



GEF-6 GEF Secretariat Review For Enabling Activity Proposal

GEF ID:	9442		
Country/Region:	Global (Antigua And Barbuda, Burundi, Congo, Djibouti, Gambia, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Kyrgyz Republic, Madagascar, Mauritania, Maldives, Nepal, Swaziland, Chad, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, Congo DR, Zimbabwe)		
Project Title:	Umbrella Programme for Preparation of National Communications and Biennial Update Reports to the UNFCCC		
GEF Agency:	UNEP	GEF Agency Project ID:	
Type of Trust Fund:	GEF Trust Fund	GEF Focal Area (s):	Climate Change
GEF-6 Focal Area/ LDCF/SCCF Objective (s):			
Anticipated Financing PPG:		Project Grant:	\$10,530,720
Co-financing:	\$1,043,000	Total Project Cost:	\$11,573,720
PIF Approval:		Council Approval/Expected:	
CEO Endorsement/Approval		Expected Project Start Date:	
Program Manager:	Rawleston Moore	Agency Contact Person:	Geordie Colville

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
Eligibility	1. Is the participating country eligible?	Yes, the participating countries are eligible.	
	2. Has the operational focal point endorsed the project?	The operational focal points have endorsed the project. Letters are on file. However, the OFP for Nepal changed in January 31, 2016, but the letter was signed by the previous OFP on January 4, 2016. He has been contacted to verify endorsement for this project.	
Project Consistency	3. Is the project aligned with the	Yes, the project is aligned with GEF-	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	relevant GEF strategic objectives and results framework?	6 CCM strategy, Program 5 - Integrate Convention obligations and enabling activities into national planning and contributions.	
	4. Is the project consistent with the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?	Yes. Please provide further information at CEO endorsement on a country level.	
Project Design	5. Are the components in Table A sound and sufficiently clear and appropriate to achieve project objectives and the GEBs?	Yes, the components are sufficiently clear and appropriate.	
	6. Are socio-economic aspects, including relevant gender elements, indigenous people, and CSOs considered?	Yes, gender elements will be included during project preparation following guidance developed by the GSP. Please provide further information on public participation, including CSOs and indigenous peoples at CEO Endorsement.	
	7. Is the project implementation/ execution arrangement adequate?	Yes, the execution arrangements are adequate.	
	8. Is indicated cofinancing appropriate for an enabling activity?	Co-financing is not required for this type of project, but \$1,043,000 from UNEP and national governments is listed.	
Other Comments	9. Comments related to adequacy of information submitted by country for the financial management and procurement assessment ¹ .	NA	
Resource Availability	10. Is the proposed Grant (including the Agency fee) within the resources available from (mark all that apply):		
	• The STAR allocation?	NA	

¹ Question 9 is applicable only to direct access proposal while question 10 (on fees) is not applicable to direct access proposal.

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The focal area allocation? 	NA	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The LDCF under the principle of equitable access? 	NA	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)? 	NA	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The focal area set-aside? 	Yes, the project is requesting \$10,530,720 from the CCM set aside.	
Secretariat Recommendation			
Recommendation	<p>11. Is EA clearance/approval being recommended?</p>	<p>PM recommends for clearance.</p> <p>We note that the following countries have not submitted yet their respective NCs to the UNFCCC on which this project builds on: Antigua and Barbuda, Democratic Republic of Congo, Guinea Bissau, Madagascar, Maldives, Gambia, Kyrgyzstan, Swaziland, Uzbekistan and Zimbabwe. Please ensure they are submitted before CEO Endorsement.</p> <p>Please note that this project cannot be endorsed unless the respective national communications have been submitted to the UNFCCC and are on the UNFCCC website</p> <p>In addition please provide additional information by CEO Endorsement on a country level: -consistency with recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports -public participation, including CSOs and indigenous peoples</p>	
Review Date (s)	First review*	March 23, 2016	

Review Criteria	Questions	Secretariat Comment	Agency Response
	Additional review (as necessary)		
	Additional review (as necessary)		

*** This is the first time the Program Manager provides full comments for the project. Subsequent follow-up reviews should be recorded. For specific comments for each section, please insert a date after comments.**