

# Colombia's 2030 MRV Strategic Vision

## GEF Secretariat Review for Full Sized Project

### Basic Information

**GEF ID**

**10121**

**Countries**

Regional

**Project Title**

Colombia's 2030 MRV Strategic Vision

**GEF Agency(ies)**

UNDP

**Agency ID**

UNDP: 6226

**GEF Focal Area(s)**

Climate Change

**Program Manager**

Milena Vasquez

## Part I – Project Information

### Focal area elements

#### 1. Is the project/program aligned with the relevant GEF focal area elements in Table A, as defined by the GEF 7 Programming Directions?

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Table A is correctly aligned. However please address comments below on Part I: Project Information:

- Please add Other Executing Partner(s). According to the document uploaded it would be Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development - MADS and Institute of Hydrology, Meteorology and Environmental Studies - IDEAM

- Please also add a submission date if allowed by the portal.

Comments cleared.

Agency Response

Platform was updated accordingly, including the two executing partners and a submission date.

## **Indicative project/program description summary**

### **2. Are the components in Table B and as described in the PIF sound, appropriate, and sufficiently clear to achieve the project/program objectives and the core indicators?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Components in Table B are sound and appropriate. Please re-arrange components so they are in the correct order for clarity.

Comments cleared.

Agency Response

Components were rearranged accordingly in the platform so that they are in the correct order.

## **Co-financing**

### **3. Are the indicative expected amounts, sources and types of co-financing adequately documented and consistent with the requirements of the Co-Financing Policy and Guidelines, with a description on how the breakdown of co-financing was identified and meets the definition of investment mobilized?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

For any 'Investment Mobilized' indicated in Section C, please complete the relevant text box to describe how such 'Investment Mobilized' was identified. For further details, please refer to Paragraph 17 of the Co-Financing Guidelines ([http://www.thegef.org/sites/default/files/documents/Cofinancing\\_Guidelines.pdf](http://www.thegef.org/sites/default/files/documents/Cofinancing_Guidelines.pdf)). Please also note that usually in-kind cofinancing does not fit the category of "investment mobilized".

Comment cleared.

Agency Response

Noting that in-kind co-financing does not usually fit the category of investment mobilized and noting the aforementioned guidelines, the co-financing was changed in the PIF to “recurrent expenditures”.

## **GEF Resource Availability**

### **4. Is the proposed GEF financing in Table D (including the Agency fee) in line with GEF policies and guidelines? Are they within the resources available from (mark all that apply):**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

This project is a CBIT project and thus can be supported by the climate change regional set aside resources. Please change the country in Table D to "regional" to ensure that the resources do not come from Colombia's STAR allocation. This would mean that you have add "regional" to the project information in Part I.

Comment cleared.

Agency Response

This was changed both in the PIF and in the platform in Part I and Table D

### **The STAR allocation?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

N/A. This project is requesting resources from the climate change set aside.

Agency Response

### **The focal area allocation?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

N/A. This project is requesting resources from the climate change set aside.

Agency Response

### **The LDCF under the principle of equitable access**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

N/A. This project is requesting resources from the climate change set aside.

Agency Response

### **The SCCF (Adaptation or Technology Transfer)?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

N/A. This project is requesting resources from the climate change set aside.

Agency Response

## **Focal area set-aside?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes, there are currently enough resources to support this project with a total of \$4,206,497 inclusive of agency fees and PPG. Per comment above, please change the country in Table D to "regional" to ensure that the resources do not come from Colombia's STAR allocation. This would mean that you have add "regional" to the project information in Part I.

Comment cleared.

Agency Response

As per previous agency response, this was changed in Part I and table D

## **Impact Program Incentive?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

N/A. This project is requesting resources from the climate change set aside.

Agency Response

## **Project Preparation Grant**

### **5. Is PPG requested in Table E within the allowable cap? Has an exception (e.g. for regional projects) been sufficiently substantiated? (not applicable to PFD)**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

PPG of \$50,000 is requested and is within norm. Per comment above, please change the country in Table E to "regional" to ensure that the resources do not come from Colombia's STAR allocation. This would mean that you have add "regional" to the project information in Part I.

Agency Response

Requested change was done in the PIF and in the platform.

## **Core indicators**

### **6. Are the identified core indicators in Table F calculated using the methodology included in the correspondent Guidelines? (GEF/C.54/11/Rev.01)**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Core Indicator 11 is included. In addition, by CEO Endorsement please report on baseline and targets of the CBIT indicators as well.

**Please revise Core Indicator 11 as it seems that the number is small for this size of project and based on earlier conversations with the agency seems like it might actually have been a typo.**

Core Indicator 11 has been updated. Comment cleared.

#### Agency Response

Noted. PPG resources, once under implementation, will allow for a detailed analysis on baselines and targets, which will be reported in the CEO Endorsement and UNDP's PRODOC.

Core indicator 11 has been updated.

## **Project/Program taxonomy**

### **7. Is the project/ program properly tagged with the appropriate keywords as requested in Table G?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Please include key work "CBIT" in the taxonomy if available. In addition, please apply, in the Taxonomy, Rio Markers for Climate Change Adaptation and Climate Change Mitigation. Each project needs to select one marker (0,1 or 2) for adaptation AND one marker (0,1 or 2) for mitigation. For further details, please refer to the hover tip that links to the complete Taxonomy, including definitions of the Rio Markers.

Comment cleared.

#### Agency Response

We could not find the key word CBIT in the taxonomy document available at <https://www.thegef.org/documents/4-taxonomy-worksheet>

In the PIF and platform we added Rio Markers in table G, with a marker of 2 for mitigation and 1 for adaptation.

# Part II – Project Justification

## **1. Has the project/program described the global environmental / adaptation problems, including the root causes and barriers that need to be addressed?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes.

Agency Response

## **2. Is the baseline scenario or any associated baseline projects appropriately described?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes.

Agency Response

## **3. Does the proposed alternative scenario describe the expected outcomes and components of the project/program?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes.

Agency Response

## **4. Is the project/program aligned with focal area and/or Impact Program strategies?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes, the project is aligned with the climate change focal area strategy, specifically with the CBIT programming directions.

Agency Response

## **5. Is the incremental / additional cost reasoning properly described as per the Guidelines provided in GEF/C.31/12?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes.

Agency Response

## **6. Are the project's/program's indicative targeted contributions to global environmental benefits (measured through core indicators) reasonable and achievable? Or for adaptation benefits?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Please revise Core Indicator 11 as it seems that the number is small for this size of project and based on earlier conversations with the agency seems like it might actually have been a typo.

Core Indicator 11 has been updated. Comment cleared.

Agency Response

Core Indicator 11 has been updated

## **7. Is there potential for innovation, sustainability and scaling up in this project?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes.

Agency Response

## **Project/Program Map and Coordinates**

### **Is there a preliminary geo-reference to the project's/program's intended location?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes.

Agency Response

## **Stakeholders**

### **Does the PIF/PFD include indicative information on Stakeholders engagement to date? If not, is the justification provided appropriate? Does the PIF/PFD include information about the proposed means of future engagement?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Please complete the Stakeholders section per what was included in the uploaded PIF document.

Comment cleared.

Agency Response

The Stakeholder section was completed in the platform.

## **Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment**

### **Is the articulation of gender context and indicative information on the importance and need to promote gender equality and the empowerment of women, adequate?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Please select whether "the project expect to include any gender-responsive measures to address gender gaps or promote gender equality and women empowerment" if applicable, for this project it seems that "improving women's participation and decision-making;" may be relevant.

Comment cleared.

Agency Response

Yes, gender is relevant for this project, so improving women's participation and decision making was added.

## **Private Sector Engagement**

### **Is the case made for private sector engagement consistent with the proposed approach?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

This project will not involve directly the private sector as it will focus on building capacity in government institutions and the public sector.

Just a reminder to run a spell check on this section.

Comment cleared.

Agency Response

The relevant section in the platform was adjusted.

## **Risks**

### **Does the project/program consider potential major risks, including the consequences of climate change, that might prevent the project objectives from being achieved or may be resulting from project/program implementation, and propose measures that address these risks to be further developed during the project design?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes.

Agency Response

## **Coordination**



**Is the institutional arrangement for project/program coordination including management, monitoring and evaluation outlined? Is there a description of possible coordination with relevant GEF-financed projects/programs and other bilateral/multilateral initiatives in the project/program area?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Please add information on how the project will coordinate with the CBIT Global Coordination Platform as well.

Comment cleared.

Agency Response

PIF and the platform were edited accordingly in subchapter 6 on coordination

## **Consistency with National Priorities**

**Has the project/program cited alignment with any of the recipient country's national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes, this project is consistent with Colombia's national priorities and ongoing efforts in reporting to the UNFCCC. Colombia ratified the Paris Agreement in July 2018.

Agency Response

## **Knowledge Management**

**Is the proposed “knowledge management (KM) approach” in line with GEF requirements to foster learning and sharing from relevant projects/programs, initiatives and evaluations; and contribute to the project's/program's overall impact and sustainability?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes.

Agency Response

# **Part III – Country Endorsements**

**Has the project/program been endorsed by the country's GEF Operational Focal Point and has the name and position been checked against the GEF data base?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes, the project was endorsed by Laura Bermudez on September 27, 2018.

Agency Response

**GEFSEC DECISION  
RECOMMENDATION**

**Is the PIF/PFD recommended for technical clearance? Is the PPG (if requested) being recommended for clearance?**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

Yes, PM recommends PIF for technical clearance and PPG for clearance.

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

**Additional recommendations to be considered by Agency at the time of CEO endorsement/approval.**

Secretariat Comment at PIF/Work Program Inclusion

**Review Dates**

	PIF Review	Agency Response
First Review	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Additional Review (as necessary)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Additional Review (as necessary)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Additional Review (as necessary)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Additional Review (as necessary)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>