC OF</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6 393 125 USD</td>
<td>6 679 691 USD</td>
<td>1 399 161 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BULGARIA</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>3 949 348 USD</td>
<td>3 965 018 USD</td>
<td>1 541 422 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEORGIA, REPUBLIC OF</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1 921 754 USD</td>
<td>1 196 142 USD</td>
<td>994 673 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAZAKHSTAN</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>7 740 419 USD</td>
<td>5 073 131 USD</td>
<td>4 632 300 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KYRGYZSTAN</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>4 816 043 USD</td>
<td>2 119 791 USD</td>
<td>2 724 669 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LITHUANIA, REPUBLIC OF</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>2 611 280 USD</td>
<td>6 108 566 USD</td>
<td>3 884 123 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACEDONIA, THE FORMER YUGOSLAV REPUBLIC OF</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>2 478 694 USD</td>
<td>1 866 673 USD</td>
<td>987 907 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOLDOVA, REPUBLIC OF</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1 470 338 USD</td>
<td>1 153 799 USD</td>
<td>701 037 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLAND</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>6 767 281 USD</td>
<td>19 931 470 USD</td>
<td>4 518 701 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROMANIA</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3 145 566 USD</td>
<td>1 963 567 USD</td>
<td>1 335 397 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLOVAK REPUBLIC</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1 973 000 USD</td>
<td>2 113 123 USD</td>
<td>552 783 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAJIKISTAN</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1 787 225 USD</td>
<td>999 360 USD</td>
<td>1 079 350 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TURKEY</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>6 446 057 USD</td>
<td>5 816 420 USD</td>
<td>4 317 036 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKRAINE</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>8 553 231 USD</td>
<td>4 200 977 USD</td>
<td>3 551 101 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>LDC/SIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkmenistan</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
KEY RESULTS OF PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES (2017-2018)

78 projects were completed and 161 ongoing projects in last GEF fiscal year (July 2017- June 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BIODIVERSITY</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity: Projects completed - OP5 &amp; OP6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Protected areas (PAs)</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hectares of PAs</td>
<td>273,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hectares of target landscapes/seascapes under sustainable use</td>
<td>204,005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLIMATE CHANGE</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change Mitigation: Projects completed - OP5 &amp; OP6</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typologies of energy access solutions with scaling up/replication</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households achieving energy access co-benefits (ecosystem effects, income, health)</td>
<td>1,088</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### LAND DEGRADATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land degradation: Projects completed - OP5 &amp; OP6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community members with improved agricultural, land and water management practices</td>
<td>22,333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hectares brought under improved agricultural, land and water management practices</td>
<td>1,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer leaders with successful demonstrations of agro-ecological practices</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmer organizations/networks disseminating climate smart agro-ecological practices</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### INTERNATIONAL WATERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tons of land-based pollution reduced/prevented from entering waterbodies</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CHEMICALS AND WASTE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals and Waste (including Mercury): Projects completed - OP5 &amp; OP6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilograms of pesticides reduced/prevented</td>
<td>7,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilograms of solid waste prevented/reduced (such as plastics, agricultural waste)</td>
<td>48,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kilograms of e-waste collected/recycled</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National networks on chemicals and waste management established/strengthened</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Capacity Development Strategies used by SGP Europe and CIS Country Programmes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Countries with strengthened grantee networks</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries that connected grantees with private sector companies</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries that promoted peer to peer exchanges</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries that connected grantees with the academia or research centers</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries that organized training within project grants on specific technical issues</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries that organized training for SGP grantees on different subjects to improve project implementation</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries that connected grantees with NGOs/INGOs</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries that connected grantees with development agencies/practitioners</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries that connected grantees with government services</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Percentages based on 11 SGP Europe and CIS programmes that reported this year
### Broader adoption

#### Up-scaling, Replication and Policy Influence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upscaled and replicated</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy influence</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving livelihoods of communities</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Strategies employed to improve Livelihoods by SGP Europe and CIS Country Programmes

- Increased and/or diversification of income: 82%
- Increased access to education: 73%
- Increased access to technology: 64%
- Increased access to markets: 45%
- Increased access to finance: 45%
- Increased access to infrastructure: 45%
- Increased food security and nutritional value: 36%
- Improved health or access to health facilities: 27%

*Percentages based on 11 SGP Europe and CIS programmes that reported this year*
Alignment with SDGs

Aligned to All SDGs. Highest alignment on:

• SDG 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture

• SDG 5: SDG 5: Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls

• SDG 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss
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Country Status
From humble wool to works of art

Bringing together the creativity of mainstream designers with the basic training of the farmers for processing of wool in small quantities

Providing economic incentives for preserving local agrobiodiversity

Empowering local women and providing a strong emancipating impetus through cultivating long term contacts with women NGOs

Adopting a development approach for the community that goes beyond the scope of the project
Through UNDP GEF SGP funding ISSD NGO has initiated waste sorting and recycling in almost 200 organizations (including Nation Assembly, Ministry of Environment of Armenia, Yerevan Municipality and others) in the capital city Yerevan and 4 regions.

**Key results:**

- 190,000 individuals have benefited from “Recycle it!” project
- 40,000kg of waste has been sorted and recycled
- 5,000 individuals have taken part in environmental trainings
- New business opportunities have been initiated in waste management and circular economy is being promoted
- Public and private partnerships have been developed
Belarus

Most significant achievements of SGP Belarus for OP6

- 76 ha of lands prevented from degradation
- 14 PAs are sustainably managed
- 169 300 ha of forest lands restored
- Sustainable management practices are implemented on 31 505 ha of river/lake basins
- 18 355 kg of Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) and hazardous chemicals are disposed in environmentally sound manner
- In GEF OP6 co-financing of SGP projects was almost twice bigger than SGP grants allocation.
Belarus
OP6 the most successful projects

BLR/SGP/OP6/Y2/CORE/BD/16/01

“Landscape approach to sustainable development of the specially protected territories”.
Grant Amount: 50,000.00 USD

Main project’s result: Slaŭharad National Wetland Reserve has been established – a first National Reserve in Belarus created due to the SGP supported project.

BLR/SGP/OP6/Y2/CORE/MF/16/01

“Workshop for local initiatives for the development of micro-regions”
Grant Amount: 49,990.00 USD:

Main project’s results:

The first regional CSO/NGO/CBO and authorities partnership for local sustainable development in Mogilev region has been created.

SGP funds supported 11 local micro-initiatives on local development on the project’s territory

Based on the project results, two new EU projects on the project’s territory were developed and supported for the total amount of more than 1,5 mln. USD
In OP6 SGP Georgia supported 33 local initiatives, in total 887,151 USD was utilized both CORE and STAR funding. 22 project are still on-going.

Key results of OP6:

- **over 6 ha of Land** is sustainably managed by communities in high mountainous areas, **more than 5000 trees** were planted in degraded areas.
- **3 Kindergarten, 5 households** and Batumu Municipality use low emission technologies – **CO2 level is decreased** by 2.2 tones annually.
- **1 local Environmental Action Plan** and **2 CSO-Government Dialogues established** to have local communities more actively involved in environmental decision making process and in formation of state policies.
An impressive Chemicals management initiative implemented:

• an educational outreach program with activities for students to learn about POPs and the environment launched.
• Collection hubs in schools in 16 municipalities set up to encourage students to bring PET waste bottles from home and dispose of.
• Transportation companies pick up the waste and transport it to recycling facilities.

Key Results

• 31,200 students were involved in collecting plastic bottles
• On annual base app 18t PET bottles were collected and sold, generating an income as a resource for the schools (the fund from the PET plastic bottles sold, were divided with 30% for NGOs and 70% for schools).
• The project reached around 110,000 citizens with public awareness campaign on POPs and PET waste management.
• To bring PET waste bottles from home to school has become a habit for almost all students in the targeted schools.
Creating an e-waste collection network by launching the “Clean city with recycled e-waste” campaign

This project created a unique platform for the collection and recycling of e-Waste.

Key results:

• 80 institutions directly involved in actions for collecting of e-waste;

• An electronic map of e-waste collection points developed;

• The necessary infrastructure created for selective collection of e-Waste;

• Up to now 3000 tones of e-waste collected;

• Developed 2 thematic platforms for communication and enhancing dialogue on e-waste. An extensive network of collaborating NGOs created.

• At least 10,000 students trained and directly involved in the project;
Kazakhstan

SGP Kazakhstan in OP6:
7 landscapes in steppe and desert ecosystems are strengthened according to landscape strategies developed through close discussion with LCs and key stakeholders.

35 NGOs/CBOs projects are funded to support local farmers, vulnerable groups, women, youth etc.

15 projects are leading by women,
9 projects - special focus on women empowerment
2 project with special focus on social adaptation of disabled people (through agropractices implementation),
6 projects closely work with youth (“young blogger” school, volunteering, school forestry etc.)

More than 16,000 hectares of the degraded lands are sustainably managed

11 “green” technologies (LED systems, EE stoves, solar water heaters, solar systems for lighting, phytodiodes for growing plants in greenhouses, automated heat control systems, insulation approaches in condominium etc.) are implemented.
GEF SGP in Kyrgyzstan has been providing technical and expert support in establishing a working level secretariat on conservation of the Snow Leopard.
In Uzbekistan in OP6 the big focus was placed on promotion of conservation agriculture practices = no till + mulching + crop rotation.

Currently the projects embrace 6 out of 12 provinces of the country. The technology results in:

1. Quantity and quality of machinery ↓ – ↓ power, ↓ price
2. Fuel and GHG emissions ↓
3. Labour ↓
4. Time ↓
5. Cost benefit ratio ↓
6. Time for other crops + areas ↑
7. Ability of farmers to capitalize ↑
8. Productivity over time ↑
9. Money in farmer’s pocket ↑
10. Soil fertility and ecosystem functions ↑

Due to the above technology implemented, Uzbekistan can benefit up to 500 mln USD additionally every year.
Tajikistan

The results of “Green Energy in Gissar”

- 2 solar water heaters, 120 liters each were installed
- 3 solar PV sets with a total capacity of 5 kW were installed
- 20 RES mini-projects of female farmers and entrepreneurs were supported
- 12 energy-efficient heating kilns were built
- 2 new energy-efficient cooking stoves were installed
- 10 solar kitchens were installed
- 5 mini solar photovoltaic installations/devices
- 10 solar fans installed
- 3 greenhouses built

More than 150 women have benefited directly, improving the conditions for business development, improving the quality and quantity of goods and services, and improving the social and economic conditions of female-headed households.

More than 20 women got access to hot water for domestic and production purposes.

15 women use solar energy for their sewing machines and lighting in home shops.

More than 50 households benefit from the using of RES and EE technology (solar kitchen, energy-efficient stoves, briquettes, mini-laboratory for lighting and charging phones).

Youth Ecological Centre
Local Action Global Impact

THANK YOU